1823. Appointing Michael Rosato as a member of the Suffolk County Board of Trustees of Parks, Recreation and Conservation (Town of Smithtown). (Trotta) PARKS & RECREATION


1825. Approving County funding for a contract agency (Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc.). (Martinez) BUDGET AND FINANCE

1826. Authorizing the transfer of funds from the Sheriff’s Office Prisoners’ Commissary Account to the General Fund. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

1827. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Valerie Perl, trustee of the Else Perl Family Trust (SCTM No. 0900-294.00-02.00-033.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

1828. Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 Parkland and Wenner Plaza (IS-1642). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

1829. To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature (Control No. 438). (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

1830. Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $6,000 from the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee Grant (GTSC FFY2016) Highway Safety Program with 100% support for Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

1831. To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature No. 440. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

1832. Authorizing the purchase of additional software licenses and maintenance services for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) System, amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and 2015 Operating Budget and accepting and appropriating Federal Aid and State Aid and County funds (CP 5648). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1833. Authorizing a license agreement with Sagtikos Manor Historical Society for Sagtikos Manor County Park, West Bay Shore. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION

1834. Amending the 2015 Operating Budget and appropriating funds in connection with bonding for a settlement for a liability case against the County. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature (Control No. 439). (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with Construction of Sidewalks on Various County Roads (CP 5497). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with the Reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road (CP 5512). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

Authorizing the conveyance of County-owned surplus unused right-of-way having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0100 Section 128.00 Block 02.00 Lot 036.000 pursuant to Section 125 of the New York State Highway Law. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 1014-2015). (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Accepting 100% Federal funds from the United States Department of Health and Human Services to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for a Mammography Inspection Program. (Co. Exec.) HEALTH

Amending the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to the Association for Mental Health and Wellness for the purpose of developing a Peer Operated Recovery Center. (Co. Exec.) HEALTH

Amending the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to Family Residences and Essential Enterprises for the purpose of developing a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program. (Co. Exec.) HEALTH

Accepting and appropriating 100% grant funding in the amount of $183,848 in 100% federal funding under the Continuum of Care Grant Renewal Program from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development and authorizing a contract with United Veterans Beacon House, Inc. (Co. Exec.) HUMAN SERVICES

Accepting and appropriating a grant award from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, 100% reimbursed by State Funds at Suffolk County Community College. (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $19,520 from the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC FFY2016) Police Traffic Services (PTS) Program with 100% support for the Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY
Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $12,000 from the New York State Governor's Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC FFY2016) Child Passenger Safety Program with 100% support for Sheriff's Traffic Safety Initiative. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act of Elizabeth Hoffman, Larry Maclean, executor (SCTM No. 0900-258.00-03.00-017.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Kenneth Ripp (SCTM No. 0400-160.00-01.00-084.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Mitchell Winston (SCTM Nos. 0101-004.00-04.00-048.003 f/k/a 0101-004.00-04.00-047.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $10,000 from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) for the Sheriff's Office to replace and update obsolete Sheriff's Office livescan equipment with 50% support. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program, authorizing the purchase of additional equipment for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) System and accepting and appropriating Federal Aid and State Aid and County funds (CP 5648). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

Accepting and appropriating a federal grant award in the amount of $887,198 from US Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women for Suffolk County Domestic Violence Enforcement Program (Project DOVE) with 95% support. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Anthony Lopatowski and Mary Lopatowski (SCTM No. 0800-080.00-03.00-003.002). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Frank Napoli and Susan Napoli, his wife (SCTM No. 0200-690.00-03.00-037.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer By: County Legislature (Control No. 441). (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $95,585 in Federal funding from the United States Department of Justice for the Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement Program 2015 with 100% support. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY
1857. A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch (CP 8123). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1858. A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill (CP 8138). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1859. A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (CP 8147). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1860. A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1861. A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank (CP 8158). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1862. A resolution making certain Findings and Determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson (CP 8169). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1863. Authorizing the renewal of the lease of premises located at 365 East Main Street, Patchogue, NY for use by the Department of Health Services. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

1864. Authorizing transfer of surplus mobile radios to the Centereach Fire Department. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1865. Authorizing transfer of surplus mobile radios to the Coram Fire Department. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1866. Authorizing transfer of surplus mobile radios to the Farmingville Fire Department. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1867. Authorizing transfer of surplus mobile radios to the Ronkonkoma Fire Department. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1868. Authorizing transfer of surplus mobile radios to the Selden Fire Department. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1869. Authorizing transfer of surplus cellphones to SEPA Mujer, Inc. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
1870. Authorizing transfer of surplus cellphones to The Retreat. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

1871. Authorizing funding of infrastructure improvements and oversight of real property under the Suffolk County Affordable Housing Opportunities Program (Copiague Commons). (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION

1872. Amending Resolution No. 427-2013, Infrastructure – College Wide (CP 2149). (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

1873. Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed improvements to County Road 94 Roundabout, Capital Project 5557.110 and 301.124/127, Town of Southampton and Town of Riverhead. (Pres. Off.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE

1874. Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed Suffolk County Sewer District No. 11, Coram Pump Station No. 6, land acquisition for the construction of a replacement pump station, Town of Brookhaven. (Pres. Off.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE

1875. To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature (Control No. 442) amended for Resolution No. 672-2015. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

1876. Authorizing Suffolk County Community College to request state appropriation and matching state reimbursement for College Stormwater Initiatives Capital Project. (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

1877. Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 739-2015. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, APPOINTING MICHAEL ROSATO AS A MEMBER OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF PARKS, RECREATION AND CONSERVATION (TOWN OF SMITHTOWN)

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 220-2011 reappointed Miles B. Borden as a member of the Suffolk County Board of Trustees of Parks, Recreation and Conservation representing the Town of Smithtown; and

WHEREAS, Miles B. Borden’s term expires on November 30, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the Supervisor of the Town of Smithtown has recommended the appointment of Michael Rosato to represent the Town of Smithtown on said Board; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that Michael Rosato, currently residing in Kings Park, New York, be and he hereby is appointed as a member of the Suffolk County Board of Trustees of Parks, Recreation, and Conservation, as the representative of the Town of Smithtown for a term of office to expire on November 30, 2020, pursuant to Section C28-1 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER.

DATED:

EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY PURSUANT TO SECTION C2-15(A) AND SECTION C28-3 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER.

s:/vesr-appt-parks-rosato
September 22, 2015

Legislator Robert Trotta
59 Landing Avenue
Smihtown, NY 11787

Dear Legislator Trotta:

You may be aware that Miles Borden of Kings Park has served on the Suffolk County Parks Board of Trustees and his term of office terminates on November 30, 2015.

Mr. Borden does not wish to be reappointed and, accordingly, I request that Michael Rosato, 12 Country Oaks Drive, Kings Park, NY, be nominated to replace him.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Patrick R. Vecchio
Town Supervisor

PRV:jb
RESOLUTION NO. - 2015, ADOPTING LOCAL LAW NO. -2015, A CHARTER LAW TO ESTABLISH MULTI-YEAR BUDGET PLAN

WHEREAS, there was duly presented and introduced to this County Legislature at a meeting held on , 2015 a proposed local law entitled, "A CHARTER LAW TO ESTABLISH MULTI-YEAR BUDGET PLAN"; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that said local law be enacted in form as follows:

LOCAL LAW NO. - 2015, SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK

A CHARTER LAW TO ESTABLISH MULTI-YEAR BUDGET PLAN

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, as follows:

Section 1. Legislative Intent.

This Legislature hereby finds and determines that Suffolk County's operating budget continues to be under pressure due to increased expenditures and insufficient revenue.

This Legislature determines that diminished sales and property tax revenues, rising pension and health insurance costs, shrinking federal and state aid and increasing demands for county services have combined to create a chronic, structural budget imbalance in Suffolk County.

This Legislature further determines that Suffolk County has drawn down reserves and utilized one-shot revenues to close annual operating budget deficits. These actions have only exacerbated the County's structural deficit.

This Legislature also finds that strategic long-term budget planning is essential to fiscal discipline and decision making.

This Legislature finds and determines that multi-year budget planning can help policy-makers assess the long-term financial implications of current policies, identify structural budget deficits and develop long-term solutions to achieve fiscal stability.

This Legislature also finds that many municipal finance experts, including the New York State Comptroller and the Government Financial Officers Association ("GFOA"), recommend that local governments adopt a multi-year budget plan that extends at least three years beyond the current budget period.

This Legislature also determines that embracing multi-year budget planning is consistent with the current Charter provision that requires the County Executive's Budget Office and the Legislature Office of Budget Review ("BRO") to develop and maintain a multi-year budget model.
Therefore, the purpose of this law is to require that the County Executive and the County Legislature adopt, on an annual basis, a separate multi-year budget plan that approximates future expenditures, revenues, fund balances and budget deficit mitigation measures.

Section 2. Amendments.

Article 4 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER is hereby amended by the addition of a new Section C4-35, to read as follows:

§ C4-35. Multiyear Budget Plan.

A. At the same time the County Executive submits his proposed operating budget, he or she shall also submit a separate financial plan which outlines revenue, expenditure and fund balance estimates for all major funds for the three fiscal years subsequent to the ensuing fiscal year.

B. For the purposes of this section, the following terms shall have the meaning indicated:

1. “Final financial plan” — the revised financial plan including any modifications made by the Legislature.

2. “Financial plan” — the three year financial plan submitted by the County Executive to the Legislature.

3. “Major funds” — the County’s general fund, the police district fund and sewer district funds.

4. “Modified financial plan” — the three year financial plan submitted by the County Executive to the Legislature no later than June 30th of the fiscal year following the adoption of the final financial plan and including any alterations in the financial plan necessitated by changed circumstances.

5. “Out-year” — any year in the financial plan subsequent to the current year.

C. The financial plan submitted by the County Executive shall:

1. Provide a reasonable baseline estimate of expenditures and revenues for each of the major funds for each year of the plan, taking into account and summarizing any mitigation measures currently underway or prescribed in developing the baseline.

2. Identify and describe in reasonable detail all actions necessary and appropriate to ensure, with respect to each of the major funds for each fiscal year of the plan, that any projected baseline deficit is closed and that annual aggregate operating expenses for such fiscal year shall not exceed annual aggregate operating revenues.

3. Provide that each of the major funds of the county will be balanced in each year of the plan in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
4. Identify and describe all reserve and unreserved fund balances that are available to make one-time payments and to offset unforeseen or unusual expenditure increases or reductions in revenue.

5. Identify and describe anticipated threats to the success of the financial plan.

6. Identify and describe contingencies and opportunities which may be available or which may occur during the plan period to enhance the probability of the success of the financial plan.

D. The financial plan submitted by the County Executive will provide the following information:

1. Revenue estimates categories shall include, but not be limited to, revenue from sales taxes, property taxes, miscellaneous revenues, anticipated federal and state aid, and anticipated asset sales. The impact of any tax increases or reductions and anticipated changes in federal or state aid based on new legislation shall be included in revenue estimates.

2. Expenditure estimates categories for the following three fiscal years shall include, but not be limited to, personal service appropriations, debt service appropriations, the out-year costs of labor contracts, changes in the capital program which will impact debt service, planned reductions or increases to departmental budgets and other miscellaneous appropriations.

3. The budget plan for the following three fiscal years shall contain a description and an explanation of all the revenue and expense assumptions as well as a section on each department or agency which explains the impact of any increases or decreases in department spending.

E. Upon the adoption of an operating budget in accordance with the provisions of this article, the County Executive shall, if necessary, revise the financial plan to reflect the adopted budget. The County Executive shall submit the revised financial plan to the Legislature within thirty days of the adoption of the operating budget.

F. The Legislature may modify the revised financial plan by adding or deleting items on the basis that such plan is:

1. Incomplete;

2. Fails to contain projections of revenues and expenditures that are based on reasonable and appropriate assumptions and methods of estimation;

3. Fails to provide that operations of the County will be conducted within the cash resources available according to the Legislature’s revenue estimates, or

4. Fails to comply with the requirements of this section or other applicable laws.

G. Any modification by the Legislature to the revised financial plan must be in accordance with the adopted budget and must result in a balanced budget for the plan years in question. The County Executive may veto in whole or in part any such modification and,
in the event that he or she exercises such veto, shall resubmit the revised financial plan to the Legislature for a vote. A two-thirds majority shall be required to override a veto by the County Executive of a legislative modification or part thereof.

H. The Legislature shall adopt the final financial plan on or before December 31st.

I. No later than June 30th of the fiscal year following the adoption of the final financial plan, the County Executive shall re-examine the expenditure and revenue estimates included in the final financial plan and file a report summarizing such re-examination with the Clerk of the Legislature. In the event that the County Executive identifies actual or anticipated reductions in revenue or increases in expenditures that are likely to adversely impact the county's projected financial position in the out-years of the financial plan, the County Executive shall submit to the Legislature a modified financial plan, along with the report summarizing the re-examination, which may include new cost-cutting or revenue enhancing initiatives, that will realign the County's projected revenues and expenditures in order to achieve a balanced budget in the out-years of the modified financial plan.

J. The Legislature may further amend the modified financial plan within 60 days of the submission by the County Executive by adding items to or deleting items from the modified financial plan in such a manner as to render the budget balanced relative to the base for each affected out-year. The Legislature shall adopt a modified financial plan within 60 days of submission of the modified financial plan by the County Executive. The County Executive may veto in whole or in part any such modification and, in the event that he or she exercises such veto, shall resubmit the modified financial plan to the Legislature for a vote. A two-thirds majority shall be required to override a veto by the County Executive.

Section 3. Applicability.

The County Executive will be required to submit the planning document called for this local law at the time he or she submits the proposed 2017 operating budget and shall continue to do so every year thereafter.

Section 4. Severability.

If any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law or the application thereof to any person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such order or judgment shall not affect, impair, or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law, or in its application to the person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance directly involved in the controversy in which such order or judgment shall be rendered.

Section 5. SEQRA Determination.

This Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this law constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and/or (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection. The Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this law.

Section 6. Effective Date.

This law shall not take effect until at least sixty (60) days after its adoption, nor until approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the qualified electors of the County of Suffolk voting on a proposition for its approval if within sixty (60) days after its adoption there is filed with the Clerk of the County Legislature a petition protesting against this law in conformity with the provisions of Section 34(4) of the NEW YORK MUNICIPAL HOME RULE LAW and upon filing in the office of the Secretary of State.

[ ] Brackets denote deletion of existing language.
___ Underlining denotes addition of new language.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

_________________________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:

s://laws/I-2015 multi-year budget proposal
DATE: October 15, 2015
TO: CLERK OF THE COUNTY LEGISLATURE
RE: MEMORANDUM OF COUNSEL TO THE LEGISLATURE PURSUANT TO RULE 28

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW YEAR 2015

TITLE: I.R. NO. -2015; A CHARTER LAW TO ESTABLISH MULTI-YEAR BUDGET PLAN

SPONSOR: LEGISLATOR CILMI

DATE OF RECEIPT BY COUNSEL: 10/15/2015
PUBLIC HEARING: 11/17/2015

DATE ADOPTED/NOT ADOPTED: __________________
CERTIFIED COPY RECEIVED: __________________

This proposed law would amend Article 4 of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER to require the County Executive to submit a multi-year budget plan ("the plan") at the same time he or she submits the proposed operating budget, beginning with the 2017 operating budget. The plan would encompass the proposed operating budget and also provide a projection for the subsequent two fiscal years. The plan would include:

1. Baseline estimates for expenditures and revenues for each major fund for each year of the plan;
2. Identify and describe all actions necessary to ensure that projected deficits are closed and the aggregate operating expenses for each year do not exceed aggregate operating revenues;
3. Provide for the balancing of each major fund;
4. Identify all reserve and unreserved fund balances available for one-time payments to offset unforeseen expenditure increases or revenue reductions;
5. Identify threats to the success of the plan; and
6. Identify contingencies that may occur during the plan period to increase the probability of the success of the plan.

The plan will also provide the following information:

1. Revenue estimates for sales tax, property tax, miscellaneous revenue, anticipated federal and state aid, and anticipated asset sales;
2. Expenditure estimates for personal service appropriations, debt service appropriations, the out-year costs of labor contracts, changes to the capital program that impact debt service, and planned reductions or increases in departmental budgets; and
3. A description of all revenue and expense assumptions, as well as explanations on the impact of increases and decreases in departmental spending.

1 "Major funds" are defined as “the County’s general fund, the police district fund and the sewer district funds.”
After the operating budget is adopted, the County Executive would revise the plan to reflect changes to the operating budget as adopted by the Legislature. The Legislature may modify the revised plan by adding or deleting items on the basis that such plan is incomplete, fails to contain reasonable revenue and expenditure projections, fails to properly research County operations or fails to comply with the requirements of this law. Modifications must result in a balanced budget for the plan years. The County Executive may veto the Legislature's modifications and a two-thirds majority vote of the Legislature would be required to override a veto. The plan must be finalized on or before December 31st each year.

This law would require the County Executive to re-examine the estimates set forth in the finalized plan by June 30th each year. A report summarizing those findings shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Legislature. In the event the County Executive identifies actual or anticipated reductions in revenue or increases in expenditures that will adversely affect the plan, the County Executive will submit a modified plan to the Legislature which may introduce new cost-cutting or revenue enhancing initiatives to realign the projected revenues and expenditures. The Legislature will approve the modified plan or make further amendments to the modified plan within 60 days of its submission by the County Executive by adding or deleting items from the modified plan. The County Executive may veto any modifications made by the Legislature to his modified plan. A two-thirds majority vote of the Legislature will be required to override any veto.

This law will be subject to a permissive referendum.

GEORGE NOLAN
Counsel to the Legislature

GN:

s:\rule28\28-multiyear budget plan
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, APPROVING COUNTY FUNDING FOR A CONTRACT AGENCY (LONG ISLAND LATINO TEACHERS ASSOCIATION INC.)

WHEREAS, the County of Suffolk contracts with many agencies to provide vital services to County residents; and

WHEREAS, Section 189-66(B) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE requires contract agencies to submit to the Suffolk County Comptroller by September 15 each year, a financial disclosure form, their most recently audited financial statements and a schedule of all employees and their salaries; and

WHEREAS, if a contract agency fails to submit these forms and documents by the September 15th deadline, they cannot receive County funding in the subsequent budget year unless approved by a standalone resolution of this Legislature; and

WHEREAS, the 2015 Operating Budget included funding for the Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc. as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FD</th>
<th>DEPT</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>ACT</th>
<th>ACTIVITY NAME</th>
<th>2015 ADOPTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>EDP</td>
<td>6414</td>
<td>4980</td>
<td>JKZ1</td>
<td>LONG ISLAND LATINO TEACHERS ASSOCIATION, INC.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHEREAS, the Comptroller has advised this Legislature that the Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc. did not comply with the disclosure requirements of § 189-66(B) by the September 15th deadline; and

WHEREAS, the Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc. is now in compliance with § 189-66(B); now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the funding included in the 2015 Operating Budget for the Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc. is hereby approved in accordance with § 189-66(C) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE and the Department of Audit and Control is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to release 2015 funding to Long Island Latino Teachers Association, Inc. in accordance with its regular procedures; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21) and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:
Introduces by the Presiding Officer on request of the County Executive

RESOLUTION No. – 2015, AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE SHERIFF’S OFFICE PRISONERS’ COMMISSARY ACCOUNT TO THE GENERAL FUND

WHEREAS, the Sheriff of Suffolk County is authorized to operate a Prisoners’ Commissary for the sole benefit of those incarcerated in Suffolk County’s Correctional Facilities; and

WHEREAS, it has recently been determined that, if funds permit, non-mandated expenses, or a portion thereof, incurred in the course of operating said Prisoners’ Commissary, may be paid from the Sheriff’s Commissary Fund Account; and

WHEREAS, it has also been determined that the permanent salaries and overtime of the civilian staff assigned to the Commissary Unit, as well as non-mandated overtime of the Correction Officers assigned to the Commissary Unit can be deemed an operating expense incurred by the County of Suffolk, to be paid from the Sheriff’s Commissary Fund Account, and

WHEREAS, a total of $294,269 in permanent salaries and overtime is directly related to the non-mandated operation of the Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office’s Commissary for fiscal year 2014; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that for FY 2014, there were sufficient funds in the Sheriff’s Commissary Fund Account to permit the transfer of $294,269 from said Account to the County’s General fund via Revenue Account SHF-2466-Sheriff’s Reimbursement From Commissary; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and County Treasurer be and they hereby are authorized to accept said funds as follows:

FROM: Sheriff’s Commissary Fund Account AMOUNT $294,269

TO REVENUE ACCOUNT:
001-SHF-3150-2466 – Sheriff’s Reimbursement from Commissary $294,269

TRANSFER TO GENERAL FUND $294,269

And be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under SEQRA and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, thereby determines that this resolution constitutes Type II action.

DATED: APPROVED BY:
County Executive of Suffolk County Date of Approval:
1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution _X_  Local Law ____  Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation – Authorizing the Transfer of funds from the Sheriff’s Prisoner Commissary Operating Account to the Suffolk County General Fund.

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation – See number 2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  Yes _X_  No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
   - County
   - Town
   - Economic Impact
   - Village
   - School District
   - Other (Specify): Library District
   - Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   The County will be reimbursed $294,269 for the salary expense incurred by the Sheriff's Office while running the Prisoner Commissary Unit.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   NA

8. Proposed Source of Funding – NA


10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Michael P. Sharkey
    Chief Deputy Sheriff

11. Signature of Preparer

12. Date: 08/26/15

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: Authorizing the Transfer of Funds from the Sheriff's Office Prisoner Commissary Operating Account to the General Fund.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: The purpose of this resolution is to reimburse the County for the civilian salary expense (permanent and overtime) and Correction Officer salary expense (overtime only) that was incurred by the Sheriff's Office while running the Prisoner Commissary Unit.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: This resolution reimburses Suffolk County $294,269 in salary expenses the Sheriff's Office incurred during the operation of the Prisoner Commissary for 2014.

JUSTIFICATION: By New York State Minimum Standards for local Correctional Facilities, the County is entitled to the reimbursement of any direct expense that it incurs in the running of a prison commissary.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: NA
To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive  
From: Michael P. Sharkey, Chief Deputy Sheriff  
Date: 08/26/15  
Re: INTRODUCTORY RESOLUTION REQUEST – AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE SHERIFF’S OFFICE PRISONERS COMMISSARY TO THE GENERAL FUND

Per the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget, the Sheriff’s Office requests the introduction of a resolution to transfer funds for the Sheriff’s Office Prisoner Commissary operating account to Suffolk County’s General Fund.

This resolution transfers $294,269 from the Sheriff’s Office Commissary operating fund to the General Fund to reimburse Suffolk County for the permanent salaries and overtime salaries of the civilian staff, and the overtime salaries of the Correction Officers assigned to the Commissary.

Copies of a draft resolution, impact statement and introductory form are attached. An e-mail version of the resolution was also sent to CE RESO REVIEW, saved under the titles:

“Reso-Sheriff 2015 Transfer of Commissary Funds”;

“Backup-SHF-Commissary-SCIN 175A”;

“Backup-SHF-Commissary-SCIN 175B” and

“Backup-SHF-Commissary-Cover Letter-2015”

We request that this resolution be laid on the table at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration in reviewing this draft resolution.

MPS/ars

Attachments
Introductory Resolution No. 1827-15  Laid on Table 11/4/15

Introduced by Presiding Officer on request of the County Executive

RESOLUTION NO. AUTHORIZING THE SALE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL LAW NO. 16-1976, OF REAL PROPERTY ACQUIRED UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX ACT

VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST
(SCTM NO. 0900-294.00-02.00-033.000)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK acquired the following described parcel:

ALL, that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Town of Southampton, County of Suffolk, and State of New York, described on the Tax Map of the Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency as District 0900, Section 294.00, Block 02.00, Lot 033.000, and acquired by tax deed on September 26, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on September 26, 2014, in Liber 12790, at Page 129, and otherwise known and designated by the Town of Southampton, as District 0900, Section 294.00, Block 02.00, Lot 033.000; and

FURTHER, notwithstanding the above description, it is the intention of this conveyance to give title only to such property as was acquired by the County of Suffolk by Tax Deed on September 26, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on September 26, 2014 in Liber 12790 at Page 129.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Suffolk County Local Law No. 16-1976, provision has been made for the sale of such real property acquired by the County through tax sale; and

WHEREAS, VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST has made application of said above described parcel and VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST has paid the application fee and has paid $64,757.58, as payment of taxes, penalties, interest, recording fees, and any other charges due the County of Suffolk, pursuant to Local Law, by applicant, through November 30, 2015; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that adoption of this law is not an action within the meaning of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations adopted thereto. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.2(b) (2). The Legislature further finds and determines that even if the adoption of the local law is an action within the meaning of SEQRA, the adoption of law is a Type II action constituting a legislative decision in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priority. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.13(d) (15) and (21). As a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.5(a)(1); and be it further
RESOLVED, that the Director of Real Estate, and/or her designee, be and she hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a Quitclaim Deed to VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST, 365 West Street, Harrison, NY 10528, to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above described property and on the above described terms.

DATED:

APPROVED BY: ________________________________
                  County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: ____________________________
SUFFOLK COUNTY
DIVISION OF REAL PROPERTY
ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT
CLOSING STATEMENT

September 16, 2015

Tax Map No.: 0900-294.00-02.00-033.000
Name of Last Legal Fee Owner: VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST

TREASURER'S COMPUTATION $64,751.47

Taxes 2014/2015 INCLUDED
Certified Mail Fees $6.11
License Fee Collected OPEN
Repairs OPEN
Other Expenses OPEN

TOTAL $64,757.58

Monies Received $64,757.58

RESOLUTION AMOUNT $64,757.58

APPROVED: 

PREPARED BY:

Peter Belyea
Redemption Unit
(631)853-5932

Accounting
PB:1ag

9/16/2015
COMPutation BY Suffolk CounTY TREASURer

District 0900 Section 294.00 Block 02.00 Lot 033.000

ITEM #: 178873

A. PRINCIPAL AMOUNT DUE ON ALL UNPAID TAXES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$ 9,636.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$ 10,873.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 10,485.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$ 9,979.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$ 7,974.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$ 8,172.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $ 57,122.08

B. INTEREST DUE $ 4,545.99
C. TOTAL $ 61,668.07
D. 5% LINE C $ 3,083.40
SUBTOTAL $ 64,751.47

E. FEE
F. MISC CERTIFIED MAILING FEES $ 6.11
G. MISC
H. MISC

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE: $ 64,757.58

CERTIFICATION BY COUNTY TREASURER

I, Douglas W. Sutherland, Chief Deputy County Treasurer of the County of Suffolk, in the State of New York do hereby certify that the above monies are now due and owing upon the real property sought to be redeemed and that such sums are applied by law and taken from official books and records in my custody.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and affixed my official seal at Riverhead, County of Suffolk and State of New York.
14-Sep-15

Douglas W. Sutherland
Chief Deputy County Treasurer

**Interest and penalty computed to and including 03/12/16**
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under
   Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act
   VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST
   0900-294.00-02.00-033.000

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   Convey County owned parcel to prior owner

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes X No___

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact?
   (circle appropriate category)
   County
   Town
   Economic Impact
   Village
   School District
   Other (Specify):
   Library District
   Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", provide detailed explanation of Impact
   The County will recoup the amount of taxes paid on the property taken by the tax deed.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected Political or other subdivision
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   N/A

9. Timing of Impact
   2015

10. Typed Name & Title of Préparer   Signature of Preparer   Date
    Peter Belyea ___________________ ___________________ 9/4/15

   10/9/15
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

1) Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County real property, 2014.
3) Source for equalization rates: 2014 county equalization rates established by the New York state board of equalization and assessments.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
900-294-2-33
(Landlocked)
57 A Bay Ave
(Rear yard on side to 55)
September 29, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12th Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Re: Tax Map No. 0900-294.00-02.00-033.000
   VALERIE PERL, TRUSTEE OF THE ELSE PERL FAMILY TRUST

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Enclosed herewith for your approval is an original of the proposed resolution with documentation pursuant to:

Local Law No. 16-1976, as amended – Authorizing the redemption of real property.

I would appreciate your placing this on the legislative agenda at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Wayne R. Thompson
Real Property Management Supervisor

WRT: PB/Tag

Attachment

cc: CE Reso Review (e-copy)
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING
EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEAD
OF SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 14 PARKLAND AND
WENNER PLAZA (IS-1642)

WHEREAS, Wenner Plaza is outside the boundary of Suffolk County
Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland; and

WHEREAS, the owner of Wenner Plaza has petitioned and
requested the Administrative Head of the District for permission to discharge
Twenty-Seven Thousand gallons per day (27,000 GPD), and

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the Administrative Head of
the District that the District has wastewater treatment capacity Twenty-Seven
Thousand gallons per day (27,000 GPD) in excess of its own needs; and

WHEREAS, the connection is subject to the approval of the New
York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC); and

WHEREAS, the proposed flow has received the approval of the
Suffolk County Sewer Agency (Resolution 35-2015) with a connection fee of
Eight Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars ($810,000.00), ($30.00 per gallon per day
of sewage capacity), for the said Twenty-Seven Thousand gallons per day
(27,000 GPD) of capacity; to the district; and

WHEREAS, it will be financially beneficial to Suffolk County Sewer
District No. 14 – Parkland and Suffolk County, as well as in the environmental
interest of all of Suffolk County, for the connection to be made; now therefore
be it

1st RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 617.5(c) (11) and (20), of the
SEQRA regulations, this project is a Type II Action, and requires no further
action, now, therefore, be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the Administrative Head of the District be and
hereby is authorized, directed and empowered to enter into contracts and
agreements with the developer upon such terms and conditions as he may
decide necessary relating to connections to the District of lands adjacent to
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland and that they be required to
post a surety bond or bonds and deposit cash or securities with the County
Treasurer in those instances that the Administrative Head deems necessary to
ensure performance of such agreements and contracts.

3rd RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is
hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to take such action as may be
Necessary, pursuant to section C8-2(L&M) of the Suffolk County Charter.
Dated: 
Approved by: 

County Executive of Suffolk County 

Date of Approval:
# STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. **Type of Legislation**
   - Resolution **X**
   - Local Law ______
   - Charter Law ______

2. **Title of Proposed Legislation**
   - RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEAD OF SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 14 PARKLAND AND WENNER PLAZA (IS-1642)

3. **Purpose of Proposed Legislation**
   - To authorize execution of an agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 - Parkland with Wenner Plaza (IS-1642), a company seeking permission to discharge 27,000 GPD.

4. **Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?**
   - Yes **X**
   - No ______

5. **If the answer to Item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact?**
   - (Circle appropriate category)
     - County
     - Town
     - Economic Impact
     - Village
     - School District
     - Other (Specify):
       - SCSD NO. 14 – Parkland
     - Library District
     - Fire District

6. **If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact**
   - The connection fee of Eight Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars ($810,000.00), ($30.00 per gallon per day), will be paid to the district.

7. **Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.**
   - NA

8. **Proposed Source of Funding**
   - NA

9. **Timing of Impact**
   - NA

10. **Typed Name & Title of Preparer**
    - Craig A Platt,
    - Assistant Director Sewer District Activation

11. **Signature of Preparer**
    - [Signature]

12. **Date**
    - September 23, 2015

---

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)

Budget Office
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: TENTATIVE 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER AGENCY

RESOLUTION NO. 35 - 2015

AUTHORIZING THE CONNECTION OF
WENNER PLAZA (IS-1642)
TO SUFFOLK SEWER DISTRICT NO. 14 – PARKLAND

WHEREAS, Wenner Plaza is a proposed Shopping Center, located in Bayport, New York, on property identified on the Suffolk County Tax Maps as District 05.00, Section 239.00, Block 04.00, Lots 006.009, 006.010, 006.016, 006.019, 009.008, 009.010, 009.011, 009.012, and 009.013, and

WHEREAS, the sewage flow from Wenner Plaza is expected to be Twenty Seven Thousand (27,000 GPD) gallons per day, and

WHEREAS, the Premises are not located within the boundaries of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland (the "District"), or within the boundaries of any other municipal sewer district, and

WHEREAS, the developer of Wenner Plaza has applied to this Agency for permission to connect Wenner Plaza to the sanitary sewerage facilities of the District, and

WHEREAS, the District's sewage treatment plant has sufficient capacity to accept the sewage which is expected to emanate from Wenner Plaza, and

WHEREAS, Wenner Plaza plans to connect to the facilities of the District via the proposed "Bayport Meadows and Wenner Plaza pump station", which purportedly is to be constructed at the Wenner Plaza site, and

WHEREAS, the engineering design professionals for Bayport Meadows and Wenner Plaza must determine if the collection system at the receiving sewer manhole and sewer system downstream can sufficiently accommodate the proposed flow from Bayport Meadows and Wenner Plaza, and

WHEREAS, the connection of Wenner Plaza to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 - Parkland will be financially beneficial for the sewer district and environmentally beneficial to Suffolk County, and

WHEREAS, this Agency has determined that the Twenty Seven Thousand gallons per day (27,000 GPD), of sanitary sewage generated by the said project shall be treated at the facilities of the District, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c) (11) and (20), this project involves the extension of utility distribution facilities, including gas, electric, telephone, cable, water and sewer connections to render service in approved subdivisions or in connection with any action on this list; and routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may
affect the environment. No further action under SEQRA should be taken by the Sewer Agency, and

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS

1\textsuperscript{st} RESOLVED, that the SEQRA requirements for this project have been met, and requires no further action, now, therefore, be it further

2\textsuperscript{nd} RESOLVED, that the connection authorized herein is subject to the approval of the Suffolk County Legislature and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, and it is further

3\textsuperscript{rd} RESOLVED, that the connection authorized herein is subject to the execution of an agreement (the "Connection Agreement") between the developer of Wenner Plaza, the District, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works ("DPW"), the Suffolk County Department of Health Services, the County of Suffolk, and this Agency, which agreement shall contain such terms and conditions as the Administrative Head of the District shall determine, and it is further

4\textsuperscript{th} RESOLVED, that the connection fee to be paid for the Wenner Plaza shall be paid upon the execution of the Connection Agreement for a total of Eight Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars ($810,000.00), and it is further

5\textsuperscript{th} RESOLVED, that Wenner Plaza shall, at its sole cost, expense and effort, construct a sewage collection facility for Wenner Plaza and shall offer to dedicate the said facility to this Agency, or to this Agency's nominee, at no charge, and it is further

6\textsuperscript{th} RESOLVED, that the Wenner Plaza shall furnish a Letter of Credit, in form, wording and amount, and on such terms and conditions, as determined by this Agency's staff, as security for the construction of the sewage collection facility for the Wenner Plaza, as well as for all of the developer's obligations under the Connection Agreement, and it is further

7\textsuperscript{th} RESOLVED, that this resolution shall become null and void, and of no further force or effect, without any further action by this Agency or notice to the Wenner Plaza if, within one (1) year from the date of the adoption hereof, an agreement in furtherance of the authorization granted herein (the Connection Agreement), in form and content satisfactory to the Chairman of this Agency, has not been negotiated and fully executed by all parties thereto.
2015 Intergovernmental Relations Memorandum of Support

Title of Bill:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEAD OF SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 14 PARKLAND AND WENNER PLAZA (IS-1642)

Purpose or General Idea of Bill:
To authorize execution of an agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. No. 14 - Parkland with Wenner Plaza (IS-1642), a company seeking permission to discharge 27,000 GPD.

Summary of Specific Provisions:
Allow the connection of the project to SCSD #14 - Parkland.

Justification:
Economic and environmental benefit

Fiscal Implications:
None

SCDPW Project: Wenner Plaza

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

(631) 852-4204
FAX (631) 852-4659
To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
From: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner, SCDPW
Date: September 23, 2015
Subject: RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEAD OF SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 14 PARKLAND AND WENNER PLAZA (IS-1642)

Attached is a draft resolution filed as Reso-DPW-SA 35-2015 Wenner Plaza (IS-1642) and appropriate forms with the backup filed as Backup-Reso-DPW-SA 35-2015 Wenner Plaza (IS-1642) SCIN 175A. This is a resolution authorizing the execution of a connection agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland with Wenner Plaza (IS-1642).

Project Facts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type/units:</th>
<th>Commercial Subdivision</th>
<th>Flow:</th>
<th>27,000 GPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area:</td>
<td>14.6±</td>
<td>Legislative District:</td>
<td>8th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQRA:</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Groundwater Zone</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDSD:</td>
<td>No. 14 – Parkland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCTM No.:</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-006.009</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-006.019</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-009.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-006.010</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-009.008</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-009.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-006.016</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-009.010</td>
<td>0500-23900-0400-009.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GA:JD:cap

cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
    John Donovan, P.E., SCDPW
    Janice McGovern, P.E., SCDPW
    Chuck Jaquin, SCDPW
    Robert A. Braun, Esq., SCDOL
    Debra Kolyer, CE Office
    Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
    Elizabeth Duffy, SCDPW
    CE Reso Review
    Craig A. Platt, Secretary, SCSA

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

335 YAPHANK AVENUE YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980 (631) 852-4010

FAX (631) 852-4150
RESOLUTION NO. TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT REFUNDS AND CHARGEBACKS ON CORRECTION OR ERRORS/COUNTY TREASURER BY: COUNTY LEGISLATURE (CONTROL #438)

WHEREAS, the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk may cancel assessments and grant refunds of taxes, in the case of erroneous or improper assessments, pursuant to the provisions of the Real Property Tax Law and the Suffolk County Tax Act, and

WHEREAS, the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers indicated below have been erroneously or improperly assessed as appears from the certificates of Assessors of the respective towns in which said properties are situated as described below and the procedures as provided in the Real Property Tax Law have been fully complied with, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the taxes for the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers as shown for the year or years specified be readjusted or refunded in full or in part in the amount set opposite each such parcel as hereinafter indicated, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the amount of such adjustment or refund be charged back to the respective town as provided by law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Original Tax</th>
<th>Corrected Tax</th>
<th>Chargeback or Refund, if paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Islip</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$11,594.23</td>
<td>$5797.12</td>
<td>$5797.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: Approved By:

____________________________________________
Suffolk County Executive

Date of Approval:
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Suffolk County Treasurer
DATE: October 1, 2015
RE: RESOLUTION FOR CANCELLATION OF TAXES, CONTROL # 438

Enclosed please find a proposed resolution, which this office requests be submitted to the Suffolk County Legislature for approval.

Also enclosed is any back-up material pertaining to this request.

Should you need anything further, please contact me.

SCT:II
Enc.
Cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution XXX
   Local Law
   Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   To readjust, compromise and grant refunds and charge backs on Correction of Errors/County Treasurer
   By: County Treasurer

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To cancel or adjust taxes and make refunds and charge backs due to erroneous or improper assessments.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  YES XXX  NO

5. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate category)
   County
   Village
   Town
   School District
   Library District
   Fire District
   Economic Impact
   Other (Specify):

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   In the case of refunds, the County will initially refund the amount of the incorrect tax. Approximately 81% of the refunded amount will be charged back to the Town to be added to the subsequent year’s tax warrant. The remainder will be a County charge. If the original tax is unpaid, the same procedure would apply, however, no County monies would be refunded and it will be charged back to the Town within twelve to eighteen months.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   Unknown

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   To be refunded from the County General Fund

9. Timing of Impact
   Variable

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul
    County Treasurer

11. Signature of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul

12. Date
    1/2/15
RESOLUTION NO. 2015, ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $6,000 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR’S TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE GRANT (GTSC FFY2016) HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM WITH 100% SUPPORT FOR SHERIFF’S TRAFFIC SAFETY INITIATIVE

WHEREAS, the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee has made $6,000 in funds available to Suffolk County for the (GTSC FFY2016) Helmet Distribution and Education Program to be administered by the Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office; and

WHEREAS, this program will provide helmets to young bicyclists and non-motorized wheeled sports enthusiasts, public information and education, to reduce and mitigate the number and severity of head injuries that result in serious injury or death; and

WHEREAS, the operational period of the program is from October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, said grant funds have not been included in the 2015 or 2016 Suffolk County Operating Budgets; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Executive and Sheriff are authorized to execute an agreement with the State of New York, as necessary, to secure said grant funds; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and they hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate said unbudgeted grant funds in the amount of $6,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES:</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-SHF-4392 Federal Aid: Sheriff – Traffic Safety Initiative</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROPRIATION:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff Traffic Safety Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helmet Distribution Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-SHF-3549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000-Supplies, Materials &amp; Other Expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500-Other: Unclassified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation – Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $6,000 from the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee for the Helmet Distribution and Education Program in Suffolk County with 100% support.

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation – See number 2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  
   Yes **X**  No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact?  
   (circle appropriate category)

   - **County**
   - **Town**  Economic Impact
   - **Village**
   - **School District**  Other (Specify):
   - **Library District**
   - **Fire District**

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact – The Sheriff’s Office FY 2016 Operating Budget will be modified to reflect an increase of $6,000 in appropriation 001-SHF-3549-3000 Supplies, Materials & Other Expenses, 3500-Other; Unclassified $6,000.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.  
   Not applicable.

8. Proposed Source of Funding – New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee Grant (GTSC FFY 2016) Helmet Distribution and Education Program with 100% support.

9. Timing of Impact - 10/01/2015 through 09/30/2016

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer  
    Michael P. Sharkey  
    Chief Deputy Sheriff

11. Signature of Preparer  
    [Signature]

12. Date: 10/1/2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

Title of bill:

Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $6,000 from the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC FY2016) Highway Safety Program with 100% support for Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL:

$6,000 of grant money will be used to provide bicycle helmets, public information and education, designed to save lives. According to the National Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) 773 bicyclists were killed and an additional 44,000 were injured in traffic crashes during the year of 2006. Despite the fact that nearly 70 percent of all fatal bicycle crashes involve head injuries, only about 23 percent of all bicyclists wear bicycle helmets. Universal bicycle helmet use by children 4 to 15 would prevent 39,000 to 45,000 head injuries annually.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS:

According to the CDC, bicycle helmets are 85% to 88% effective in mitigating head and brain injuries, making the use of helmets the single most effective way to reduce head injuries and fatalities resulting from bicycle crashes. With that in mind, the Suffolk County Sheriff's Office will continue its helmet distribution and fitting program to ensure proper fitting and use of helmets for bicyclists, in-line skaters, non-motorized scooter riders and skateboarders. The SCSO will encourage the use of appropriate safety equipment to include knee pads, elbow pads, wrist guards, reflective equipment, clothing or vests through education.

JUSTIFICATION:

According to the National Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) 773 bicyclists were killed and an additional 44,000 were injured in traffic crashes during the year of 2006. Despite the fact that nearly 70 percent of all fatal bicycle crashes involve head injuries, only about 23 percent of all bicyclists wear bicycle helmets. Universal bicycle helmet use by children 4 to 15 would prevent 39,000 to 45,000 head injuries annually. Suffolk County accounts for 7% of New York State’s total population, however, pedestrians killed in Suffolk County account for nearly 11% of all pedestrians killed in NYS. Bicyclists killed make up 12% of NYS total which is almost twice as high as what should be expected based on population. Bicyclists injured in Suffolk made up 8% of the NYS total, and 32% of those bicyclists were not wearing helmets when they were injured.
September 23, 2015

Anthony Paparatto  
Deputy Sheriff Captain  
Suffolk County Sheriff's Office  
100 Center Drive  
Riverhead, NY 11901-3389

Re:  HS1-2016-Suffolk Co SO -00029-(052)  
      Suffolk County Sheriff's Helmet Safety Program  
      SA00000198  
      CFDA #: 20.600  
      EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2015

Dear Deputy Sheriff Captain Anthony Paparatto:

On behalf of Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, I am pleased to notify you that the Suffolk County Sheriff's Office has been awarded $6,000 to participate in the New York State's Highway Safety Program. Our goal is to reduce the number of crashes, injuries and deaths on New York's roads. Please note all grants will be effective only upon final approval by the New York State Office of the State Comptroller.

Before incurring any project related expenses, login to eGrants to review your approved budget as it may have been reduced or otherwise changed from what was requested.

Thank you for participating in New York State's Highway Safety Program. I wish you success in your efforts. If you have any questions, please contact the Governor's Traffic Safety Committee at (518) 474-5111.

Sincerely,

Charles R. DeWeese  
Assistant Commissioner

CRD:lo  
Enclosure  
cc: Thomas Indence
Grant Management Information

All grant management activities including the submission of progress reports, claim for payment reimbursement requests and project modification requests will take place through the eGrants system. For directions on how to submit progress reports, claim for payment reimbursement requests and project modification request, please log onto the eGrants system and click on the “My Training Materials” link at the top of the page.

Project User Management

Please review your organization contact information in the eGrants system by going to the My Profile and My Organization pages. Organizations must keep their contact information up to date, especially email addresses to ensure important announcements sent from the GTSC mailing list are received. If you have a spam filter, please add the email domain dmv.ny.gov to your list of approved senders.

Project Directors may add additional people to their organization as needed. When adding new agency members to replace existing members, please remember that new agency members must also be added to the current year’s grant proposals. Project Directors can grant new agency members to the current year’s grant proposals by using the Access Management Tools within the proposal.

Progress and Activity Reports

Since GTSC requires a semi-annual progress report and final progress report on all funded projects. Reports must be submitted through the eGrants system. If an agency did not conduct grant funded activity during the reporting period, a semi-annual progress report and final progress report stating so are required. If you have additional documentation you would like to submit with your report, you will be able to upload. Provided it is in electronic format such as a word processor document, a digital image, or an Adobe pdf file. The semi-annual progress report is due by April 15; The final progress report is due by October 15.

Note that the Police Traffic Services and Child Passenger Safety programs have additional reporting requirements that are unique to that program. Please refer to the PFS Overview Narrative page and the CPS Program Overview page within each proposal to view the specific reporting requirements for that program and the date each report is due.

Claim for Payment Reimbursement Requests

The reimbursement program. Grant recipients incur the costs of the project according to their approved budget and then submit a request for reimbursement to the GTSC. Claims for payment reimbursement requests must be for actual expenditures and be submitted on a timely basis. Payment is issued through the New York State Comptroller's Office. All costs must be documented and the original payment reimbursement request must be submitted through the eGrants system. The Claim for Payment form generated through the eGrants system must be printed, signed, dated and mailed with supporting documentation to: New York State Governor's Traffic Safety Committee, Attn: Accounting Unit, 6 Empire Plaza, Room 410B, Albany, NY 12228. The claim for payment reimbursement request must be submitted through the eGrants system and the documentation mailed (postmarked) to the GTSC by the dates listed in the Claim for Payment Instruction Guide, which is available on the SafeNY.ny.gov "Forms" page.

As a reminder of submitting a final claim for payment reimbursement request for all costs incurred during the grant year, October 1 to September 30, October 31, Claim for payment reimbursement requests must be submitted through the eGrants system, and the signed and dated Claim for Payment form with supporting documentation must be mailed (postmarked) to the GTSC by October 31.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) will not reimburse late claims. While we do not intend that costs go unreimbursed, grantees must claim costs promptly or be subject to non-reimbursement.

Reimbursement and documentation requirements are outlined in the GTSC’s Claim for Payment Instruction Guide, which is available online at SafeNY.ny.gov “Forms” page.

The NYS Comptroller requires enrollment in the NYS ePay program

Please check with your fiscal agent to see if your agency is enrolled in the NYS ePay Program. For information regarding the NYS ePay Program and enrollment, please go to the New York State Comptroller’s website at http://www.osc.state.ny.us/ipay/index.htm or contact the New York State Comptroller’s Office at 518-474-4032.

www.SafeNY.ny.gov
No Contract Required for Grants Under $50,000

GENERAL INFORMATION - The enclosed approval letter is notice of authorization to initiate project activity on or after the effective date stated and to incur costs as listed in your approved budget. Before incurring any project-related costs, please log onto eGrants and review your approved grant and budget. Items, activities, and/or costs may have been reduced or denied during the review process. Only those items, activities, and costs approved in your grant and budget are eligible for reimbursement.

Approval of this project is subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the grant.

DATE OF PROJECT - Projects are funded for one year and must coincide with the federal fiscal year, with a start date of October 1 and an end date of September 30.

BUDGET - Any changes in the approved budget must be submitted through the eGrants system and approved by the GTSC before the costs incurred. A budget modification cannot increase the dollar amount of the grant award.

PAYMENTS - This is a reimbursement program. Grant recipients incur the costs of the project according to their approved budget and then submit a request for reimbursement to the GTSC. Claim for payment reimbursement requests must be for exact expenditures and be submitted on a quarterly basis. Payment is issued through the New York State Comptroller's Office. All costs must be documented and the claim for payment reimbursement request must be submitted through the eGrants system. The Claim for Payment Reimbursement must be submitted through the eGrants system. The claim for payment reimbursement request must be submitted through the eGrants system and the documentation mailed (postmarked) to the GTSC by the due date listed in the Claim for Payment Instruction Guide, which is available on the SafeNY.ny.gov "Forms" page.

The deadline for submitting a final claim for payment reimbursement request for all costs incurred during the grant year, October 1 to September 30, is October 31. Claim for payment reimbursement requests must be submitted through the eGrants system, and the signed and dated Claim for Payment form with supporting documentation must be mailed (postmarked) to the GTSC by October 31. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) will not reimburse late claims. While we do not intend that costs go unreimbursed, grantees must claim costs promptly or be subject to non-reimbursement.

Reimbursement and documentation requirements are outlined in the GTSC's Claim for Payment Instruction Guide, which is available on the SafeNY.ny.gov "Forms" page.

Community orders and equipment required to conduct the project activities as described in the grant narrative must be received by the

Equipment that costs $5,000 or more per item needs prior written approval from the GTSC and the NHTSA. The item being approved under the grant does not mean it has been approved by the NHTSA. You must contact the GTSC to obtain the written approval before the item is purchased.

All printed and educational materials or campaigns developed for this project must have prior written approval from the GTSC for content and text. These materials are subject to non-reimbursement. Educational materials must include the following acknowledgement: Funded by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration with a grant from the New York State Governor's Traffic Safety Committee. The information provided in these materials must be directly related to the initiatives approved in the grant.

Promotional items must contain a traffic safety message that directly relates to the initiatives approved in the grant. The promotional item and traffic safety message must have prior written approval from the GTSC or be subject to non-reimbursement.

REPORTING - The GTSC requires a semi-annual progress report and final progress report on all funded projects. Reports must be submitted through the eGrants system. If an agency did not conduct grant-funded activity during the reporting period, a semi-annual progress report and final progress report stating so are required. The semi-annual progress report is due by April 15. The final progress report is due by October 15.

Please note that the Police Traffic Services and Child Passenger Safety programs have additional reporting requirements that are unique to that program. Please refer to the PTS Overview Narrative page and the CPS Program Overview page within each proposal to obtain specific reporting requirements for that program and the due date each report is due.

MONITORING - The GTSC has the right to conduct on-site monitoring of grant funded projects during the grant year or within 3 years after the end of the grant. The GTSC staff will schedule on-site visits at the mutual convenience of the GTSC and the project director or designee.
To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
From: Michael P. Sharkey, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Date: 10/2/15
Re: Local Grant program, Request for Legislation

The Sheriff’s Office is a successful applicant for the New York State, Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee [GTSC], Local Grant Program With 100% Support for Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative. The GTSC has made $6,000 in funds (GTSC FFY2016) available for the Sheriff’s Office Helmet Distribution and Education Program.

$6,000 of grant money will be used to provide bicycle helmets, public information and education, designed to save lives. According to the National Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) 773 bicyclists were killed and an additional 44,000 were injured in traffic crashes during the year of 2006. Despite the fact that nearly 70 percent of all fatal bicycle crashes involve head injuries, only about 23 percent of all bicyclists wear bicycle helmets. Universal bicycle helmet use by children 4 to 15 would prevent 39,000 to 45,000 head injuries annually. Suffolk County accounts for 7% of New York State’s total population, however, pedestrians killed in Suffolk County account for nearly 11% of all pedestrians killed in New York State. Bicyclists killed make up 12% of the New York State total, which is almost twice as high as what should be expected based on population. Bicyclists injured in Suffolk made up 8% of the New York State total, and 32% of those bicyclists were not wearing helmets when they were injured.

Grant funding will help to continue and carry out the Helmet Distribution and Education Program.

An e-mail version of the resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW, saved under the title “R eso-Sheriff-N.Y.S. Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee, Local Grant Program with 100% Support for Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative”. Thank you for your consideration in reviewing this draft resolution. We request that this resolution be laid on the table at your earliest convenience.

MPS/dlh

Att.
RESOLUTION NO. TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT REFUNDS AND CHARGEBACKS ON CORRECTION OR ERRORS/ COUNTY TREASURER BY: COUNTY LEGISLATURE #440

WHEREAS, the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk may cancel assessments and grant refunds of taxes, in the case of erroneous or improper assessments, pursuant to the provisions of the Real Property Tax Law and the Suffolk County Tax Act, and

WHEREAS, the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers indicated below have been erroneously or improperly assessed as appears from the certificates of Assessors of the respective towns in which said properties are situated as described below and the procedures as provided in the Real Property Tax Law have been fully complied with, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the taxes for the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers as shown for the year or years specified be readjusted or refunded in full or in part in the amount set opposite each such parcel as hereinafter indicated, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the amount of such adjustment or refund be charged back to the respective town as provided by law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Original Year</th>
<th>Original Tax</th>
<th>Corrected Tax</th>
<th>Chargeback or Refund, if paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0500-098.00-01.00-055.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$11,185.51</td>
<td>$.0</td>
<td>$11,185.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: Approved By:

__________________________
Suffolk County Executive

Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
Resolution XXX Local Law Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
To readjust, compromise and grant refunds and charge backs on Correction of Errors/County Treasurer By: County Treasurer

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
To cancel or adjust taxes and make refunds and charge backs due to erroneous or improper assessments.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? YES XXX NO

5. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
In the case of refunds, the County will initially refund the amount of the incorrect tax. Approximately 81% of the refunded amount will be charged back to the Town to be added to the subsequent year’s tax warrant. The remainder will be a County charge. If the original tax is unpaid, the same procedure would apply, however, no County monies would be refunded and it will be charged back to the Town within twelve to eighteen months.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
Unknown

8. Proposed Source of Funding
To be refunded from the County General Fund

9. Timing of Impact
Variable

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
Barry S. Paul
County Treasurer

11. Signature of Preparer
Barry S. Paul

12. Date
10/5/15
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM: Suffolk County Treasurer

DATE: October 5, 2015

RE: RESOLUTION FOR CANCELLATION OF TAXES, CONTROL # 440

Enclosed please find a proposed resolution, which this office requests be submitted to the Suffolk County Legislature for approval.

Also enclosed is any back-up material pertaining to this request.

Should you need anything further, please contact me.

SCT:mas
Enc.
Cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations

www.co.suffolk.ny.us/treas
RESOLUTION NO – 2015, AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL SOFTWARE LICENSES AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TRANSIT AUTOMATED VEHICLE LOCATOR (AVL) SYSTEM, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND 2015 OPERATING BUDGET AND ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING FEDERAL AID AND STATE AID AND COUNTY FUNDS (CP 5648)

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 767-2009 authorized funds for the purchase and installation of an Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system for Suffolk Transit; and

WHEREAS, additional funds for this project are needed to purchase software licenses and maintenance services for paratransit buses which were not part of the Suffolk County Transit fleet when the original agreement with INIT, Inc. to provide and install an AVL system was signed; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) provide funds for mass transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, said project is supported by FTA Grant Nos. NY-90-X617, and NY-90-X648 which contain sufficient funds to finance 90% of the additional funds needed; and

WHEREAS, the grant agreements for the Federal and associated State financial assistance impose certain obligations upon the County, and require the County to commit resources necessary to initially cover the total project costs of the grants; and

WHEREAS, Federal reimbursement to the County will be 80% and State reimbursement to the County will be up to 50% of the non-federal share of federally funded projects, but not to exceed 10% of federally funded total project costs and the County will provide the remaining 10% local share of project costs; and

WHEREAS, the additional cost necessary to complete the project is estimated to be $119,923 with the Federal and State shares amounting to 90% of the additional cost; and

WHEREAS, there are available general operating funds to support the appropriation of the county share for this project as “pay as you go” funds within the 2015 Capital Budget and Program; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQRA”), Environmental Conservation Law Article 8, hereby finds and determines that this law constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Volume 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (“NYCRR”) Section 617.5 (25) and (27), in that the resolution concerns purchasing of furnishings, equipment and supplies, other than land, radioactive materials, pesticides, herbicides or other hazardous materials, and adoption of a local legislative decision in connection with the same; as a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA; and be it further
2nd RESOLVED that the Adopted 2015 Operating Budget be and hereby is amended and that the following appropriations be and hereby are transferred:

From:
Transportation:
Contracted Agencies
001-DPW-5643-4980

To:
Interfund Transfer:
Transfer to Capital Fund
001-IFT-E525-9600

Amount
$11,992

and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that these interfund revenues and expenditures be and hereby are effectuated and transferred to the Capital Fund as follows:

EXPENDITURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFT</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>E525</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>Transfer to Capital Fund</td>
<td>$11,992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REVENUES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Rev Source</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFT</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>R001</td>
<td>E525</td>
<td>Transfer from General Fund</td>
<td>$11,992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Ref. 525-CAP-IFTR-R001)

and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that the County Treasurer and County Comptroller are hereby authorized and directed to accept these interfund revenues and effectuate these interfund transfers, including the associated cash transfers required to finance this capital project.

5th RESOLVED, that the 2015 Capital Budget and Program be and they are hereby amended as follows:

Project No. 5648
Project Title: Equipment for Public Transit Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Equipment</th>
<th>Current 2015</th>
<th>Revised 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget &amp;</td>
<td>Budget &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est'd Cost</td>
<td>$560,000F</td>
<td>$560,000F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$70,000 S</td>
<td>$70,000S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$70,000 B</td>
<td>$70,000B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>$711,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further
6th RESOLVED, that that the $11,992 General Fund transfer be and hereby is appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5648.520</td>
<td>Purchase and Installation of AVL System</td>
<td>$11,992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

7th RESOLVED, that the State Aid be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5648.520</td>
<td>Purchase and Installation of AVL System</td>
<td>$11,992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

8th RESOLVED, that the Federal Aid be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5648.520</td>
<td>Purchase and Installation of AVL System</td>
<td>$95,939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

9th RESOLVED, that the County Treasurer and the County Comptroller are authorized to accept State and Federal aid in connection with this project.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

---

County Executive of Suffolk County
Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution    X    Local Law    Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   RESOLUTION NO - 2015, AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL SOFTWARE LICENSES AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TRANSIT AUTOMATED VEHICLE LOCATOR (AVL) SYSTEM, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND 2015 OPERATING BUDGET AND ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING FEDERAL AID AND STATE AID AND COUNTY FUNDS (CP 5648)

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   See above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?    Yes    No    X

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
   County  Town  Economic Impact
   Village  School District  Other (Specify):
   Library District  Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   N/A

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   Federal Aid 80% $95,939
   State Aid 10%   $11,992
   Suffolk County General Funds 10%   $11,992

9. Timing of Impact
   Upon Adoption

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Nicholas Paglia
    Executive Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer
    [Signature]

12. Date
    October 22, 2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
## GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVERAGE TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AVG TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVERAGE TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AVG TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVERAGE TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AVG TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL SOFTWARE LICENSES AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TRANSIT AUTOMATED VEHICLE LOCATOR (AVL) SYSTEM AND ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING FEDERAL AID AND STATE AID AND COUNTY FUNDS (CP 5648)

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: Authorizes the purchase of additional software licenses and maintenance services for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system and accepting and appropriating Federal and State aid and County funds for this project.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: Authorizes the purchase of additional software licenses and maintenance services for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system and accepting and appropriating Federal and State aid and County funds for this project.

JUSTIFICATION: Additional funds for this project are needed to purchase software licenses and maintenance services for paratransit buses which were not part of the Suffolk County Transit fleet when the original agreement with INIT, Inc. to provide an install an AVL system was signed. At the time, the paratransit fleet had 143 buses; it has since grown to 180.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: The total cost is estimated to be $119,923 with the federal, state and county shares being 80%, 10% and 10%, respectively, of the total project costs. Funds for this project are available under FTA grant Nos. NY-90-X617 and NY-90-X648.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
Department of Public Works
DATE: October 5, 2015
RE: Draft resolution authorizing the purchase of additional software licenses and maintenance services for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system

Attached, please find a draft resolution authorizing the purchase of additional software licenses and maintenance services for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system and accepting and appropriating Federal and State aid and County funds for this project. Additional funds for this project are needed to purchase software licenses and maintenance services for paratransit buses which were not part of the Suffolk County Transit fleet when the original agreement with INIT, Inc. to provide an install an AVL system was signed. At the time, the paratransit fleet had 143 buses; it has since grown to 180.

The total cost is estimated to be $119,923 with the federal, state and county shares being 80%, 10% and 10%, respectively, of the total project costs. Funds for this project are available under FTA grant Nos. NY-90-X617 and NY-90-X648.

This proposed resolution, with backup, will be forwarded electronically titled: "Reso-DPW- Addtl Trapeze PASS-MON licenses – AVL System."

Please initiate the process to have this resolution introduced at the next meeting of the Suffolk County Legislature. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Garry Lenberger, Director of Transportation Operations, at 2-4880.

GA:GL:cc
Enclosures
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH SAGTIKOS MANOR HISTORICAL SOCIETY FOR SAGTIKOS MANOR COUNTY PARK, WEST BAY SHORE

WHEREAS, Sagtikos Manor Historical Society, a not-for-profit, has operated under a custodial agreement with the County dated June 10, 2005 for the restoration and management of Sagtikos Manor County Park in West Bay Shore; and

WHEREAS, Sagtikos Manor Historical Society has expressed a willingness to continue to assist the County in maintaining, restoring, and managing Sagtikos Manor and the surrounding grounds, structures and buildings on the site, and providing access and programs for the public at Sagtikos Manor County Park; and

WHEREAS, the Sagtikos Manor Historical Society will act as custodian pursuant to the Historic Trust Manual, Revised Edition, 2010, as published by the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality, and

WHEREAS, Sagtikos Manor Historical Society would like to enter into a license agreement for a term of twenty (20) years with the County of Suffolk for the non-exclusive use, maintenance, restoration and management of Sagtikos Manor and the surrounding grounds, structures and buildings at Sagtikos Manor County Park; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation, Conservation is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, pursuant to Section 28-4(D) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER, to enter into a License Agreement, for a term of twenty (20) years with Sagtikos Manor Historical Society, for the non-exclusive use, maintenance, restoration and management of Sagtikos Manor and the surrounding grounds, structures and buildings at Sagtikos Manor County Park; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), New York Environmental Conservation Law, Article 8, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Volume 6 of New York Code of Rules and Regulations ("NYCRR") §617.5(c)(15), (20), and (27), in that the resolution concerns minor temporary uses of land having negligible or no permanent impact on the environment, routine, or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities, and adoption of a local legislative decision in connection with the same, and, since this is a Type II action, the County Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA.
DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X  Local Law ____  Charter Law ____

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   AUTHORIZING A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH SAGTIKOS MANOR HISTORICAL SOCIETY FOR SAGTIKOS MANOR COUNTY PARK, WEST BAY SHORE

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   See #2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  Yes ____  No  X____

5. If the answer to item 4 is “yes”, on what will it impact?  (circle appropriate category)
   County  Town  Economic Impact
   Village  School District  Other (Specify):
   Library District  Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is “yes”, Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   N/A

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   N/A

9. Timing Impact
   N/A

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Emily R. Lauri
    Community Relations Director
    Dept. of Parks, Recreation & Conservation

11. Signature of Preparer
    Emily R. Lauri

12. Date
    10/06/15
### General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
1) Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
3) Source for equalization rates: tentative 2014 County Equalization Rates established by the New York State Board of Equalization and Assessments.
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2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: Authorizing a License Agreement with Sagtikos Manor Historical Society for Sagtikos Manor County Park, West Bay Shore

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: The purpose of this resolution is to authorize the license agreement with Sagtikos Manor Historical Society for the restoration, maintenance and management of Sagtikos Manor and its surrounding grounds at Sagtikos Manor County Park, West Bay Shore.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: This resolution authorizes the license agreement with Sagtikos Manor Historical Society for the restoration, maintenance and management of Sagtikos Manor and its surrounding grounds at Sagtikos Manor County Park, West Bay Shore. The Sagtikos Manor Historical Society has been operating under a custodial license agreement with Parks since 2005 and has provided residents of Suffolk County with guided tours, programming and special events.

JUSTIFICATION: This resolution will allow an Historic and Culturally Significant Property to be protected, renovated and maintained while providing access and programs to the general public.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: No cost to the County.
TO: JON SCHNEIDER, Deputy County Executive
FROM: GREG DAWSON, Commissioner
DATE: October 6, 2015
RE: INTRODUCTORY RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH SAGTIKOS MANOR HISTORICAL SOCIETY FOR SAGTIKOS MANOR COUNTY PARK, WEST BAY SHORE

Enclosed please find a draft resolution and supporting documentation relative to the above-captioned. An e-mail version of this resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW under the file name “RESO-PKS-Authorizing License Agreement with Sagtikos Manor Historical Society for Sagtikos Manor County Park.doc”

Sagtikos Manor Historical Society has operated under a custodial license agreement with the County for the restoration and management of the site since 2005. The organization desires to continue to assist the County in maintaining, restoring and managing Sagtikos Manor and the surrounding grounds and providing access and programs for the public. As such, the Parks Department would like to enter into a license agreement with this organization in order to clarify the services and requirements under the agreement.

Should you require anything further, please contact my office at 4-4947.

Enclosures
RESOLUTION NO.  1834-15  
Laid on the Table 11/4/15

Intro. Res. No.  1834-15  
Introduced by Presiding Officer on Request of the County Executive

RESOLUTION NO. 1834-15, AMENDING THE 2015 OPERATING BUDGET AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH BONDING FOR A SETTLEMENT FOR A LIABILITY CASE AGAINST THE COUNTY

WHEREAS, the Ways and Means Committee has approved a settlement for a negligence action against the County for the amount of One Million Five Hundred Thousand ($1,500,000) Dollars; and

WHEREAS, the above settlement is a mandated expense that must be paid by the County; and

WHEREAS, sufficient funds to satisfy lawsuits, orders, judgments and settlements are not available in the 2015 Operating Budget; and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature, by Resolution of even date herewith, has authorized the issuance of One Million Five Hundred Thousand ($1,500,000) Dollars in Suffolk County Serial Bonds to cover the cost of the above referenced settlement; now, therefore be it

1\textsuperscript{st} RESOLVED, that the settlement for the total sum of One Million Five Hundred Thousand ($1,500,000) Dollars be bonded and paid under the authority of the Office of Risk Management, County Department of Law, in conjunction with the County Department of Audit and Control and the County Executive’s Budget Office; and be it further

2\textsuperscript{nd} RESOLVED, that the proceeds of One Million Five Hundred Thousand ($1,500,000) Dollars in Suffolk County Serial Bonds be and are hereby appropriated as follows:

REVENUES:
038-2780 Proceeds: Debt $1,500,000

APPROPRIATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Liability Insurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>038-MSC-1914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8505 – Settlements</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of the County of Suffolk

Date of Approval:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Type of Legislation</th>
<th>Resolution <strong>X</strong></th>
<th>Local Law _____</th>
<th>Charter Law _____</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Title of Proposed Legislation</td>
<td><strong>AMENDING THE 2015 OPERATING BUDGET AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH BONDING FOR A SETTLEMENT FOR A LIABILITY CASE AGAINST THE COUNTY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation</td>
<td>See above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?</td>
<td><strong>Yes X</strong></td>
<td>No _____</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If the answer to item 4 is &quot;yes&quot;, on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)</td>
<td><strong>County</strong></td>
<td><strong>Town</strong></td>
<td>Economic Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Village</strong></td>
<td><strong>School District</strong></td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Library District</strong></td>
<td><strong>Fire District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. If the answer to item 5 is &quot;yes&quot;, Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact</td>
<td><strong>SERIAL BONDS WILL BE ISSUED TO FINANCE THIS SETTLEMENT. PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST COSTS WILL BE INCURRED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BONDS. SEE ATTACHED DEBT SCHEDULE.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.</td>
<td><strong>SEE ATTACHED.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Proposed Source of Funding</td>
<td><strong>SERIAL BONDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Timing of Impact</td>
<td><strong>IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT BONDS WILL BE ISSUED FALL 2015 AND DEBT SERVICE WILL COMMENCE SPRING 2017. THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT IN 2015.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Typed Name &amp; Title of Preparer</td>
<td>Tricia Saunders</td>
<td><strong>Principal Research Analyst</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Signature of Preparer</td>
<td><strong>[Signature]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Date</td>
<td>October 15, 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2017</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$282,531.86</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
<td>$327,531.86</td>
<td>$327,531.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2018</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$291,007.81</td>
<td>$18,262.02</td>
<td>$309,269.83</td>
<td>$327,531.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$299,738.05</td>
<td>$13,896.90</td>
<td>$313,634.95</td>
<td>$327,531.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$308,730.19</td>
<td>$9,400.83</td>
<td>$318,131.02</td>
<td>$327,531.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$317,992.09</td>
<td>$4,769.88</td>
<td>$322,761.98</td>
<td>$327,531.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$1,500,000.00 $137,659.29 $1,637,659.29 $1,637,659.29
**FINANCIAL IMPACT**
**2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY**
**COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER**

### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

1) **SOURCE** FOR NUMBER OF FAMILY PARCELS AND CORRESPONDING ASSESSED VALUATION: SUFFOLK COUNTY REAL PROPERTY, 2014.
3) **SOURCE** FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.

Page 2 of 2

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
### General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>Estimated 2016* Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>Estimated 2016* Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>Estimated 2016* Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Estimated 2016 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.

**Notes:**

1. Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County real property, 2014.
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TO: Jon Schneider  
Deputy County Executive

FROM: Dennis M. Brown  
County Attorney

DATE: October 6, 2015

RE: Bond Resolution

Enclosed you will find a draft of a resolution for bonding $1,500,000 for the settlement of a general liability case. The settlement was approved by the Ways and Means committee. Payment must be made within 90 days of receipt closing papers. This memo is to request the processing of the resolution.

Should you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.
RESOLUTION NO. TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT REFUNDS AND CHARGEBACKS ON CORRECTION OR ERRORS/COUNTY TREASURER BY: COUNTY LEGISLATURE (CONTROL #439)

WHEREAS, the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk may cancel assessments and grant refunds of taxes, in the case of erroneous or improper assessments, pursuant to the provisions of the Real Property Tax Law and the Suffolk County Tax Act, and

WHEREAS, the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers indicated below have been erroneously or improperly assessed as appears from the certificates of Assessors of the respective towns in which said properties are situated as described below and the procedures as provided in the Real Property Tax Law have been fully complied with, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the taxes for the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers as shown for the year or years specified be readjusted or refunded in full or in part in the amount set opposite each such parcel as hereinafter indicated, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the amount of such adjustment or refund be charged back to the respective town as provided by law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Original Tax</th>
<th>Corrected Tax</th>
<th>Chargeback or Refund, if paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southampton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item # 56980901</td>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>$4047.10</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$4047.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0900-260.00-04.00-005.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated:                   Approved By:

Suffolk County Executive

Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution XXX
   Local Law
   Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   To readjust, compromise and grant refunds and charge backs on Correction of Errors/County Treasurer By: County Treasurer

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To cancel or adjust taxes and make refunds and charge backs due to erroneous or improper assessments.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? YES XXX NO

5. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate category)

   County
   Village
   Library District

   Town
   School District
   Fire District

   Economic Impact
   Other (Specify):

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   In the case of refunds, the County will initially refund the amount of the incorrect tax. Approximately 81% of the refunded amount will be charged back to the Town to be added to the subsequent year’s tax warrant. The remainder will be a County charge. If the original tax is unpaid, the same procedure would apply, however, no County monies would be refunded and it will be charged back to the Town within twelve to eighteen months.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   Unknown

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   To be refunded from the County General Fund

9. Timing of Impact
   Variable

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul
    County Treasurer

11. Signature of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul

12. Date
    10/5/15
MEMORANDUM

TO: jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Suffolk County Treasurer
DATE: October 2, 2015
RE: RESOLUTION FOR CANCELLATION OF TAXES, CONTROL # 439

Enclosed please find a proposed resolution, which this office requests be submitted to the Suffolk County Legislature for approval.

Also enclosed is any back-up material pertaining to this request.

Should you need anything further, please contact me.

SCT:II
Enc.
Cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL
BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN
CONNECTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS ON
VARIOUS COUNTY ROADS (CP 5497)

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Public Works has requested funds for construction in
connection with Construction of Sidewalks on Various County Roads; and

WHEREAS, the professional engineering services associated with the planning, design
and construction of this project have been and will be performed by the staff of the Department
of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, sufficient funds are not included in the 2015 Capital Budget and Program to
cover the cost of said request and pursuant to Suffolk County Charter, Section C4-13, an
offsetting authorization must be provided from another capital project; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 471-1994 and as amended by Resolution No. 461-2006 has
established a priority ranking system as the basis for funding Capital Projects such as this
project; and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature, by resolution of even date herewith, has authorized
the issuance of $200,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby determines that construction and/or
restoration of sidewalks on various County roads constitutes a Type II action pursuant to the
provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(C) (1), (2), (20) and (27) since the action involves a
legislative decision concerning routine maintenance or repair involving no substantial changes
in existing structure and/or replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure, in kind,
on the same site; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined that this project, with a priority ranking of
forty-nine (49) is eligible for approval in accordance with the provisions of Resolution No. 471-
1994 as revised by Resolution No. 461-2006; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized,
empowered and directed to take such action as may be necessary to complete Construction of
Sidewalks on Various County Roads, pursuant to Section C8-2 (A) of the Suffolk County
Charter; and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that the 2015 Capital Budget and Program be and they are hereby
amended as follows:

Project No.: 5116
Project Title: Improvements to Center Medians
1. Planning & Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current 2015</th>
<th>Revised 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est'd Cost</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $500,000 | $250,000B | $50,000B |

TOTAL

Project No.: 5497
Project Title: Construction of Sidewalks on Various County Roads

3. Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current 2015</th>
<th>Revised 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est'd Cost</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $4,400,000 | $500,000B | $700,000B |

TOTAL

$4,800,000 | $500,000 | $700,000 |

and be it further

5th RESOLVED, that the proceeds of $200,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>J.C.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5497.336 (Fund 001 Debt Service)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Construction of Sidewalks on Various County Roads</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

Resolution  X  Local Law  Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-2015, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS ON VARIOUS COUNTY ROADS (CP 5497)

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

See above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  Yes  X  No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

County  
Town
Economic Impact

Village  
School District  
Other (Specify):

Library District  
Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact

SERIAL BONDS WILL BE ISSUED TO FINANCE THIS PROJECT. PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST COSTS WILL BE INCURRED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BONDS.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

SEE ATTACHED DEBT SCHEDULE

8. Proposed Source of Funding

SERIAL BONDS

9. Timing of Impact

IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT BONDS WILL BE ISSUED SPRING OF 2016 AND DEBT SERVICE WILL COMMENCE SPRING 2017. THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT IN 2015 OR 2016. EARLIEST DEBT SERVICE FISCAL IMPACT WILL BE IN THE 2017 OPERATING BUDGET. ATTACHED 2017 CAT BASED ON 2015 DATA.

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
Nicholas Paglia
Executive Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer

12. Date
October 21, 2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2017* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$24,251</td>
<td>$0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2017* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2017* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$24,251</td>
<td>$0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Estimated 2017 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.

### NOTES:

3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2016</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$16,917.39</td>
<td>$7,333.33</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2018</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$17,537.69</td>
<td>$3,356.51</td>
<td>$20,894.21</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$18,180.74</td>
<td>$3,034.99</td>
<td>$21,215.73</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$18,847.37</td>
<td>$2,701.68</td>
<td>$21,549.05</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$19,538.44</td>
<td>$2,356.14</td>
<td>$21,894.58</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$20,254.85</td>
<td>$1,997.94</td>
<td>$22,252.79</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$20,997.53</td>
<td>$1,626.60</td>
<td>$22,624.12</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$21,767.44</td>
<td>$1,241.64</td>
<td>$23,009.08</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$22,565.58</td>
<td>$842.57</td>
<td>$23,408.15</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$23,392.98</td>
<td>$428.87</td>
<td>$23,821.85</td>
<td>$24,250.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$42,507.22</td>
<td>$242,507.22</td>
<td>$242,507.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5/1/2028
5/1/2029
5/1/2030
5/1/2031
5/1/2032
5/1/2033
5/1/2034
## FINANCIAL IMPACT
### 2016 PROPERTY TAX LEVY*
#### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Estimated 2016 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.*

### NOTES:
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**


3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and Appropriating funds in connection with Installation of Sidewalks on Various County Roads (CP 5497)

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: This additional funding will provide for construction funds to repair/replace or install sidewalk along County roads. Improvements include repairing and/or replacement of sections of sidewalk, or installation of sidewalk where there is none existing and may include the removal of trees and spot drainage modifications. Slope grading and seeding may also be required.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: An offset is required and has been identified in CP 5116 (Improvements to Center Medians), as sufficient funding exists within the existing appropriation to complete the present phase of the project.

JUSTIFICATION: These funds enable Suffolk County Department of Public Works to continue the County Executive’s Complete Streets initiative with the repair/replacement and installation of sidewalks along County roads.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: Bonds will be issued to finance this project and principal and interest costs will be incurred over the life of the Bonds.
MEMORANDUM

TO:  Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM:  Gilbert Anderson, P.E. Commissioner

DATE:  October 5, 2015

RE:  Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and Appropriating Funds in Connection with Construction of Sidewalks on Various County Roads (CP 5497)

Attached is a draft resolution to appropriate the sum of $200,000 for construction in connection with the above referenced project. There are insufficient funds included in the 2015 Capital Budget and Program for this request and, as such, an offset must be provided. It is our intent to utilize a portion of the funding from CP 5116 (Improvements to Center Medians on Various County Roads), as sufficient funding has already been appropriated to complete the present phase of this project.

As part of the County Executive’s Complete Streets initiative, this funding provides for the installation and restoration of sidewalks on County roads to advance a safe environment for pedestrian traffic. Improvements include concrete curb and sidewalk, as well as possible spot drainage modifications.

Along with funding appropriated under Resolution No. 731-2015, the Department intends to progress with sidewalk installation and/or repair along CR 80, Montauk Highway from the vicinity of Louis Avenue to the vicinity of Old Neck Road (Brookhaven); CR 71, Mill Road from the vicinity of Montauk Highway to the vicinity of Oneck Lane (Southampton); and CR 11, Pulaski Road from the vicinity of Stony Hollow to the vicinity of Woods Lane (Smithtown). It may be necessary to add and/or substitute other locations due to seasonal limitations, changes in priorities or other requirements to be determined by this Department.

The Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality has reviewed projects of this nature and have determined that construction and/or restoration of sidewalks on various County roads constitutes a Type II action pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c) (1), (2), (20) and (27) and the Suffolk County Legislature has concurred with these findings.

An e-mail version of this resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW saved under the title “Reso-DPW-CP5497(Sidewalks Oct).doc”.

GA/WH/tf
attach.
cc: William Hillman, P.E., Chief Engineer
    Charles Jaquin, Acting Head of Finance Division
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH THE RECONSTRUCTION OF CR 97, NICOLLS ROAD (CP 5512)

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Public Works has requested funds for engineering in connection with the reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road; and

WHEREAS, there are no funds included in the 2015 Capital Budget and Program to cover the cost of said request and pursuant to Suffolk County Charter, Section C4-13, an offsetting authorization must be provided from another capital project; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 471-1994 and as amended by Resolution No. 461-2006 has established a priority ranking system as the basis for funding Capital Projects such as this project; and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature, by resolution of even date herewith, has authorized the issuance of $50,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), Environmental Conservation Law Article 8, hereby finds and determines that this law constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Section 617.5 (C) (20), (21) and (27) of Title 6 of New York Code of Rules and Regulations ("NYCRR"), in that the law authorizes information collection, including basic data collection and research, and preliminary planning processes necessary to formulate a proposal for an action, but does not commit the County to commence or approve an action; since this law is a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined that this project, with a priority ranking of sixty-two (62) is eligible for approval in accordance with the provisions of Resolution No. 471-1994 as revised by Resolution No. 461-2006; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to take such action as may be necessary to complete the reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road, pursuant to Section C8-2 (A) of the Suffolk County Charter; and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that the 2015 Capital Budget and Program be and they are hereby amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.:</th>
<th>5116</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Title:</td>
<td>Improvements to Center Medians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Revised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est'd Budget &amp; Program</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


1. Planning and Design                                      $500,000  $250,000B  $200,000B
        TOTAL                                      $500,000  $250,000  $200,000

        Project No.:  5512
        Project Title:  Reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road

Current  Revised
2015  2015
Total  Capital  Capital
Est'd  Budget &  Budget &
Cost  Program  Program

1. Planning & Design                                      $4,490,000  $0  $50,000B
        TOTAL                                      $13,040,000  $600,000  $650,000

and be it further

5th RESOLVED, that the proceeds of $50,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>J.C.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5512.114</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fund 001 Debt Service)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH THE RECONSTRUCTION OF CR 97, NICOLLS ROAD (CP 5512)

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

See above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? Yes X No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

- County
- Town
- Economic Impact
- Village
- School District
- Other (Specify):
- Library District
- Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact

SERIAL BONDS WILL BE ISSUED TO FINANCE THIS PROJECT. PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST COSTS WILL BE INCURRED OVER THE LIFE OF THE BONDS.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

SEE ATTACHED DEBT SCHEDULE

8. Proposed Source of Funding

SERIAL BONDS

9. Timing of Impact

IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT BONDS WILL BE ISSUED SPRING OF 2016 AND DEBT SERVICE WILL COMMENCE SPRING 2017. THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT IN 2015 OR 2016. EARLIEST DEBT SERVICE FISCAL IMPACT WILL BE IN THE 2017 OPERATING BUDGET. ATTACHED 2017 CAT BASED ON 2015 DATA.

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
Nicholas Paglia
Executive Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer

12. Date
October 21, 2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
# Financial Impact

## 2017 Property Tax Levy

### Cost to the Average Taxpayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>Estimated 2017* Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Fund</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$11,043</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police District and District Court</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$11,043</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Estimated 2017 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.*

---

**Notes:**

1. **Source for Number of Family Parcels and Corresponding Assessed Valuation:** Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
3. **Source for Equalization Rates:** 2014 County Equalization Rates Established by the New York State Board of Equalization and Assessments.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2017</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$9,342.72</td>
<td>$1,700.00</td>
<td>$11,042.72</td>
<td>$11,042.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2018</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$9,660.38</td>
<td>$691.17</td>
<td>$10,351.55</td>
<td>$11,042.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$9,988.83</td>
<td>$526.95</td>
<td>$10,515.78</td>
<td>$11,042.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$10,328.45</td>
<td>$357.14</td>
<td>$10,685.59</td>
<td>$11,042.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$10,679.62</td>
<td>$181.55</td>
<td>$10,861.17</td>
<td>$11,042.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$5,213.62</td>
<td>$55,213.62</td>
<td>$55,213.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FINANCIAL IMPACT**
**2016 PROPERTY TAX LEVY**
**COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER**

### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVERAGE TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVERAGE TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVERAGE TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Estimated 2016 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.*

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
# Financial Impact

## 2015 Property Tax Levy

### General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1) Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.


3) Source for equalization rates: 2014 County Equalization Rates Established by the New York State Board of Equalization and Assessments.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and Appropriating Funds in Connection with the Reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road (CP 5512)

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: Due to NYSDOT's review, a complete redesign of the preferred alternative is required in connection with Improvements to CR 97, Nicolls Road at NY 25A.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: An offset is required and has been identified in CP 5116 (Improvements to Center Medians), as sufficient funding exists within the existing appropriation to complete the present phase of the project.

JUSTIFICATION: This will enable the consultant engineer to accomplish the redesign in accordance with NYSDOT requirements.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: Bonds will be issued to finance this project and principal and interest costs will be incurred over the life of the Bonds.
MEMORANDUM

TO:        Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM:      Gilbert Anderson, P.E. Commissioner

DATE:      October 5, 2015

RE:        Amending the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and Appropriating Funds in Connection with the Reconstruction of CR 97, Nicolls Road (CP 5512)

Attached is a draft resolution to appropriate the sum of $50,000 for engineering in connection with the above referenced project. There are no funds included in the 2015 Capital Budget and Program for this project and, as such, an offset must be provided. It is our intent to utilize a portion of the funding from CP 5116 (Improvements to Center Medians on Various County Roads), as sufficient funding has already been appropriated to complete the present phase of this project.

A portion of the funding for the initial engineering phase(s) of this project (study, planning, preliminary design) was provided under CP 5497 (Construction of Sidewalks on Various County Roads). The New York State Department of Transportation is requiring a complete redesign of the preferred alternative; the request for this funding will enable the consultant engineer to accomplish this redesign in accordance with NYSDOT’s permit review requirements.

The Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality has reviewed these types of projects and has determined that projects of this nature constitute a Type II action, pursuant to Section 617.5(c) (20), (21) and (27) of Title 6 of New York Code of Rules and Regulations ("NYCRR"), therefore, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA.

An e-mail version of this resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW saved under the title “Reso-DPW-CP5512(GRg7@25A).doc”.

GA/WH/td
attach.
cc: William Hillman, P.E., Chief Engineer
    Charles Jaquin, Acting Head of Finance Division

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF COUNTY-OWNED SURPLUS UNUSED RIGHT OF WAY HAVING A SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX MAP IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF DISTRICT 0100 SECTION 128.00 BLOCK 02.00 LOT 036.000 PURSUANT TO SECTION 125 OF THE NEW YORK STATE HIGHWAY LAW.

WHEREAS, the County of Suffolk is the fee owner of a certain portion of unused right of way situated in the Town of Babylon, Suffolk County, New York as more fully described in the map and description attached as Exhibit “1”; and

WHEREAS, said unused portion of right of way is surplus to the needs of the County of Suffolk; and

WHEREAS, Section 125 of the New York State Highway Law strictly limits the sale of surplus unused right of way to the property owner fronting said unused right of way at fair market value; and

WHEREAS, the present owner of the parcel having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0100 Section 128.00 Block 02.00 Lot 007.000 has requested to purchase from the County of Suffolk, at fair market value, the surplus and unused right of way fronting said tax map parcel; and

WHEREAS, the County of Suffolk did commission appraisals for the requested conveyance that were performed by an independent, outside appraiser selected in accordance with established Suffolk County procedures; and

WHEREAS, upon review of said appraisals in accordance with established Suffolk County procedures, the County of Suffolk determined that the fair market value of the unused and surplus right of way having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0100 Section 128.00 Block 02.00 Lot 036.000 was Eighteen Thousand Five Hundred & 00/100 ($18,500.00) Dollars; and

WHEREAS, Desmond D'Souza the present owner of the parcel having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0100 Section 128.00 Block 02.00 Lot 007.000 has agreed to pay to the County of Suffolk the sum Eighteen Thousand Five Hundred & 00/100 ($18,500.00) Dollars, said sum representing the fair market value of the surplus and unused right of way fronting said tax map parcel; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the above activity is an unlisted action pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR part 617; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the action will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment for the following reasons:
1. The proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.7(c) which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form; and

2. The proposed action simply transfers ownership of land;

and be it further

3rd **RESOLVED**, said parcel is surplus to the needs of the County of Suffolk; and be it further

4th **RESOLVED**, that this purchase is authorized pursuant to Section 125 of the New York State Highway Law; and be it further

5th **RESOLVED**, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is directed to convey said surplus and unused right of way described herein to Desmond D'Souza the present owner or his successors in interest of the parcel having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0100 Section 128.00 Block 02.00 Lot 007.000, for the total sum of Eighteen Thousand Five Hundred & 00/100 ($18,500.00) Dollars, plus the pro-rata share of the current tax adjustments due at closing along with all recording fees and transfer taxes; and be it further

6th **RESOLVED**, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, will receive and deposit the sum of Eighteen Thousand Five Hundred & 00/100 ($18,500.00) Dollars, plus the pro-rata share of the current tax adjustments pursuant to said purchase offer; and be it further

7th **RESOLVED**, that the Commissioner of the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, or his Deputy, be and hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a quitclaim deed to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above-described property and upon the above-described terms and conditions to said party or their successors in interest.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

____________________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
EXHIBIT “1”

All that certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the Town of Babylon, County of Suffolk, State of New York, being property as acquired by the County of Suffolk for construction of Straight Path, C.R. 2 and being parts of lots 28, 29, 30, 32 in block 11 Map of Breslau Garden Plots Sec.5, as filed in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk April 14, 1881 as Map No.29, being bounded and described as follows.

Beginning at a point on the easterly side of said Straight Path, as widened, distant 97.12 feet northerly, as measured along the easterly side of Straight Path, from the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly side of Straight Path and the northerly side of Kellum Street, said point being distant 37.00 feet easterly, as measured at right angles from station 161+23.00 of the centerline of said Straight Path.

Running thence northerly along the easterly side of Straight Path, North 22 degrees 21 minutes 37 seconds East, 44.07 feet, to a point distant 37.00 feet easterly, as measured at right angles from station 161+67.07 of the centerline of said Straight Path.

Running thence easterly South 73 degrees, 00 minutes, 39 seconds East, 50.14 feet, to the westerly side of Tenety Avenue, said point being distant 86.93 feet easterly, as measured at right angles from station 161+71.76 of the centerline of said Straight Path.

Running thence southerly along the westerly side of Tenety Avenue, South 19 degrees, 24 minutes, 23 seconds, East, 65.38 feet, to a point being distant 130.47 feet easterly as measured at right angles from station 161+23.00, of the centerline of said Straight Path.

Running thence westerly North 67 degrees, 38 minutes, 23 seconds West, 93.47 feet, to the easterly side of Straight Path to the point or place of Beginning.

Containing therein 3,378 square feet or 0.0775 acres.
Survey of property to be acquired from County of Suffolk

Originally shown as part of lots 28, 29, 30, 32 Block 11 Map of Breslau Garden Plots Sec. 5

Town of Babylon, Suffolk Co., New York
Map No. 29 Filled: April 14, 1881
Area: 3,378 sq. ft. or 0.0775 acres.

Surveyed: Dec. 5, 2012
Scale: 1" = 15'

File: 21,378 - A

Frank J. Ward L.S.
Licensed Land Surveyor
180 Cuba Hill Road
Huntington, N.Y. 11743
New York State Lisc. No. 41532
Phone: 631-262-1798
DATE: SEPTEMBER 28, 2015

TAX MAP NUMBER: 0100-12800-0200-036000

SURPLUS PROPERTY

CREATED
0100-12800-0200-036000 COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

SURPLUS PROPERTY

MAPPER: C. MACCARTHY
DATE: 09-28-15

CHECKER: ________________

RPTSA 101 FORM
### 1. Type of Legislation
- Resolution **X**
- Local Law _____
- Charter Law _____

### 2. Title of Proposed Legislation
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF COUNTY-OWNED SURPLUS UNUSUED RIGHT OF WAY HAVING A SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX MAP IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF DISTRICT 0100 SECTION 128.00 BLOCK 02.00 LOT 036.00 PURSUANT TO SECTION 125 OF THE NEW YORK STATE HIGHWAY LAW.

### 3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
SEE NO. 2 ABOVE

### 4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?
- Yes **X**
- No _____

### 5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
- **County**
- **Town**
- **Economic Impact**
- **Village**
- **School District**
- **Other (Specify):**
- **Library District**
- **Fire District**

### 6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
THE COUNTY WILL RECEIVE THE SUM OF $18,500.00 AS A RESULT OF THIS TRANSACTION

### 7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
NONE

### 8. Proposed Source of Funding
NONE - FUNDING NOT REQUIRED

### 9. Timing of Impact
2015

### 10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
**Theresa Lollo**
Budget Office

### 11. Signature of Preparer
[Signature]

### 12. Date
10/19/15

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
# Financial Impact

## 2015 Property Tax Levy

### Cost to the Average Taxpayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notes:**

1. Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
3. Source for equalization rates: Tentative 2014 County Equalization Rates established by the New York State Board of Equalization and Assessments.

---

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office.
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL:
RESOLUTION NO. 2015, AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF COUNTY-OWNED SURPLUS UNUSUED RIGHT OF WAY HAVING A SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX MAP IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF DISTRICT 0100 SECTION 128.00 BLOCK 02.00 LOT 036.00 PURSUANT TO SECTION 125 OF THE NEW YORK STATE HIGHWAY LAW.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL:
To convey surplus Suffolk County right of way (ROW) to the adjoining owner at fair market value for the sum of $18,500.00.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS:
When roads are constructed, there are times that the County has acquired land in excess of final design and construction needs. Sometimes this additional land is used for future highway expansion. At other times, this land may not be suitable for modern highway purposes and simply creates landholder liability for the County.

In this matter, a certain segment of right of way (ROW) has being abandoned as surplus. Highway Law Section 125 requires that if the County can only sell this surplus ROW to the abutting owner.

The subject resolution will allow the County to sell this surplus ROW at fair market value for the sum of $18,500.00.

JUSTIFICATION:
Without the approval of the subject resolution, the County will continue to retain liability for an unneeded parcel and will receive no compensation for its sale.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:
The County will receive the sum of $18,500.00 as a result of this transaction.
TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E. Commissioner

DATE: October 5, 2015

RE: Authorizing the Conveyance of County-Owned Surplus Unused Right of Way Fronting a Parcel of Land Having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0100 Section 128.00 Block 02.00 Lot 036.00 Pursuant to Section 125 of the New York State Highway Law

Attached is a draft resolution to convey a portion of unused (surplus) County right-of-way.

When roads are constructed, there are times that the County has acquired land in excess of final design and construction needs. Sometimes this additional land is used for future highway expansion. At other times, this land may not be suitable for modern highway purposes and simply creates landholder liability for the County.

In this matter, a certain segment of right of way (ROW) has been abandoned as surplus. Highway Law Section 125 requires that if the County can only sell this surplus ROW to the abutting owner.

The subject resolution will allow the County to sell this surplus ROW at fair market value for the sum of $8,800.00.

An e-mail version of this resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW saved under the title “Reso-DPW-Hwy 125 Convey DPW to D'Souza.doc”.

GA/WH/td
attach.
cc: William Hillman, P.E., Chief Engineer
Charles Jaquin, Acting Head of Finance Division
RESOLUTION NO. 1839-15

INTRODUCED BY PRESIDING OFFICER
ON REQUEST OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

RESOLUTION NO. 2015
TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT
REFUNDS AND CHARGE-BACKS ON REAL
PROPERTY CORRECTION OF ERRORS BY:
COUNTY LEGISLATURE (CONTROL #1014-2015)

WHEREAS, the Director of the Real Property Tax Service Agency, under appropriate sections of the Real Property Tax Law, will cause to have investigated and a determination made as to whether those submitted "Correction of Error" items which would amend the assessment and tax rolls shall be recommended for approval (or recommended for denial) to the Suffolk County Legislature, and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk may cancel assessments, grant refunds of taxes in case of correction of errors on the assessment and tax rolls, and pursuant to the provisions of the Real Property Tax Law, and

WHEREAS, the properties represented by the tax item number and/or Suffolk County tax map number and indicated below have been duly investigated by the Real Property Tax Service Agency, and the procedures of the Real Property Tax Law having been fully complied with, together with documentation and amended tax statements placed on file with the County, as submitted by the appropriate Assessor and/or Receiver of Taxes, then

1. BE IT RESOLVED, that the taxes for the properties represented by the tax item number and/or Suffolk County Tax Map Number, as shown, for the year or year specified be readjusted or refunded in full or part in the amount set opposite each such parcel as herein indicated, and

2. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the amount of such refund, if tax paid or charge-back, be made to the respective TOWN as provided by law.
## RESOLUTION NO.  
**CONTROL#1014-2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
<th>RPTL SEC</th>
<th>LIMITATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Clerical Error</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Unlawful Entry</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Error in Essential Fact</td>
<td>556a</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## RESOLUTION NO.  
**CONTROL#1014-2015**

*(A/B - Chapter 634 Laws 1976) (C - Chapter 124 Laws 1975)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>S.C. Tax Map No</th>
<th>Original Tax</th>
<th>Corrected Tax</th>
<th>Chargeback Refund, if Tax Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BROOKHAVEN</td>
<td>14/15</td>
<td>0200 24700 0400 005004</td>
<td>20486.71</td>
<td>16973.60</td>
<td>3513.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BROOKHAVEN</td>
<td>14/15</td>
<td>0200 94300 0200 008000</td>
<td>21686.40</td>
<td>7734.83</td>
<td>13951.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BROOKHAVEN</td>
<td>13/14</td>
<td>0200 94300 0200 008000</td>
<td>21447.12</td>
<td>7649.47</td>
<td>13797.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Provided and Requested By Town Assessor or Receiver of Taxes

APPROVED BY:

__________________________  _______________________
County Executive of Suffolk County  Date of Approval:
**STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT**
**OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION**

1. **Type of Legislation**
   - Resolution  X  Local Law  Charter Law

2. **Title of Proposed Legislation**
   TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT REFUNDS AND CHARGE-BACKS ON REAL PROPERTY CORRECTION OF ERRORS

3. **Purpose of Proposed Legislation**  Yes  No

**SEE NO. 2 ABOVE**

4. **Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?** Yes  No  X

5. **If the answer to item 4 is “yes,” on what will it impact?** (circle appropriate category)
   - County
   - Town
   - Economic Impact
   - Village
   - School District
   - Other (Specify):
   - Library District
   - Fire District

6. **If the answer to item 5 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact**
   N/A

7. **Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.**
   N/A

8. **Proposed Source of Funding**
   N/A

9. **Timing of Impact**
   2015

10. **Typed Name & Title of Preparer**
    A. BARTEL  RPAT I

11. **Signature of Preparer**

12. **Date**  October 7, 2015
Memorandum

To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

From: Penny Wells LaValle, MAI, CCIM, CCD

Date: October 7, 2015

Re: Resolution Control No. 1014-2015

ATTACHED FOR YOUR REVIEW PLEASE FIND CORRECTION OF ERRORS CONTROL NO. 1014-2015
1. APPLICANT: JAMES RYAN  
ADDRESS: 1 INDEPENDENCE HILL, FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738  
OWNER: KRAMER ROBERT L & MAUREEN  
ADDRESS: 19 CEDAR DR, STONY BROOK NY 11790  
3. TAX BILL ADDRESS OF PROPERTY: 19 CEDAR DR, STONY BROOK NY 11790  
SC TAX MP 0200  
SEC 24700  
BLOCK 0400  
LOT 005004  
TAX BILL ITEM #: 8601223  
TX BILL YR: 2014/15  
REASON: CHECK EITHER 5, 6 OR 7. CHECK ONE SENTENCE THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR ERROR

5  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( )</th>
<th>CLERICAL ERROR-RPTL 550 SEC 2 (RPTL EEO SEC 556-3 YEAR LIMIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (X) | PAR A  
|     | (X) MISTAKE IN TRANSCRIPTION  
|     | B ( ) MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATION-PARTIAL EXEMPTION  
|     | C ( ) FAILURE OF ASSESSOR TO ACT ON A PARTIAL EXEMPTION  
|     | D ( ) MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATION-EXTENSION OF TAX  
|     | E ( ) SPECIAL BENEFIT ASSESSMENT  
|     | F ( ) DOUBLE ASSESSMENT  
|     | G ( ) ARITHMETICAL MISTAKE  
|     | H ( ) INCORRECT ENTRY OF A RELEIVED SCHOOL TAX-PREVIOUSLY PAID  
|     | I ( ) MISTAKE IN TRANSCRIPTION OF A RELEIVED SCHOOL TAX  

6  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( )</th>
<th>UNLAWFUL ENTRY RPTL 550 SEC 7 (RPTL 550 SEC 556-3 YEAR LIMIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     | PAR A  
|     | B ( ) OUTSIDE BOUNDARIES OF ASSESSING UNIT  
|     | C ( ) ENTRY ON ROLL-WITHOUT AUTHORITY  
|     | D ( ) STATE LAND  
|     | E ( ) SPECIAL FRANCHISE  

7  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( )</th>
<th>ERROR IN ESSENTIAL FACT-RPTL 550 SEC 3 (RPTL 550 SEC 556A-3 YEAR LIMIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     | PAR A  
|     | B ( ) IMPROVEMENT DESTROYED/REMOVED PRIOR TO TAXABLE STATUS DATE  
|     | C ( ) IMPROVEMENT NON-EXISTEBT/PRESENT ON DIFFERENT PARCEL  
|     | D ( ) INCORRECT ACERAGE WHICH RESULTED IN INCORRECT ASSESSMENT  
|     | E ( ) OMISSION OF VALUE PRIOR TO TAXABLE STATUS DATE  
|     | E ( ) MISCLASSIFICATION (TOWN OF ISLIP ONLY)  

EXPLANATION  
CANCEL RELEY & SB & VETS OMITTED 14/15  
SB 0 RELEY & SB 520 VETS 1750  
Ovr $2,500  

SIGNATURE OF OWNER  
JAMES RYAN  
DATE: 08/20/2015  

FORWARD TO:  
DIRECTOR  
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY  
SUFFOLK COUNTY CENTER  
RIVERHEAD NY 11901  

STANDARD  
EA4/6-REV.1/89  
PART-1  

TO: SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE AND  
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS SUPPLIED FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE TOWN ASSESSMENT ROLL, AND/OR TAX ROLL, AND/OR TAX WARRANT AND WHICH IS TO BE MADE PART OF A SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION. PART "A" (1 THROUGH 8) IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSESSOR. PART "B" (9 THROUGH 14) IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSESSOR OR RECEIVER OF TAXES, AS APPLICABLE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART A</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>SC TAX MAP:</th>
<th>0200-247.00-04.00-005.004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TOWN ITEM NO:</td>
<td>8601223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>APPLICANT:</td>
<td>JAMES RYAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ADDRESS:</td>
<td>1 INDEPENDENCE HILL, FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ASSESSED VALUE:</td>
<td>$6,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CORRECTED ASSESSMENT:</td>
<td>$6,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>TOTAL TAX LEVIED IN ROLL:</td>
<td>20486.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEM 1 THROUGH 7 REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED BY:

NAME: GAIL PANKOWSKI  TITLE: PRINCIPAL ASSESSMENT CLERK

SIGNATURE: [Signature]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART B</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>CORRECT TAX CODE:</th>
<th>01/101</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>CORRECT TAX RATE:</td>
<td>351.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CORRECT TOTAL TAX:</td>
<td>16973.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>CORRECT TOTAL REFUND:</td>
<td>3,513.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CORRECT TOTAL CHARGE BACK:</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>IF SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS ARE REQUIRED REGARDING A REFUND, PLEASE SPECIFY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEMS 8 THROUGH 13 REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED BY:

NAME: GAIL PANKOWSKI  TITLE: PRINCIPAL ASSESSMENT CLERK

SIGNATURE: [Signature]

NOTE: REVERSE SIDE TO BE COMPLETED FOR THE COUNTY TREASURER'S OFFICE

STANDARD EA 4/8 REV. 6/84 PART-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>Legal (AA12):</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>A.D.C. 112746/607 12/8/2013</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17</td>
<td>Owner (AA12):</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>Appraised (CA12):</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S400</td>
<td>S400</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>Property (CA12):</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>S10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>Tax Code:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>Dimensions:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legal** (AA12): A.D.C. 112746/607 12/8/2013

**Owner** (AA12): N McCARR

**Appraised (CA12):** S400

**Property (CA12):** S10

**Tax Code:** S10

**Dimensions:** S10 x 40' VAR

Should have had Star + Jets Elig 14/15 and 15/16

Jul 1750

351.288

http://tobportal/Assessor2.aspx?id=8601223&sctm=0200247000400005004

08/20/2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVY DESC</th>
<th>% CHANGE</th>
<th>EX CDS</th>
<th>ADJ VAL</th>
<th>TX RATE</th>
<th>TAX AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DIST - THREE VILLAGE CS</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>245.744</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,270.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBRARY DIST - THREE VILLAGE C</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>9.119</td>
<td></td>
<td>492.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>2.656</td>
<td></td>
<td>143.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - POLICE</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>36.045</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,946.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>4.628</td>
<td></td>
<td>249.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td></td>
<td>109.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>12.135</td>
<td></td>
<td>655.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW RECOVERY TAXES</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td></td>
<td>96.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK STATE MTA TAX</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 $100M BOND ACT &amp; OPEN SPA</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN REFUSE-RECYCLING IM</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td>359.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE DIST - STONY BROOK</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>17.950</td>
<td></td>
<td>969.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER DIST - STONY BROOK EXT 1</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>7.191</td>
<td></td>
<td>388.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN LIGHTING DISTRICT</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>6.444</td>
<td></td>
<td>347.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF COUNTY TUITION TAX</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELEVY - PRO-RATA                  | .0       | 0      | 0.000   |         | 1,157.29   |

1ST HALF  12,878.02  2ND HALF  7,608.69  TOTAL  20,486.71
PF3 PREV PF5 RECALC
PF11 NEXT ITEM  PF12 MENU
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVY DESC</th>
<th>% CHANGE</th>
<th>EX CDS</th>
<th>ADJ VAL</th>
<th>TX RATE</th>
<th>TAX AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DIST - THREE VILLAGE CS</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4,880</td>
<td>245.744</td>
<td>12,141.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBRARY DIST - THREE VILLAGE C</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>9.119</td>
<td>492.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>2.656</td>
<td>96.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - POLICE</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>36.045</td>
<td>1,315.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>4.628</td>
<td>168.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>67.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td>74.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>12.135</td>
<td>442.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW RECOVERY TAXES</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td>65.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK STATE MTA TAX</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 $100M BOND ACT &amp; OPEN SPA</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td>66.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN REFUSE-RECYCLING IM</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>359.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE DIST - STONY BROOK</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>17.950</td>
<td>969.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER DIST - STONY BROOK EXT 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>7.191</td>
<td>388.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN LIGHTING DISTRICT</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>63.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>6.444</td>
<td>235.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF COUNTY TUITION TAX</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>20.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELEVY - PRO-RATA

1ST HALF   .00  2ND HALF   .00  TOTAL   16,973.60
PF3 PREV PF5 RECALC
PF11 NEXT ITEM PF12 MENU
## SUFFOLK COUNTY REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
### CORRECTION OF ERRORS-RECOMMENDATION REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. APPLICANT / OWNER</th>
<th>ROBERT KRAMER &amp; MAUREEN KRAMER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. TAX YEAR</td>
<td>2014/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TAX MAP NUMBER</td>
<td>0200 24700 0400 005004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. DATE RECEIVED</td>
<td>8/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOG #544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RECOMMENDATION TO LEGISLATURE:

**A. APPROVE**

- **INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTATION**
  - INDICATES APPLICATION IS CORRECT AND IN CONFORMITY WITH THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW

  - **SEC.** 550  **SUB.** 2  **PARA.** A

**B. DISAPPROVE**

- **INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTATION**
  - INDICATES APPLICATION IS NOT CORRECT AND IN CONFORMITY WITH THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW

  - **SEC.**  **SUB.**  **PARA.**

### GRIEVANCE DAY PROCEDURE

- STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS EXPIRED
- ASSESSOR’S RECORDS INCONCLUSIVE
- NOT ELIGIBLE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF RPTL SEC. 550-559

**SIGNED**

- **A. Bartel**
  - APPRAISAL TECHNICIAN
  - Signature: [signature]

- **G. SIMONSON**
  - DEPUTY DIRECTOR
  - Signature: [signature]
SUFFOLK COUNTY
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
CORRECTION OF ERRORS APPLICATION
CORRECTION/REFUND OF REAL PROPERTY TAX

NOTE: THIS NOT A GRIEVANCE FORM. THIS IS A TAXPAYER’S APPLICATION FOR COUNTY
REVIEW OF A POSSIBLE ERROR IN AN ASSESSMENT. SEPERATE APPLICATION IS
REQUESTED FOR EACH TAX YEAR.

ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS

1. APPLICANT: JAMES RYAN
   ADDRESS: 1 INDEPENDENCE HILL, FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738
   OWNER: JUNE & JOE’S SHORELINE INC
   ADDRESS: 96 MAYFIELD DR, MASTIC BEACH, NY 11951

3. TAX BILL ADDRESS OF PROPERTY: 145 UNION AVE, CENTER MORICHES NY 11934 0000
   SC TAX MP 0200  SEC 94300  BLOCK 0200  LOT 008000
   TAX BILL ITEM #: 8627270  TX BILL YR: 2014/15

REASON: CHECK EITHER 5, 6 OR 7. CHECK ONE SENTENCE THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR ERROR

5  (X) CLERICAL ERROR-RPTL 550 SEC 2 (RPTL EEO SEC 556-3 YEAR LIMIT)
   PARA. A  (X) MISTAKE IN TRANSCRIPTION
   B  ( ) MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATION-PARTIAL EXEMPTION
   C  ( ) FAILURE OF ASSESSOR TO ACT ON A PARTIAL EXEMPTION
   D  ( ) MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATION-EXTENSION OF TAX
   E  ( ) SPECIAL BENEFIT ASSESSMENT
   F  ( ) DOUBLE ASSESSMENT
   G  ( ) ARITHMETICAL MISTAKE
   H  ( ) INCORRECT ENTRY OF A RELEIVED SCHOOL TAX-PREVIOUSLY PAID
   I  ( ) MISTAKE IN TRANSCRIPTION OF A RELEIVED SCHOOL TAX

6  ( ) UNLAWFUL ENTRY RPTL 550 SEC 7 (RPTL 550 SEC 556-3 YEAR LIMIT)
   PARA. B  ( ) OUTSIDE BOUNDARIES OF ASSESSING UNIT
   C  ( ) ENTRY ON ROLL-WITHOUT AUTHORITY
   D  ( ) STATE LAND
   E  ( ) SPECIAL FRANCHISE

7  ( ) ERROR IN ESSENTIAL FACT-RPTL 550 SEC 3 (RPTL 550 SEC 558A-3 YEAR LIMIT)
   PARA. A  ( ) IMPROVEMENT DESTROYED/REMOVED PRIOR TO TAXABLE STATUS DATE
   B  ( ) IMPROVEMENT NON-EXISTEBT/PRESENT ON DIFFERENT PARCEL
   C  ( ) INCORRECT ACERAGE WHICH RESULTED IN INCORRECT ASSESSMENT
   D  ( ) OMISSION OF VALUE PRIOR TO TAXABLE STATUS DATE
   E  ( ) MISCLASSIFICATION (TOWN OF ISLIP ONLY)

EXPLANATION
ASSESSMENT RED OMITTED 2014/15
S/B 2140 (BLDG'S DESTROYED BY SANDY)

OVER $2500

SIGNATURE OF OWNER

DATE: 08/19/2015

JAMES RYAN

FORWARD TO:
DIRECTOR
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
SUFFOLK COUNTY CENTER
RIVERHEAD NY 11901

TO: SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE AND
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
FROM: TOWN OF: BROOKHAVEN DATE: 08/19/2015

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS SUPPLIED FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE TOWN ASSESSMENT ROLL, AND/OR TAX ROLL, AND/OR TAX WARRANT AND WHICH IS TO MADE PART OF A SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION. PART "A" (1 THROUGH 8) IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSESSOR. PART "B" (9 THROUGH 14) IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSESSOR OR RECEIVER OF TAXES, AS APPLICABLE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SC TAX MAP:</td>
<td>0200-943.00-02.00-008.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TOWN ITEM NO:</td>
<td>8527270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAX YEAR:</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>APPLICANT:</td>
<td>JAMES RYAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ADDRESS:</td>
<td>1 INDEPENDENCE HILL, FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ASSESSED VALUE:</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CORRECTED ASSESSMENT:</td>
<td>$2,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TOTAL TAX LEVIED IN ROLL:</td>
<td>21686.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEM 1 THROUGH 7 REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED BY:

NAME: GAIL PANKOWSKI TITLE: PRINCIPAL ASSESSMENT CLERK

SIGNATURE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CORRECT TAX CODE:</td>
<td>33/272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CORRECT TAX RATE:</td>
<td>361.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CORRECT TOTAL TAX:</td>
<td>7734.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CORRECT TOTAL REFUND:</td>
<td>13,951.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CORRECT TOTAL CHARGE BACK:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IF SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS ARE REQUIRED REGARDING A REFUND, PLEASE SPECIFY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEMS 8 THROUGH 13 REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED BY:

NAME: GAIL PANKOWSKI TITLE: PRINCIPAL ASSESSMENT CLERK

SIGNATURE:

NOTE: REVERSE SIDE TO BE COMPLETED FOR THE COUNTY TREASURER'S OFFICE

STANDARD
EA 4/8 REV. 6/84
PART-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVY DESC</th>
<th>% CHANGE</th>
<th>EX CDS</th>
<th>ADJ VAL</th>
<th>TX RATE</th>
<th>TAX AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>257.761</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,465.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBRARY DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>11.577</td>
<td></td>
<td>694.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2.656</td>
<td></td>
<td>159.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - POLICE</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>36.045</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,162.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>4.628</td>
<td></td>
<td>277.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td></td>
<td>121.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>12.135</td>
<td></td>
<td>728.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW RECOVERY TAXES</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td></td>
<td>107.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK STATE MTA TAX</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 $100M BOND ACT &amp; OPEN SPA</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td></td>
<td>108.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>20.818</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,249.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN LIGHTING DISTRICT</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6.444</td>
<td></td>
<td>386.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF COUNTY TUITION TAX</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1ST HALF 10,843.20  2ND HALF 10,843.20  TOTAL 21,686.40
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>85-27270</th>
<th>LEVY DESC</th>
<th>% CHANGE</th>
<th>EX CDS</th>
<th>ADJ VAL</th>
<th>TX RATE</th>
<th>TAX AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>257.761</td>
<td>5,516.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBRARY DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>11.577</td>
<td>247.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>2.656</td>
<td>56.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - POLICE</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>36.045</td>
<td>771.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>4.628</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>39.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td>43.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>12.135</td>
<td>259.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW RECOVERY TAXES</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td>38.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK STATE MTA TAX</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 $100M BOND ACT &amp; OPEN SPA</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td>38.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>20.818</td>
<td>445.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN LIGHTING DISTRICT</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>6.444</td>
<td>137.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF COUNTY TUITION TAX</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROCESSING MUST STOP PAYMENT OF 10,843.20 IS GREATER THAN TOTAL TAX DUE
1ST HALF 3,867.42 2ND HALF 3,867.41 TOTAL 7,734.83
PF3 PREV PF5 RECALC
SUFFOLK COUNTY REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY

CORRECTION OF ERRORS-RECOMMENDATION REPORT

1. APPLICANT / OWNER                        JUNE & JOE'S SHORELINE INC
2. TAX YEAR                                2014/2015
3. TAX MAP NUMBER                          0200 94300 0200 008000
4. DATE RECEIVED                           8/31/15

LOG #545

RECOMMENDATION TO LEGISLATURE:

A. APPROVE
   X
   INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTATION INDICATES APPLICATION IS CORRECT AND IN CONFORMITY WITH THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW
   SEC. 550 SUB. 2 PARA. A

B. DISAPPROVE
   INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTATION INDICATES APPLICATION IS NOT CORRECT AND IN CONFORMITY WITH THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW
   SEC. SUB. PARA.

GRIEVANCE DAY PROCEDURE

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS EXPIRED

ASSESSOR'S RECORDS INCONCLUSIVE

NOT ELIGIBLE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF RPTL SEC. 550-559

SIGNED
A. Bartel
APPRAISAL TECHNICIAN I

G. SIMONSON
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

ALISON L. BARTLETT
SUFFOLK COUNTY
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
CORRECTION OF ERRORS APPLICATION
CORRECTION/REFUND OF REAL PROPERTY TAX

NOTE: THIS NOT A GRIEVANCE FORM. THIS IS A TAXPAYER'S APPLICATION FOR COUNTY REVIEW OF A POSSIBLE ERROR IN AN ASSESSMENT. SEPERATE APPLICATION IS REQUESTED FOR EACH TAX YEAR.

ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS

1. APPLICANT: JAMES RYAN
ADDRESS: 1 INDEPENDENCE HILL, FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738
OWNER: JUNE & JOE'S SHORELINE INC
ADDRESS: 96 MAYFIELD DR, MASTIC BEACH, NY 11951

3. TAX BILL ADDRESS OF PROPERTY: 145 UNION AVE, CENTER MORICHES NY 11934 0000
SC TAX MP 0200 SEC 94300 BLOCK 0200 LOT 008000
TAX BILL ITEM #: B527270 TX BILL YR: 2013/14

REASON: CHECK EITHER 5, 6 OR 7. CHECK ONE SENTENCE THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR ERROR

5  (X) CLERICAL ERROR-RPTL 550 SEC 2 (RPTL EEO SEC 556-3 YEAR LIMIT)
PARA. A  (X)  MISTAKE IN TRANSCRIPTION
B  ( )  MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATION-PARTIAL EXEMPTION
C  ( )  FAILURE OF ASSESSOR TO ACT ON A PARTIAL EXEMPTION
D  ( )  MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATION-EXTENSION OF TAX
E  ( )  SPECIAL BENEFIT ASSESSMENT
F  ( )  DOUBLE ASSESSMENT
G  ( )  ARITHMETICAL MISTAKE
H  ( )  INCORRECT ENTRY OF A RELEIVED SCHOOL TAX-PREVIOUSLY PAID
I  ( )  MISTAKE IN TRANSCRIPTION OF A RELEIVED SCHOOL TAX

6  ( ) UNLAWFUL ENTRY RPTL 550 SEC 7 (RPTL 550 SEC 556-3 YEAR LIMIT)
PARA. B  ( )  OUTSIDE BOUNDARIES OF ASSESSING UNIT
C  ( )  ENTRY ON ROLL-WITHOUT AUTHORITY
D  ( )  STATE LAND
E  ( )  SPECIAL FRANCHISE

7  ( ) ERROR IN ESSENTIAL FACT-RPTL 550 SEC 3 (RPTL 550 SEC 556A-3 YEAR LIMIT)
PARA. A  ( )  IMPROVEMENT DESTROYED/REMOVED PRIOR TO TAXABLE STATUS DATE
B  ( )  IMPROVEMENT NON-EXISTEBT/PRESENT ON DIFFERENT PARCEL
C  ( )  INCORRECT ACERAGE WHICH RESULTED IN INCORRECT ASSESSMENT
D  ( )  OMISSION OF VALUE PRIOR TO TAXABLE STATUS DATE
E  ( )  MISCLASSIFICATION (TOWN OF ISLIP ONLY)

EXPLANATION
ASSESSMENT RED OMITTED 2013/14
5/B 2140 ( BLDGS DESTROYED BY SANDY)

SIGNATURE OF OWNER

DATE: 08/19/2015

JAMES RYAN

FORWARD TO:
DIRECTOR
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
SUFFOLK COUNTY CENTER
RIVERHEAD NY 11901

TO: SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE AND
REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
FROM: TOWN OF: BROOKHAVEN                  DATE: 08/19/2015

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS SUPPLIED FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE TOWN ASSESSMENT ROLL, AND/OR TAX ROLL, AND/OR TAX WARRANT AND WHICH IS TO MADE PART OF A SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION. PART "A" (1 THROUGH 8) IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSESSOR. PART "B" (9 THROUGH 14) IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSESSOR OR RECEIVER OF TAXES, AS APPLICABLE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SC TAX MAP:</td>
<td>0200-943.00-02.00-008.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TOWN ITEM NO:</td>
<td>8527270</td>
<td>TAX YEAR:</td>
<td>2013/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>APPLICANT:</td>
<td>JAMES RYAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ADDRESS:</td>
<td>1 INDEPENDENCE HILL, FARMINGVILLE, NY 11738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ASSESSED VALUE:</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CORRECTED ASSESSMENT:</td>
<td>$2,140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TOTAL TAX LEVIED IN ROLL:</td>
<td>21447.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEM 1 THROUGH 7 REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED BY:

NAME: GAIL PANKOWSKI                  TITLE: PRINCIPAL ASSESSMENT CLERK

SIGNATURE: [Signature]

PART B

| 8      | CORRECT TAX CODE: | 33/272 |
| 9      | CORRECT TAX RATE: | 357.452 |
| 10     | CORRECT TOTAL TAX: | 7649.47 |
| 11     | CORRECT TOTAL REFUND: | 13,797.65 |
| 12     | CORRECT TOTAL CHARGE BACK: |
| 13     | IF SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS ARE REQUIRED REGARDING A REFUND, PLEASE SPECIFY: |

ITEMS 8 THROUGH 13 REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED BY:

NAME: GAIL PANKOWSKI                  TITLE: PRINCIPAL ASSESSMENT CLERK

SIGNATURE: [Signature]

NOTE: REVERSE SIDE TO BE COMPLETED FOR THE COUNTY TREASURER'S OFFICE

STANDARD
EA 4/6 REV. 6/84
PART-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVY DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EX CDS</th>
<th>ADJ VAL</th>
<th>TX RATE</th>
<th>TAX AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>257.564</td>
<td>15,453.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBRARY DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>11.724</td>
<td>703.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>153.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - POLICE</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>35.089</td>
<td>2,105.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>3.695</td>
<td>221.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2.786</td>
<td>167.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.706</td>
<td>102.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>11.929</td>
<td>715.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLIZZARD NOTE REPAYMENT</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK STATE MTA TAX</td>
<td>-.7</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 $100M BOND ACT &amp; OPEN SPA</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>95.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>20.791</td>
<td>1,247.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN LIGHTING DISTRICT</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>74.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</td>
<td>-26.9</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>5.120</td>
<td>307.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF COUNTY TUITION TAX</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>34.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1ST HALF  10,723.56  2ND HALF  10,723.56  TOTAL  21,447.12
PF3 = PREV PF5 = RECALC PF11 = NEXT ITEM  PF12 = MAIN MENU
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVY DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EX CDS</th>
<th>ADJ VAL</th>
<th>TX RATE</th>
<th>TAX AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>257.564</td>
<td>5,511.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBRARY DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>11.724</td>
<td>250.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>54.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - POLICE</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>35.089</td>
<td>750.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>3.695</td>
<td>79.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - TOWN WIDE FUND</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>2.786</td>
<td>59.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN GENERAL - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.706</td>
<td>36.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGHWAY - PART TOWN FUND</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>11.929</td>
<td>255.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLIZZARD NOTE REPAYMENT</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>19.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK STATE MTA TAX</td>
<td>-.7</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 $100M BOND ACT &amp; OPEN SPA</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>34.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE DIST - CENTER MORICHES</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>20.791</td>
<td>444.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKHAVEN LIGHTING DISTRICT</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>26.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</td>
<td>-26.9</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>5.120</td>
<td>109.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT OF COUNTY TUITION TAX</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>12.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1ST HALF   3,824.74  
2ND HALF   3,824.73  
TOTAL      7,649.47  
PF3 = PREV  PF5 = RECALC  PF11 = NEXT ITEM 
PF12 = MAIN MENU
SUFFOLK COUNTY REAL PROPERTY TAX SERVICE AGENCY
CORRECTION OF ERRORS-RECOMMENDATION REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. APPLICANT / OWNER</th>
<th>JUNE &amp; JOE'S SHORELINE INC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. TAX YEAR</td>
<td>2013/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TAX MAP NUMBER</td>
<td>0200 94300 0200 008000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. DATE RECEIVED</td>
<td>8/31/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOG #546</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION TO LEGISLATURE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.</th>
<th>APPROVE</th>
<th>INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTATION INDICATES APPLICATION IS CORRECT AND IN CONFORMITY WITH THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>SEC. 550 SUB. 2 PARA. A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B.</th>
<th>DISAPPROVE</th>
<th>INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTATION INDICATES APPLICATION IS NOT CORRECT AND IN CONFORMITY WITH THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SEC. SUB. PARA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRIEVANCE DAY PROCEDURE

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS EXPIRED

ASSESSOR'S RECORDS INCONCLUSIVE

NOT ELIGIBLE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF RPTL SEC. 550-559

SIGNED A. Bartel  
APPRaisal TECHNICIAN I

SIGNED G. SIMONSON  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
REQUEST FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

(1) Please limit this suggestion form to ONE proposal.
(2) Describe in detail.
(3) Attach all pertinent backup material.

Submitting Department
Real Property Tax Service Agency
County Center
Riverhead

Department Contact Person:
Alison Bartel
631-852-1458

Suggestion Involves:
Technical Amendment X
Grant Award
New Program
Contract (New_____ Rev._____)

Summary of Problem: (explanation of why this legislation is needed.)

TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT
REFUNDS AND CHARGE-BACKS ON REAL PROPERTY
CORRECTION OF ERRORS

Proposed Changes in Present Statute: (Please specify section when possible.)

N/A
RESOLUTION NO. 2015, ACCEPTING 100% FEDERAL FUNDS FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES TO SUFFOLK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES FOR A MAMMOGRAPHY INSPECTION PROGRAM

WHEREAS, these 100% Federal funds from the US Department of Health and Human Services are a reimbursement to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for mammography inspection services and the amount is $59,690 for the period 08/08/2015-08/07/2016; and

WHEREAS, the expenses related to performing these mammography inspections are already included in the 2015 Suffolk County Adopted Operating Budget; and

WHEREAS, these 100% Federal funds were not included in the 2015 Suffolk County Adopted Operating Budget and need to be accepted; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and hereby are authorized to accept $59,690 in funds as follows:

REVENUES

001-4401 Federal Aid: Public Health $59,690

2nd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under SEQRA and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, hereby determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

______________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:

HSV #41-2015
**STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT**  
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
Accepting 100% Federal funds from the United States Department of Health and Human Services to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for a Mammography Inspection Program.

3. Purpose or Proposed Legislation
This legislation is needed to accept 100% Federal funds from the US Department of Health and Human Services to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for a Mammography Inspection Program. The expenses related to performing these inspections are already included in the 2015 Suffolk County Adopted Operating Budget and this Resolution is needed to accept the revenue.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  
**YES**  
**NO**

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact:

No

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

None

8. Proposed Source of Funding

100% Federal funds from the US Department of Health and Human Services

9. Timing of Impact

2015-2016

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer  
Liza Wright  
Senior Budget Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer

Liza Wright

12. Date

10/5/15

SCIN FORM 1758 (10/95)

Page 1 of 2
## General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office.
MEMORANDUM

To: Liza Wright, Budget, Purchasing and Inventory Unit
From: Gary Amato, Budget, Purchasing and Inventory Unit
Date: September 30, 2015
Subject: Request for Resolution

Mammography Inspection Program
Budget Period: 8/8/15 – 8/7/16
Approp: 001-4016
Revenue Code: 4401
Grant ID #: HSV 2387

Please write a resolution to accept and appropriate 100% Federal Grant funds from the US Department of Health & Human Services, for the above mentioned program. These funds will be a fee-for-service reimbursement for mammography inspection services in various locations and expenses related to providing these services are already included in the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget.

REVENUES:
001-4401 Federal Aid: Public Health $59,690

Budget, Purchasing & Inventory Unit
3500 Sunrise Hwy, Suite 124, Great River, NY 11739-9008 (631) 854-0140 Fax (631) 854-0147
APPENDIX X
GLBU: DOH01

Contract Number: C-027670  Contractor: Suffolk County Health Department
Amendment Number X-3  BSC Unit ID: 3450285

This is an AGREEMENT between THE STATE OF NEW YORK, acting by and through NYS Department of Health, having its principal office at Albany, New York, (hereinafter referred to as the STATE), and Suffolk County Health Department (hereinafter referred to as the CONTRACTOR), having its mailing address at 360 Yaphank Avenue, Yaphank, New York 11980, for amendment of this contract.

This amendment makes the following changes to the contract (check all that apply):

_____ Modifies the contract period at no additional cost

X  Modifies the contract period at additional cost

X  Modifies the budget or payment terms

_____ Modifies the work plan or deliverables

_____ Replaces appendix(es) ________ with the attached appendix(es) ________

X  Adds the attached appendix(es) A (version 1/2014) & C-3

_____ Other: (describe) ____________________________

This amendment is X not__ a contract renewal as allowed for in the existing contract.

All other provisions of said AGREEMENT shall remain in full force and effect.

Additionally, Contractor certifies that it is not included on the prohibited entities list published at http://www.oag.ny.gov/about/refer/docs/ListofEntities.pdf as a result of the Iran Divestment Act of 2012 (Act), Chapter 1 of the 2012 Laws of New York. Under the Act, the Commissioner of the Office of General Services (OGS) has developed a list (prohibited entities list) of "persons" who are engaged in "investment activities in Iran" (both are defined terms in the law). Contractor (or any assignee) also certifies that it will not utilize on such Contract any subcontractor that is identified on the prohibited entities list.

Prior to this amendment, the contract value and period were:

$169,792.41  From 08 / 08 / 2011 to 08 / 07 / 2014
(Value before amendment)  (Initial start date)

This amendment provides the following modification (complete only items being modified):

$59,690.00  From 08 / 08 / 2014 to 08 / 07 / 2015

This will result in new contract terms of:

$229,482.46 From 08 / 08 / 2011 to 08 / 07 / 2015
(All years thus far combined)  (Initial start date)  (Amendment end date)

Revised 05/13/2014
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this AGREEMENT as of the dates appearing under their signatures.

CONTRACTOR SIGNATURE:
By: [signature] Date: 10-6-14
Printed Name: Dennis M Cohen
Title: Chief Deputy County Executive

MARY E. BARBONE
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK
No. 01846127940
Qualified In Suffolk County
My Commission Expires May 31, 2017
On the 6th day of October, 2014, before me, the undersigned, personally appeared
personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of
satisfactory evidence to be the individual(s) whose name(s) is(are) subscribed to the within instrument
and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their/ capacity(ies), and that by
his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument, the individual(s), or the person upon behalf of which the
individual(s) acted, executed the instrument.

(Signature and office of the individual taking acknowledgement)

STATE AGENCY SIGNATURE

"In addition to the acceptance of this contract, I also certify that original copies of this
signature page will be attached to all other exact copies of this contract."

By: [signature] Date: 10-31-14
Printed Name: Nathan Graber, M.D.
Title: Director, Center for Environmental Affairs

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S SIGNATURE

By: [signature] Date: [signature]

STATE COMPTROLLER'S SIGNATURE

By: Date: [signature]
Revised 05/13/2014

APPROVED
DEPT. OF AUDIT & CONTROL
FOR THE STATE COMPTROLLER
Mammography Inspection Program
Contract Term: August 8, 2011 through August 7, 2015
Contract Period: August 8, 2014 through August 7, 2015
NYSDOH Contract #: C-027670

Approved as to Form;
Dennis M. Brown, County Attorney

By: [Signature]
Jessica H. Hogan
Assistant County Attorney
Date: 9/26/14

Approved:
Department of Health Services

By: [Signature]
Diane E. Weyer
Principal Financial Analyst
Date: 9/9/14
New York State Department Of Health

Contractor: Suffolk County Department of Health Services
Contract Period: August 8, 2015 - August 7, 2016
Federal ID #: 1840
DUNS (D&B) #: 12-909-0267
Contract #: 1840

Voucher frequency: Monthly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Categories</th>
<th>MAMMO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mammo Inspections</td>
<td>$57,090.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$2,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$59,690.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COORDINATION OF GRANT APPLICATION OR CONTRACT

County of Suffolk

DATE: 9/30/2015

Submitting Department / Agency: Health Services
Location: 3500 Sunrise Hwy, Suite 124, Great River, NY 11739

Contact Person in Department / Agency Gary Amato
Telephone Number 854-0143
Grant Application Due Date 7/1/2015

Instructions: Applicant will complete all items on this form. If an item is not applicable, enter "NA". If additional space is needed, insert an asterisk (*) in the item box and attach additional information on an 8 1/2" X 11" sheet cross referenced to the item.

I. Background Information

1. Grant Title
   Mammography Inspection Program

2. Statutory Legislation (Public Law No. & Title & Department Administering Grant Program)

3. Grant / Contract Status (Check One Box)
   
   A. New Program Application
   X B. Renewal Application
   C. Supplemental (Specify)
   D. Extension of Funding Period
   E. Contract

4. General Purpose of Grant / Contract (Describe briefly. If it is a refunding, please attach a recent progress report, including summary of goal attainment.)

   The County will inspect FDA certified mammography facilities within Suffolk County for compliance with the Mammography Quality Standards Act ("MQSA") requirements.

5. County Departments / Agencies Affected (Include any with similar operational programs, regardless of their eligibility for this program.)

   NONE

II. BUDGET INFORMATION

1. Term of Contract
   From: 7/1/2015  To: 6/30/2016

2. Financial Assistance Requested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>First Funding Cycle</th>
<th>Second Funding Cycle</th>
<th>Third Funding Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$59,690.00</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$59,690.00</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Explanation of Requested County Financial Assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Requested</th>
<th>Personnel Costs Requested</th>
<th>Non-Personnel Costs Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COUNTY SHARE</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Cash Contribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. In-Kind Contribution</td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Total Number of New Positions Requested

NONE

5. Can this program be refunded by the proposed non-county sources?

Yes

No

6. Estimated expected additional indirect costs (costs to County not delineated in Budget Request, for example, added overhead, capital expenditures required as a result of project activity, associated administrative costs, etc.)

None

7. What do you anticipate happening when the Federal, State, and or private financial assistance is discontinued (That is, program termination, reduced services, financial implications, layoffs, etc.)?

There will be a reduction in revenue to support the program.

8. Attach as list of potential subcontractors, if any, outlining the purpose of each subcontract (that is, 456 and 490 account items; use an additional 8 1/2" by 11" sheet).

---

III. COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S OFFICE REVIEW

1. Intergovernmental
   Relations Division Review:
   - Approved
   - Disapproved

2. Signature of Coordinator

3. Date

4. Comments

5. Budget Office Review
   - Approved
   - Disapproved

6. Signature of Budget Director

7. Date

8. Comments

SCIN FORM 164
2015 Intergovernmental Relations
Memorandum of Support

TITLE OF BILL: Accepting 100% Federal funds from the United States Department of Health and Human Services to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for a Mammography Inspection Program.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: This legislation is needed to accept 100% Federal funds from the United States Department of Health and Human Services to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for a Mammography Inspection Program. The expenses related to performing these inspections are already included in the 2015 Suffolk County Adopted Operating Budget and this Resolution is needed to accept the revenue.

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL PROVISIONS: None.

JUSTIFICATION: These 100% Federal funds from the US Department of Health and Human Services are a reimbursement to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for mammography inspection services.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: $59,690 in additional Federal revenue will be added to the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget.
October 7, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
County Executive’s Office, 12th Floor
H. Lee Dennison Building
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099

Dear Mr. Schneider:

I request the introduction of the enclosed Resolution to accept 100% Federal funds from the United States Department of Health and Human Services to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for a Mammography Inspection Program. These Federal funds from the US Department of Health and Human Services are a reimbursement to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for mammography inspection services. The expenses related to performing these inspections are already included in the 2015 Suffolk County Adopted Operating Budget and this Resolution is needed to accept the revenue.

I enclose a financial impact statement and other back-up documentation for this Resolution. If you have any questions on the enclosed, please call Gary Amato at 4-0143. Also, an e-mail version of this Resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW and the file name is “Reso-HSV-PH Mammo Inspections.docx.”

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James L. Tomarken, MD, MPH, MBA, MSW
Commissioner

Enclosures

C: Christina Capobianco, CPA, Deputy Commissioner
   Barbara Marano, CPA, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
   Jennifer Culp, Assistant to the Commissioner of Health Services
   Shaheda Iftekhar, M.D., Director, Division of Public Health
   Liza Wright, Senior Budget Analyst
   Gary Amato, Accountant
RESOLUTION NO. - 2015, AMENDING THE 2015 ADOPTED OPERATING BUDGET TO ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE 100% ADDITIONAL STATE AID FROM THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH TO THE ASSOCIATION FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND WELLNESS FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING A PEER OPERATED RECOVERY CENTER

WHEREAS, the New York State Office of Mental Health has issued additional State Aid in the amount of $250,000 for an expansion of community services by the development of a new program; and

WHEREAS, these additional funds are to be used to develop a Peer Operated Recovery Center; and

WHEREAS, Department of Health Services issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) for "Peer Operated Recovery Center Services" for its Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services; and

WHEREAS, these services will be provided on the East End of Suffolk County with the purpose of providing support to individuals who are working toward defining and achieving their personal recovery goals and connecting with the community; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Division of the Department of Public Works advertised for these services and has selected The Association for Mental Health and Wellness as the successful proposer to RFP 15026; and

WHEREAS, this additional 100% State Aid is not currently included in the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate $250,000 in additional State Aid as follows:

REVENUES:

001-3493 Community Support Services $250,000

ORGANIZATIONS:

Department of Health Services (HSV)
Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services
001-HSV-4330-4980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XORG</th>
<th>OBJECT NAME</th>
<th>2015 Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
<th>2015 Modified Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXXX</td>
<td>The Assoc MH &amp;Wellness Recovery Center</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and be it further

2\(^{nd}\) RESOLVED, that the County Executive be and hereby is authorized to execute a contract with The Association for Mental Health and Wellness; and be it further

3\(^{nd}\) RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under SEQRA and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, hereby determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County
Date of Approval:

HSV# 42-2015
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
Amending the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to The Association of Mental Health and Wellness for the purpose of developing a Peer Operated Recovery Center.

3. Purpose or Proposed Legislation
This legislation is needed to accept and appropriate 100% State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health for a Peer Operated Recovery Center, as outlined in RFP 15026, to The Association of Mental Health and Wellness.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  YES  NO  X

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate category)

- County
- Town
- Economic Impact
- Village
- School District
- Other (Specify):
- Library District
- Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact:
Not applicable.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
None

8. Proposed Source of Funding
100% State Aid from New York State Office of Mental Health

9. Timing of Impact
Immediate upon approval of the resolution and execution of a contract amendment for the provider.

10.Typed Name & Title of Preparer
Liza Wright
Senior Budget Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer
Liza Wright

12. Date
10/8/15

Suzanne Martin
Sr. Budget Examiner

10-15-15

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
MEMORANDUM

To: James L. Tomarken, MD, MPH, MBA, MSW
   Commissioner, Department of Health Services

From: Art Flesher, LCSW, CASAC
       Director, Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services

Date: October 6, 2015

Subject: Request for Legislative Resolution

The New York State Office of Mental Health has allocated $250,000 in 100% additional State Aid for the development of a Peer Operated Recovery Center located on the East End of Suffolk County. The Division is requesting a Legislative Resolution to allocate this state aid to The Association for Mental Health & Wellness, selected as the successful proposer during the RFP process. As per Resolution No. 870-2013, adopting Local Law 40, we would like to accelerate legislative consideration to accept these 100% state funds. This acceleration is needed due to the lateness in the calendar year that this RFP issuing 2015 funds has been awarded.

I am attaching drafts of the fiscal impact statement, intro resolution and routing form as well as the Office of Mental Health’s 2015 state aid letter which includes this funding. I have also attached the RFP award letter for your reference.

AF/HM
Enclosures
Cc: L.Wright, S.Reagan, D.Holtsford, B.Russo
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Memo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/22/13</td>
<td>$51,320</td>
<td>Merpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/13</td>
<td>$51,320</td>
<td>Merpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/13</td>
<td>$51,320</td>
<td>Merpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/13</td>
<td>$51,320</td>
<td>Merpa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agreement Between the Office of Mental Health and the LCU:**

Funds are allocated to precease official access to Article 31 Mental Health Clinic services that occurred in 2014 in accordance with a prior letter of

**Remarks:**

Additional one-time increase of $1,500.00 has been made to fund code 1223 effective 7/1/2015. Such funds shall only be utilized in accordance with a plan submitted in advance.

The allocation is based on the following non-reeating program: services that will be provided by Jewish Board of Family and Children Services. Netterg. Am.

The allocation includes funding for the following non-reeating program: services that will be provided by Jewish Board of Family and Children Services. Netterg. Am.

**Remarks:**

$51,320 is transferred to 1223 to cover a prior year liability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| County Code: 25 County Name: Suffolk
| Funding Source Allocation Table
| Attachment A |

**Office of Mental Health**

New York State
## Funding Source Allocation Table

**County Code:** 52  **County Name:** Suffolk  
**Year:** 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prior Letter Allocation</th>
<th>Allocation Changes Since Prior Letter</th>
<th>Revised Current Fiscal Year Allocation</th>
<th>Annualized Value from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Annualized Value Changes from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Revised</th>
<th>Annualized Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Community Support C&amp;Y</td>
<td>142B</td>
<td>MHPFA</td>
<td>$557,526</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$557,526</td>
<td>$557,527</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$557,527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Remarks

Allocation supports: three mobile residential support teams ($758,740 annualized); a hospital alternative respite program ($532,590 annualized); and a recovery center ($250,000 annualized), effective 7/1/2014. The 3 mobile support teams program codes to be included on OMH's financial reports are: Advocacy/Support Services (1760), Non-Medicaid Care Coordination (2720), and Outreach (0690). The Hospital Alternative Respite program code to be included on OMH's financial reports is Respite Services (0650). The East End Recovery Center program code to be included on OMH's financial reports is Recovery Center (2750). These funds are part of the System Transformation Plan initiative which is intended to reduce the need for and length of costly psychiatric hospitalizations. These funds must be reported separately on all OMH financial reports and must not be commingled with existing programs and OMH funding sources. The LGU is required to provide the OMH with monthly reports concerning the number of individuals and new individuals served by age group and county, month and year. Allocations may be adjusted based upon actual program performance.

The State aid allocation of $770,665 includes: $379,370 for three (3) Mobile Residential Support teams ($758,740 annualized); $266,295 for a Hospital Alternative Respite program ($532,590 annualized); and $125,000 for a community based Recovery Center ($250,000 annualized) effective July 1, 2014 ($1,541,330 annualized). The 3 mobile support teams will provide outreach and monitoring to individuals living in mental health subsidized housing. The program codes to be included on OMH's financial reports are: Advocacy/Support Services (1760), Non-Medicaid Care Coordination (2720), and Outreach (0690). The Hospital Alternative Respite program will provide respite housing services to individuals experiencing acute psychiatric distress. The program code to be included on OMH's financial reports is Respite Services (0650). The East End Recovery Center will provide recovery supports for individuals with mental illness through peer support. The program code to be included on OMH's financial reports is Recovery Center (2750). These funds are part of the System Transformation Plan initiative which is intended to reduce the need for and length of costly psychiatric hospitalizations. These funds must be reported separately on all OMH financial reports and must not be commingled with existing programs and OMH funding sources. The LGU is required to provide the OMH with monthly reports concerning the number of individuals and new individuals served by age group and county, month and year. Allocations may be adjusted based upon actual program performance.
2015 Intergovernmental Relations
Memorandum of Support

TITLE OF BILL: Amending the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to The Association of Mental Health and Wellness for the purpose of developing a Peer Operated Recovery Center.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: This legislation is needed to accept and appropriate 100% State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health for a Peer Operated Recovery Center, as outlined in RFP 15026, to The Association of Mental Health and Wellness.

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL PROVISIONS: No special provisions.

JUSTIFICATION: The Peer Operated Recovery Center will provide the East End of Suffolk County with support to individuals who are working toward defining and achieving their personal recovery goals and connecting with the community.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: Accept and appropriate $250,000 in 100% additional State Aid to the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget.
October 8, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
County Executive’s Office, 12th Floor
H. Lee Dennison Building
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099

Dear Mr. Schneider:

I request the introduction of the enclosed Resolution to amend the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to The Association of Mental Health and Wellness for the purpose of developing a Peer Operated Recovery Center. The Peer Operated Recovery Center will provide the East End of Suffolk County with support to individuals who are working toward defining and achieving their personal recovery goals and connecting with the community.

I enclose a financial impact statement and other back-up documentation for this Resolution. If you have any questions on the enclosed, please call Babara Russo at 3-8533. Also, an e-mail version of this Resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW and the file name is “Reso-HSV-MH Recovery Cntr.docx.”

Sincerely,

James L. Tomarken, MD, MPH, MBA, MSW
Commissioner

JLT/Iw

Enclosures

C: Christina Capobianco, CPA, Deputy Commissioner
   Barbara Marano, CPA, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
   Jennifer Culp, Assistant to the Commissioner of Health Services
   Art Flescher, LCSW, Director, Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services
   Barbara Russo, Principal Financial Analyst
   Liza Wright, Senior Budget Analyst
RESOLUTION NO. - 2015, AMENDING THE 2015 ADOPTED OPERATING BUDGET TO ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE 100% ADDITIONAL STATE AID FROM THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH TO FAMILY RESIDENCES AND ESSENTIAL ENTERPRISES FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING A HOSPITAL DIVERSION/CRISIS RESPITE PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the New York State Office of Mental Health has issued additional State Aid in the amount of $532,590 for an expansion of community services by the development of a new program; and

WHEREAS, these additional funds are to be used to develop a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Health Services issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) for "Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Services" for its Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services; and

WHEREAS, these services will create access to community based, recovery oriented crisis respite support to individuals in psychiatric need as an alternative to hospital services; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Division of the Department of Public Works advertised for these services and Family Residences & Essential Enterprises was the sole proposer to RFP 15025 and was deemed to be a qualified contractor; and

WHEREAS, this additional 100% State Aid is not currently included in the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate $532,590 in additional State Aid as follows:

REVENUES:

001-3493 Community Support Services $532,590

ORGANIZATIONS:

Department of Health Services (HSV)
Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services
001-HSV-4330-4980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XORG</th>
<th>OBJECT NAME</th>
<th>2015 Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
<th>2015 Modified Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXXX</td>
<td>FREE Hospital Diversion</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$532,590</td>
<td>$532,590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further
2\textsuperscript{nd} RESOLVED, that the County Executive be and hereby is authorized to execute a contract with Family Residences & Essential Enterprises; and be it further

3\textsuperscript{rd} RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under SEQRA and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, hereby determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

__________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County
Date of Approval:

HSV# 43-2015
# STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation:
Amending the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to Family Residences and Essential Enterprises for the purpose of developing a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program.

3. Purpose or Proposed Legislation
This legislation is needed to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health for a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program, as outlined in RFP 15025, to Family Residences and Essential Enterprises.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  
YES _X_  NO _X_

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact:
Not applicable.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
None

8. Proposed Source of Funding

100% State Aid from New York State Office of Mental Health

9. Timing of Impact
Immediate upon approval of the resolution and execution of contract amendment with provider.

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
Liza Wright
Senior Budget Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer
Suzanne Martin

12. Date
10/15/15

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3. SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
MEMORANDUM

To: James L. Tomarken, MD, MPH, MBA, MSW
Commissioner, Department of Health Services

From: Art Flescher, LCSW, CASAC
Director, Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services

Date: October 2, 2015

Subject: Request for Legislative Resolution

The New York State Office of Mental Health has allocated $532,590 in 100% additional State Aid for the development of a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program in Suffolk County. The Division is requesting a Legislative Resolution to allocate this State Aid to Family Residences & Essential Enterprises, the sole proposer during the RFP process. As per Resolution No. 870-2013, adopting Local Law 40, we would like to accelerate legislative consideration to accept these 100% state funds. This acceleration is needed due to the lateness in the calendar year that this RFP issuing 2015 funds has been awarded.

I am attaching drafts of the fiscal impact statement, intro resolution and routing form as well as the Office of Mental Health’s 2015 state aid letter which includes this finding.

AF/HM
Enclosures
Cc: L.Wright, S.Reagan, D.Holtsford, B. Russo
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prior Letter Allocation</th>
<th>Allocation Changes Since Prior Letter</th>
<th>Revised Current Fiscal Year Allocation</th>
<th>Annualized Value from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Annualized Value Changes from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Revised Annualized Value</th>
<th>Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks

$51,350 is transferred to 122P to cover a prior year liability.

An additional one-time increase of $1,250,000 has been made to fund code 122 effective 3/1/2015. Such funds shall only be utilized in accordance with a plan identified in signed Letter of Agreement between the Office of Mental Health, and the LGU.

The allocation includes funding for the following non-recurring program take-over expenses that will be operated by Jewish Board of Family and Children’s Services, effective April 1, 2015: $368,248 for Personalized Recovery Oriented Services (PROS); and $152,254 for a 68-slot Assertive Community Treatment team. Program codes to be used on OMH’s financial reports are: Comprehensive PROS with Clinic (6340) and Assertive Community Treatment Program (0800).

An additional one-time increase of $1,250,000 has been made to fund code 122 effective 3/1/2015. Such funds shall only be utilized in accordance with a plan identified in signed Memorandum of Understanding between the Office of Mental Health, the LGU and the successor provider(s).

Prior Year Liability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prior Letter Allocation</th>
<th>Allocation Changes Since Prior Letter</th>
<th>Revised Current Fiscal Year Allocation</th>
<th>Annualized Value from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Annualized Value Changes from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Revised Annualized Value</th>
<th>Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122P</td>
<td>MHPFA</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$51,350</td>
<td>$51,350</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks

Funds are allocated to preserve critical access to Article 31 mental health clinic services that occurred in 2014 in accordance with a plan identified in a signed Letter of Agreement between the Office of Mental Health and the LGU.

Expanded Community Support Adult

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prior Letter Allocation</th>
<th>Allocation Changes Since Prior Letter</th>
<th>Revised Current Fiscal Year Allocation</th>
<th>Annualized Value from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Annualized Value Changes from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Revised Annualized Value</th>
<th>Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>142A</td>
<td>MHPFA</td>
<td>$1,541,330</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,541,330</td>
<td>$1,541,331</td>
<td>$1,541,331</td>
<td>$1,541,331</td>
<td>5142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Funding Source Allocation Table

**County Code:** 52  **County Name:** Suffolk  
**Year:** 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prior Letter Allocation</th>
<th>Allocation Changes Since Prior Letter</th>
<th>Revised Current Fiscal Year Allocation</th>
<th>Annualized Value from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Annualized Value Changes from Prior Letter</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Revised</th>
<th>Annualized Value</th>
<th>Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Community Support C&amp;Y</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>MHPFA</td>
<td>$557,526</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$557,526</td>
<td>$557,527</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$557,527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks**

Allocation supports: three mobile residential support teams ($758,740 annualized); a hospital alternative respite program ($532,590 annualized); and a recovery center ($250,000 annualized), effective 7/1/2014. The 3 mobile support teams program codes to be included on OMI's financial reports are: Advocacy/Support Services (1760), Non-Medicaid Care Coordination (2720), and Outreach (0690). The Hospital Alternative Respite program code to be included on OMI's financial reports is Respite Services (0650). The East End Recovery Center program code to be included on OMI's financial reports is Recovery Center (2750). These funds are part of the System Transformation Plan initiative which is intended to reduce the need for and length of costly psychiatric hospitalizations. These funds must be reported separately on all OMI financial reports and must not be commingled with existing programs and OMI funding sources. The LGU is required to provide the OMI with monthly reports concerning the number of individuals and new individuals served by age group and county, month and year. Allocations may be adjusted based upon actual program performance.

The State aid allocation of $770,665 includes: $379,370 for three (3) Mobile Residential Support teams ($758,740 annualized); $266,295 for a Hospital Alternative Respite program ($532,590 annualized); and $125,000 for a community based Recovery Center ($250,000 annualized) effective July 1, 2014 ($1,541,330 annualized). The 3 mobile support teams will provide outreach and monitoring to individuals living in mental health subsidized housing. The program codes to be included on OMI's financial reports are: Advocacy/Support Services (1760), Non-Medicaid Care Coordination (2720), and Outreach (0690). The Hospital Alternative Respite program will provide respite housing services to individuals experiencing acute psychiatric distress. The program code to be included on OMI's financial reports is Respite Services (0650). The East End Recovery Center will provide recovery supports for individuals with mental illness through peer support. The program code to be included on OMI's financial reports is Recovery Center (2750). These funds are part of the System Transformation Plan initiative which is intended to reduce the need for and length of costly psychiatric hospitalizations. These funds must be reported separately on all OMI financial reports and must not be commingled with existing programs and OMI funding sources. The LGU is required to provide the OMI with monthly reports concerning the number of individuals and new individuals served by age group and county, month and year. Allocations may be adjusted based upon actual program performance.
TITLE OF BILL: Amending the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to Family Residences and Essential Enterprises for the purpose of developing a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: This legislation is needed to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health for a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program, as outlined in RFP 15025, to Family Residences and Essential Enterprises.

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL PROVISIONS: No special provisions.

JUSTIFICATION: The Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program will create access to community based, recovery oriented crisis respite support to individuals in psychiatric need as an alternative to hospital services.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: Accept and appropriate $532,590 in 100% additional State Aid to the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget.
October 8, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
County Executive’s Office, 12th Floor
H. Lee Dennison Building
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099

Dear Mr. Schneider:

I request the introduction of the enclosed Resolution to amend the 2015 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to Family Residences and Essential Enterprises for the purpose of developing a Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program. The Hospital Diversion/Crisis Respite Program will create access to community based, recovery oriented crisis respite support to individuals in psychiatric need as an alternative to hospital services.

I enclose a financial impact statement and other back-up documentation for this Resolution. If you have any questions on the enclosed, please call Babara Russo at 3-8533. Also, an e-mail version of this Resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW and the file name is “Reso-HSV-MH Crisis Respite.docx.”

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James L. Tomarken, MD, MPH, MBA, MSW
Commissioner

JLT/1w

Enclosures

C: Christina Capobianco, CPA, Deputy Commissioner
Barbara Marano, CPA, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
Jennifer Culp, Assistant to the Commissioner of Health Services
Art Flescher, LCSW, Director, Division of Community Mental Hygiene Services
Barbara Russo, Principal Financial Analyst
Liza Wright, Senior Budget Analyst

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
3500 Sunrise Highway, Suite 124, P. O. Box 9006, Great River, NY 11739-9006
Phone (631) 854-0000 Fax (631) 854-0108
Introduced by the Presiding Officer on request of the County Executive

RESOLUTION NO. 2015, ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING 100% GRANT FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF $183,848 IN 100% FEDERAL FUNDING UNDER THE CONTINUUM OF CARE GRANT RENEWAL PROGRAM FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH UNITED VETERANS BEACON HOUSE, INC.

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has awarded a grant renewal in the amount of $183,848 under the Continuum of Care Grant Program to the Suffolk County Department of Social Services for a homeless assistance initiative, the Beacon House III Project, sponsored by the United Veterans Beacon House, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the terms of the grant renewal, the $183,848 is pass-through funding which has been awarded to the Suffolk County Department of Social Services for the specific purpose of funding the Beacon House III Project; and

WHEREAS, under the Beacon House III Project, United Veterans Beacon House, Inc., will develop approximately eight units of permanent supportive housing in Suffolk County for homeless individuals and families. The clients will receive support services such as ongoing case management, crisis intervention, alcohol/substance abuse treatment, mental health care, medical care and educational/vocational services; and

WHEREAS, there is a critical need in Suffolk County for permanent housing which will provide the ongoing support and the linkages needed by this hard-to-serve population; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of Suffolk County to accept this HUD Grant which will provide 100% funding for the Beacon House III Project for a period of one year; now, therefore be it; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of Suffolk County to accept these funds; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and County Treasurer be and they hereby are authorized to accept the following funds:

REVENUES: $183,848
001-4610 FEDERAL AID: Administration $183,848

and, be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that total funds in the amount of $183,848 be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:
ORGANIZATIONS:

Department of Social Services
Housing Services
001-DSS-6008

4900–Contracted Services
4980 HHI1 United Veterans Beacon House, Inc.

$183,848

and, be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the Commissioner of Social Services be and are hereby authorized to execute a contract with United Veterans Beacon House, Inc., for the Beacon House III Project.

DATED: __________________________

APPROVED BY: __________________________

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval________________________
**STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT**  
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING $183,848 IN 100% FEDERAL FUNDING UNDER THE CONTINUUM OF CARE GRANT RENEWAL PROGRAM FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH UNITED VETERANS BEACON HOUSE, INC.

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

The purpose of this resolution is to accept United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grant renewal funding in the amount of $183,848 under the Continuum of Care Grant Program to the Suffolk County Department of Social Services for a homeless assistance initiative, the Beacon House III Project, sponsored by United Veteran Beacon House, Inc. The grant renewal is pass-through funding which has been awarded to the Suffolk County Department of Social Services for the specific purpose of funding the Beacon House III Project that will develop approximately eight units of permanent supportive housing in Suffolk County for homeless individuals and families.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? Yes  No  X

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over five Years on Each Affected Political of other Subdivision.

100% funded.

8. Proposed Source of Funding.

Federal Aid


Immediate

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer  11. Signature of Preparer  12. Date

Kenneth Knappe  
Chief Management Analyst  

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)

10/12/15
Ms. Audrey Baird  
Housing Director  
Suffolk County Department of Social Services  
100 Veterans Memorial Highway  
P.O. Box 6100  
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099

Dear Ms. Baird:

SUBJECT: Project Name: NY 603- REN- Beacon House III  
Grant Number: NY0738L2T031405

Congratulations on your conditional selection for funding under the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) 2014 Homeless Assistance Grants competition. All issues and conditions in ESNAPS were resolved and we are pleased to provide you with the Grant Agreement Package.

The Grant Agreement Package consists of two copies of the 2014 Renewal Grant Agreement. Please return one (1) countersigned copy of the 2014 Renewal Grant Agreement to our Office for further processing.

If you have any questions regarding grant administration, please contact Miriam J. Allen, Community Planning and Development Representative at (212) 542-7435. We look forward to working with you to achieve our mutual goal of ending homelessness.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Vincent Hom  
Director  
Community Planning and Development

Enclosures
SCOPE OF WORK EXHIBIT for the FY 2014 CoC PROGRAM COMPETITION

1. This Agreement is governed by the Continuum of Care program Interim Rule attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit 1a. Upon publication for effect of a Final Rule for the Continuum of Care program, the Final Rule will govern this Agreement instead of the Interim Rule. The project listed on this Exhibit at 5., below, is also subject to the terms of the FY 2014 Notice of Funds Availability.

2. This Exhibit, providing an additional award of funding, is added to the Continuum of Care Program Grant Agreement identified above by the Original CoC Program Grant Number, under the authority of the fifth paragraph of the Original CoC Program Grant Agreement. The funding provided through this Scope of Work will be referred to by the Renewal Award Number listed above.

3. The grant term applicable to funds shall be 1 year which shall run from 10-01-2015 to 09-30-2016.

4. The Continuum that designated Recipient to apply for grant funds is not a high-performing community.

5. Recipient is not a Unified Funding Agency and was not the only Applicant the Continuum of Care designated to apply for and receive grant funds and is not the only Recipient for the Continuum of Care that designated it. HUD's total funding obligation for this grant is $183,848 for project number NY0738L2T031405. In accordance with 24 CFR 578.105(b), Recipient is prohibited from moving more than 10% from one budget line item in a project's approved budget to another without a written amendment to this Agreement. The obligation for this project shall be allocated as follows.
a. CoC Planning cost $ 0
b. Acquisition $ 0
c. New construction $ 0
d. Rehabilitation $ 0
e. Leasing $ 0
f. Rental assistance $ 175752
   i. Tenant-based rental assistance $
   ii. Project-based rental assistance $
   iii. Sponsor-based rental assistance $
g. Supportive services $ 0
h. Operating costs $ 0
i. HMIS $ 0
j. Administration $ 8096

6. No funds for new projects may be drawn down by Recipient until HUD has approved site control pursuant to §578.21 and §578.25 and no funds for renewal projects may be drawn down by Recipient before the end date of the project's final operating year under the grant that has been renewed.

7. Nothing in this grant agreement shall be construed as creating or justifying any claim against the federal government or the grantee by any third party.
This agreement is hereby executed on behalf of the parties as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Secretary of Housing and Urban Development

By:

[Signature]

(Signature)

Vincent Hom, Director
(Typed Name and Title)

8/3/2015
(Date)

RECIPIENT
Suffolk County Department of Social Services
(Name of Organization)

By:

[Signature]

(Signature of Authorized Official)

Marie N. Budey, Assistant Intergovernmental
Relations Coordinator

( Typed Name and Title of Authorized Official)

9/23/15
(Date)
2012 CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM GRANT AGREEMENT

This Grant Agreement ("this Agreement") is made by and between the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and Suffolk County Department of Social Services (the "Recipient").

This Agreement is governed by title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act 42 U.S.C. 11301 et seq. (the "Act") and the Continuum of Care Program regulation (the "Regulation").

The terms "Grant" or "Grant Funds" mean the funds that are provided under this Agreement. The term "Application" means the application submissions on the basis of which the Grant was approved by HUD, including the certifications, assurances, and any information or documentation required to meet any grant award condition. All other terms shall have the meanings given in the Regulation.

The Application is incorporated herein as part of this Agreement, except that only the project listed, and only in the amount listed on the Scope of Work, is funded by this Agreement. In the event of any conflict between any application provision and any provision contained in this Agreement, this Agreement shall control.

Exhibit 1, the FY2012 Scope of Work, is attached hereto and made a part hereof. If appropriations are available for Continuum of Care grants; and if Recipient applies under a Notice of Funds Availability published by HUD; and, if pursuant to the selection criteria in the Notice of Funds Availability, HUD selects Recipient and the project for renewal, then additional exhibits may be attached to this Agreement. Those additional exhibits, when attached, will also become a part hereof.

The effective date of the Agreement shall be the date of execution by HUD and it is the date use of funds under this Agreement may begin. If the project funded by this Agreement is a new project, Recipient and HUD will set an operating start date in LOCOS for the project, which will be used to track expenditures and to determine when the project is eligible for renewal. If this Agreement renews funding for a project, the term of this Agreement shall begin at the end of the Recipient's final operating year for the grant being renewed, and eligible costs incurred for the project between the end of Recipient's final operating year under the grant being renewed and the execution of this Agreement may be paid with funds from the first operating year of this Agreement.

This Agreement shall remain in effect until termination either 1) by agreement of the parties; 2) by HUD alone, acting under the authority of 24 CFR 578.107; or 3) upon expiration of the final operating year of the project funded under this Agreement.
Recipient agrees:

1. To ensure the operation of the project listed on the Scope of Work in accordance with the provisions of the Act and all requirements of the Regulation;

2. To monitor and report the progress of the project to the Continuum of Care and HUD;

3. To ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that individuals and families experiencing homelessness are involved, through employment, provision of volunteer services, or otherwise, in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and operating facilities for the project and in providing supportive services for the project;

4. To require certification from any subrecipient that:
   a. Subrecipient will maintain the confidentiality of records pertaining to any individual or family that was provided family violence prevention or treatment services through the project;
   b. The address or location of any family violence project assisted with grant funds will not be made public, except with written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of such project;
   c. Subrecipient will establish policies and practices that are consistent with, and do not restrict, the exercise of rights provided by subtitle B of title VII of the Act and other laws relating to the provision of educational and related services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness;
   d. In the case of a project that provides housing or services to families, that subrecipient will designate a staff person to be responsible for ensuring that children being served in the program are enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services in the community, including early childhood programs such as Head Start, part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and programs authorized under subtitle B of title VII of the Act;
   e. The subrecipient, its officers, and employees are not debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government; and
   f. Subrecipient will provide information, such as data and reports, as required by HUD; and

5. To establish such fiscal control and accounting procedures as may be necessary to assure the proper disbursement and accounting for grant funds in order to ensure that all financial transactions are conducted, and records maintained in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, if the Recipient is a Unified Funding Agency;

6. To monitor subrecipient match and report on match to HUD;

7. To take the educational needs of children into account when families are placed in housing and will, to the maximum extent practicable, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children's education;

8. To monitor subrecipient at least annually;

9. To use the centralized or coordinated assessment system established by the Continuum of Care as required by §578.7(a)(8). A victim service provider may choose not to use the Continuum of Care’s centralized or coordinated assessment system, provided that victim service providers in the area use a centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD’s minimum requirements and the victim service provider uses that system instead;

10. To follow the written standards for providing Continuum of Care assistance developed by the Continuum of Care, including the minimum requirements set forth in §578.7(a)(9);
11. Enter into a subrecipient agreement requiring subrecipient to operate the project in accordance with the provisions of this Act and all requirements under 24 CFR. 578; and

12. To comply with such other terms and conditions as HUD may have established in the applicable Notice of Funds Availability.

HUD notifications to the Recipient shall be to the address of the Recipient as stated in the Application, unless HUD is otherwise advised in writing. Recipient notifications to HUD shall be to the HUD Field Office executing the Agreement. No right, benefit, or advantage of the Recipient hereunder may be assigned without prior written approval of HUD.

The Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto, and may be amended only in writing executed by HUD and the Recipient.

By signing below, Recipients that are states and units of local government certify that they are following a current HUD approved CHAS (Consolidated Plan).

This agreement is hereby executed on behalf of the parties as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Secretary of Housing and Urban Development

By:

Vincent Horn, Director

(Date) 12/3/2013

RECIPIENT
Suffolk County Department of Social Services

(Name of Organization)

By:

Audrey Baul, Division Administrator

(Date) 1/22/14
EXHIBIT 1
SCOPE OF WORK for FY2012 COMPETITION

1. This Agreement is governed by the Continuum of Care program Interim Rule attached hereto and made a part herof as Exhibit 1a. Upon publication for effect of a Final Rule for the Continuum of Care program, the Final Rule will govern this Agreement instead of the Interim Rule. The project listed on this Exhibit at 3., below, is also subject to the terms of the FY2012 Notice of Funds Availability.

2. The Continuum that designated Recipient to apply for grant funds is not a high-performing community.

3. Recipient is not a Unified Funding Agency and was not the only Applicant the Continuum of Care designated to apply for and receive grant funds and is not the only Recipient for the Continuum of Care that designated it. HUD's total funding obligation for this grant is $170,425 for project number NY073812T031203. In accordance with 24 CFR 578.105(b), Recipient is prohibited from moving more than 10% from one budget line item in a project's approved budget to another without a written amendment to this Agreement. The obligation for this project shall be allocated as follows:

   a. CoC Planning cost $ 0
   b. Acquisition $ 0
   c. New construction $ 0
   d. Rehabilitation $ 0
   e. Leasing $0
   f. Rental assistance $161,818
   g. Supportive services $ 0
   h. Operating costs $ 0
   i. HMIS $ 0
   j. Administration $ 8,509

4. No funds for new projects may be drawn down by Recipient until HUD has approved site control pursuant to §578.21 and §578.25 and no funds for renewal projects may be drawn down by Recipient before the end date of the project's final operating year under the grant that has been renewed.
§ 578.59  Project administrative costs.

(a) Eligible costs. The recipient or subrecipient may use up to 10 percent of any grant awarded under this part, excluding the amount for Continuum of Care Planning Activities and UFA costs, for the payment of project administrative costs related to the planning and execution of Continuum of Care activities. This does not include staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out activities eligible under § 578.43 through § 578.57, because those costs are eligible as part of those activities. Eligible administrative costs include:

(1) General management, oversight, and coordination. Costs of overall program management, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation. These costs include, but are not limited to, necessary expenditures for the following:

(i) Salaries, wages, and related costs of the recipient's staff, the staff of subrecipients, or other staff engaged in program administration. In charging costs to this category, the recipient may include the entire salary, wages, and related costs allocable to the program of each person whose primary responsibilities with regard to the program involve program administration assignments, or the pro rata share of the salary, wages, and related costs of each person whose job includes any program administration assignments. The recipient may use only one of these methods for each fiscal year grant. Program administration assignments include the following:

(A) Preparing program budgets and schedules, and amendments to those budgets and schedules;
(B) Developing systems for assuring compliance with program requirements;
(C) Developing agreements with subrecipients and contractors to carry out program activities;
(D) Monitoring program activities for progress and compliance with program requirements;
(E) Preparing reports and other documents directly related to the program for submission to HUD;
(F) Coordinating the resolution of audit and monitoring findings;
(G) Evaluating program results against stated objectives; and

(ii) Travel costs incurred for monitoring of subrecipients;

(iii) Administrative services performed under third-party contracts or agreements, including general legal services, accounting services, and audit services; and

(iv) Other costs for goods and services required for administration of the program, including rental or purchase of equipment, insurance, utilities, office supplies, and rental and maintenance (but not purchase) of office space.

(2) Training on Continuum of Care requirements. Costs of providing training on Continuum of Care requirements and attending HUD-sponsored Continuum of Care trainings.

(3) Environmental review. Costs of carrying out the environmental review responsibilities under § 578.31.
Eligible Costs Under the Interim Rule

Supportive Services

1. Assessment of Service Needs
2. Assistance with Moving Costs
3. Case Management
4. Child Care
5. Education Services
6. Employment Assistance
7. Food
8. Housing/Counseling Services
9. Legal Services
10. Life Skills
11. Mental Health Services
12. Outpatient Health Services
13. Outreach Services
14. Substance Abuse Treatment Services
15. Transportation
16. Utility Deposits
17. Operating Costs (SSO Projects ONLY)

Operating

1. Maintenance/Repair
2. Property Taxes and Insurance
3. Replacement Reserve
4. Building Security
5. Electricity, Gas, and Water
6. Furniture
7. Equipment (lease, buy)
Project Administration Costs

Additional cost types are now eligible under the administration line item.

- Expenses related to the overall administration of the grant (24 CFR part 578.59), some of these new costs include, but are not limited to;
  - Cost of CoC Training (provision of or attending);
  - Developing agreements with subrecipients and contractors to carry out program activities;
  - Monitoring program activities for progress and compliance with program requirements;
  - Coordinating the resolution of audit and monitoring findings;
  - Travel costs incurred for monitoring of subrecipients;
  - Administrative services performed under third-party contracts or agreements, including general legal services, accounting services, and audit services; and
  - Other costs for goods and services required for administration of the program, including rental or purchase of equipment, insurance, utilities, office supplies, and rental and maintenance of office space.
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL:
ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING 100% GRANT FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF $183,848 IN 100% FEDERAL FUNDING UNDER THE CONTINUUM OF CARE GRANT RENEWAL PROGRAM FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH UNITED VETERANS BEACON HOUSE, INC.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL:
The purpose of this resolution is to accept a flow-through grant renewal from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and to authorize a contract with the grant sponsor, United Veterans Beacon House, Inc.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS:
The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development has awarded the Suffolk County Department of Social Services, the Continuum of Care Grant Program. This $183,848 in federal funding is considered to be pass-through funding to the United Veterans Beacon House, Inc. in order to provide permanent supportive housing in eight units for homeless individuals and families. The clients will receive support services such as ongoing case management, crisis intervention, alcohol/substance abuse treatment, mental health care, medical care and educational/vocational services. This will also authorize a contract between the Department of Social Service and the grant sponsor, United Veterans Beach House, Inc.

JUSTIFICATION:
100% Federal pass through money for the Continuum of Care Grant Program. This $183,848 in federal funding is considered to be pass-through funding to the United Veterans Beacon House, Inc. in order to provide permanent supportive housing in eight units for homeless individuals and families.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:
100% Federal pass through money

P.O. BOX 18100
HAUPPAUGE, NY 11788-8900

www.suffolkcountyny.gov/departments/socialservices
Memorandum

To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

From: John F. O’Neill, Commissioner
Department of Social Services

Date: October 8, 2015

Subject: REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION:
CONTINUUM OF CARE GRANT RENEWAL PROGRAM –Beacon House III Project

I am requesting the introduction of the attached legislative resolution to be laid on the table at the next Legislative Meeting:

“ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING 100% GRANT FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF $183,848 IN 100% FEDERAL FUNDING UNDER THE CONTINUUM OF CARE GRANT RENEWAL PROGRAM FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH UNITED VETERANS BEACON HOUSE, INC.”

The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development has awarded the Suffolk County Department of Social Services, the Continuum of Care Grant Program. This $183,848 in federal funding is considered to be pass-through funding to the United Veterans Beacon House, Inc. in order to provide permanent supportive housing in eight units for homeless individuals and families. The clients will receive support services such as ongoing case management, crisis intervention, alcohol/substance abuse treatment, mental health care, medical care and educational/vocational services. This will also authorize a contract between the Department of Social Service and the grant sponsor, United Veterans Beach House, Inc.

Attached please find the above referenced resolution, the introduction form (SCIN Form 175a), the fiscal impact statement (SCIN Form 175b), and related back-up material. The e-copies relating to this resolution are titled “Reso-DSS-Shelter Plus Care Grant Renewal.” If you have any questions, please contact Kenneth Knappe at 854-9939.

Enc.

ec: CE Reso. Review Distribution List
RESOLUTION NO. - 2015, ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT AWARD FROM THE EMPIRE STATE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION FOR THE ENTREPRENEURIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (EAP) TO DEVELOP A VETERAN BUSINESS ASSISTANCE CENTER, 100% REIMBURSED BY STATE FUNDS AT SUFFOLK COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE

WHEREAS, Suffolk County Community College has received a grant award in the amount of $42,000, from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, for the period of June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016; and

WHEREAS, matching funds are not required; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of Suffolk County Community College accepted the grant on September 17, 2015 by Resolution No. 2015.67; and

WHEREAS, the College anticipates spending the $42,000 in accordance with the terms of said grant award before May 31, 2016; now therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that said grant award, in the amount of $42,000, from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, for the period of June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016, be accepted and appropriated for the operation of the project as follows:

REVENUES:
State Grant- EAP: Veteran Business Assistance Center
GT63-GT6316-543327-G000

AMOUNT:
$ 42,000

APPROPRIATIONS:
EAP Veteran Business Assistance Center
GT63-GT6316

AMOUNT:
$ 42,000

Suffolk County Community College
EAP: Veteran Business Assistance Center
GT63-GT6316

611000-Salaries and Wages:
611170-Part-time Instructors

$ 30,283
30,283

628000-Employee Benefits:
628330-Social Security

$ 2,317
2,317
713000-Supplies, Materials and Other: $ 5,000
713010-Office Supplies 2,000
713770-Advertising 3,000

714000-Utilities, Travel and Other: $ 4,400
714040-Outside Printing 2,400
714350-Travel: College Business 2,000

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

______________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County
TITLE OF BILL: Accepting and Appropriating a Grant Award from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, 100% Reimbursed by State Funds at Suffolk County Community College

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: To accept and appropriate a grant award from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, in the amount of $42,000, during the 2015-2016 fiscal year.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: This legislation will increase the operating budget for Suffolk County Community College by accepting and appropriating the grant award from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, in the amount of $42,000.

JUSTIFICATION: The program will provide management and assistance to veterans who are seeking to start or starting new business ventures, or to train veterans in the principles and practices of entrepreneurship in order to prepare them to pursue self-employment opportunities.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: None
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

Resolution  X  Local Law ____  Charter Law ____

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
Accepting and Appropriating a Grant Award from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, 100% Reimbursed by State Funds at Suffolk County Community College

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
To accept and appropriate a grant award from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center, in the amount of $42,000, during the 2015-2016 fiscal year.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  Yes ____  No  X

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact?  (Circle appropriate category)

County  Town  Economic Impact
Village  School District  Other (Specify):
Library District  Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
$42,000 from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Center will provide for operating costs during the 2015-2016 fiscal year.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdiv.
Not Applicable

8. Proposed Source of Funding: Empire State Development Corporation

9. Timing of Impact:
June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016

10. Name & Title of Preparer
Henrietta Ytuarte
Accountant

11. Signature of Preparer

12. Date
October 1, 2015

SCIN FORM 175A (10/95)
RESOLUTION NO. 2015.67 - ACCEPTING A GRANT AWARD FROM THE EMPIRE STATE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION FOR THE ENTREPRENEURIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (EAP) TO DEVELOP A VETERAN BUSINESS ASSISTANCE CENTER

WHEREAS, Suffolk County Community College has received a grant award in the amount of $42,000, from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop a Veteran Business Assistance Centers for the period of June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016, and

WHEREAS, this program will provide management and assistance to veterans who are seeking to start or starting new business ventures, or to train veterans in the principles and practices of entrepreneurship in order to prepare them to pursue self-employment opportunities, and

WHEREAS, matching funds are not required,

RESOLVED, that a grant award, in the amount of $42,000, including indirect costs, from the Empire State Development Corporation for the Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP) to develop Veteran Business Assistance Centers during the 2015-2016 fiscal year, for the period of June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016, is hereby accepted, and the College President, or his designee, is authorized to execute a contract, with the administering agency.

Project Director: Daphne M. Gordon

Note: No full-time personnel
ABSTRACT

Grant Proposal ___  Grant Award X

Funding Source: Empire State Development Corporation

Project Title: Veteran Business Assistance Center

Project Director: Daphne M. Gordon

Project Period: June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016

Campus: Corporate Training Center, Michael J. Grant Campus

Amount of Award: $42,000

Match/Fees: $0

Total Program Budget: $42,000

In-kind Contribution: N/A

Full-Time Positions/Reassigned Time: none

No. of Students to be Served: 20

Type of Student to be Served: Veterans

Description of Project:
To provide management and assistance to veterans who are seeking to start or starting new business ventures, or to train veterans in the principles and practices of entrepreneurship in order to prepare them to pursue self-employment opportunities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>611170</td>
<td>Part-Time Instructors</td>
<td>30,283.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611130</td>
<td>Temp Salaries</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628100</td>
<td>TRS/TIAA-CREF</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628330</td>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>2,317.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628360</td>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628380</td>
<td>Bene Fund Cntr</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713770</td>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713010</td>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714040</td>
<td>Outside Printing</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714350</td>
<td>Travel: College Business</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appropriated: 42,000.00
Indirect Costs: 0.00
Total Award: 42,000.00
EMPIRE STATE DEVELOPMENT ANNOUNCES NEW VETERAN SERVICE CENTERS OPENING ACROSS NEW YORK STATE

Seven Entrepreneurial Assistance Program Locations Receive Funding For New Veteran Business Assistance Centers

Empire State Development (ESD) today announced seven Entrepreneurial Assistance Programs (EAP) statewide have been selected to develop Veteran Business Assistance Centers. Each center will receive a grant of up to $42,000 to fund the current program year and enable the EAP centers to assist veterans with starting a new businesses, or to train veterans in the practices of entrepreneurship to prepare them to pursue self-employment opportunities.

“We are grateful to our veterans for the sacrifices they’ve made and we believe the skills they’ve acquired through their military service will enable them to become successful New York State business owners,” said ESD President, CEO & Commissioner Howard Zemsky. “The new VBACs will provide opportunities for veterans to learn the fundamentals of starting a business and allow them to pursue self-employment and support job creation statewide.”
In the 2015-2016 State Budget, Governor Cuomo committed $350,000 to invest in the development of EAP Veteran Business Assistance Centers (VBACs). Applications were received from nine of the 22 existing EAPs throughout the state, of which seven have been selected to receive $294,000 to fund VBACs. The remaining funds will be used to provide guidance to VBAC employees about working with veterans, as well as counseling individual EAP staff, training sessions and more.

The following EAPs have been awarded funding to establish Veteran Business Assistance Centers:

**Adirondack Economic Development – North Country Region**
Service Area: Clinton, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Hamilton, Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Oneida, Oswego, Saratoga, St. Lawrence, Warren, and Washington Counties with assistance available in English.

**Capital Chamber Foundation / Albany-Colonie Regional Chamber of Commerce – Capital Region**
Service Area: Albany, Town of Colonie; Rensselaer, Schenectady, Columbia, Greene, Saratoga, Montgomery and Fulton Counties, with assistance available in English.

**IBERO American Action League – Finger Lakes Region**
Opening a location in Buffalo and Waverly. Service Area: Erie, Niagara, Ontario, Wayne, Seneca, Steuben, Yates, Cayuga, Schuyler, Tioga and Chemung Counties with assistance available in English and Spanish.

**Renaissance Economic Development Corporation – New York City Region**
Service Area: Manhattan, Queens, and Brooklyn, with assistance available in English, Chinese and Korean.

**South Side Innovation Center at Syracuse University – Central New York Region**
Service Area: Onondaga, Oswego, Syracuse, Madison, and Cortland Counties with assistance available in English.

**Suffolk County Community College – Long Island Region**
Service Area: Suffolk County with assistance available in English and Spanish.

**Washington Heights Inwood Development Corporation – New York City Region**
Service Area: Washington Heights-Inwood, Harlem, East Harlem, and Western Bronx, with assistance available in English and Spanish.

The VBACs are required to meet specific goals in the following areas: new enrollments, businesses started, businesses with increased sales and employment, business plan development, MWBE certification, and financial plans developed and secured.

NYS Division of Veterans' Affairs Eric Hesse said, “This is yet another great opportunity for Veterans in New York State. Many Veterans get out of the service looking to develop a business and VBACs will be a much needed resource for them to get started. I applaud the Governor’s leadership in making New York open for Veteran business.”

Director of NYS Division of Entrepreneurial Assistance Program Joyce Smith said, “The Entrepreneurial Assistance Program has provided business services for nearly three decades helping entrepreneurs around the state realize their dreams of business ownership by providing training, one-on-one counseling, access to financing and other support services. The creation of the EAP Veteran Business Assistance Centers allows us the opportunity to serve the veterans of NYS who have served our country so well. We salute them and are forever grateful.”

New York State’s **Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP)** was created in 1987 by the NYS Omnibus Economic Development Act. Since its inception, the EAP initiative has helped entrepreneurs create new businesses and has provided in-depth assistance to minorities, women, dislocated workers and individuals with
a disability interested in starting a business. The EAP establishes centers in local communities to provide instruction, training, technical assistance and support services to individuals who have recently started their own business or are interested in starting a business. The program’s EAP centers are strategically located throughout the state. EAP assists new and aspiring entrepreneurs in developing basic business management skills, refining business concepts, devising early-stage marketing plans and preparation of action plans. In addition, the program actively assists EAP client efforts to obtain business financing.

About Empire State Development (ESD)

Empire State Development (ESD) is New York’s chief economic development agency (www.esd.ny.gov). The mission of ESD is to promote a vigorous and growing economy, encourage the creation of new job and economic opportunities, increase revenues to the State and its municipalities, and achieve stable and diversified local economies. Through the use of loans, grants, tax credits and other forms of financial assistance, ESD strives to enhance private business investment and growth to spur job creation and support prosperous communities across New York State. ESD is also the primary administrative agency overseeing Governor Cuomo’s Regional Economic Development Councils and the marketing of “I Love NY,” the state’s iconic tourism brand. For more information on Regional Councils and Empire State Development, visit www.regionalcouncils.ny.gov and www.esd.ny.gov.

###

Joyce A. Smith  
Director, NYS Entrepreneurial Assistance Program

Empire State Development  
633 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017  
(212) 803-3234 | (212) 803-3934 (F)  
Joyce.Smith@esd.ny.gov | www.esd.ny.gov

IMPORTANT: This e-mail message and any attachments contain information intended for the exclusive use of the individual(s) or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any viewing, copying, disclosure or distribution of this information may be subject to legal restriction or sanction. Please immediately notify the sender by electronic mail or notify the System Administrator by telephone (518) 292-5180 or e-mail (administrator@esd.ny.gov) and delete the message. Thank you.
Office of Business and Financial Affairs

To:      John Schneider, Deputy County Executive
From:    Gail Vizzini, V. P. for Business and Financial Affairs
Date:    October 1, 2015
Subject: Request for a Resolution Accepting and Appropriating a Grant Award for a Suffolk County Community College Program

Enclosed are the application and requisite forms to request acceptance and appropriation of a grant award for a program at Suffolk County Community College.

Proposal    Grant Award    X    Subcontract

Project Name:    Entrepreneurial Assistance Program (EAP)
                 Veteran Business Assistance Center

Funding Source:    Empire State Development Corporation

Amount of Grant:    $ 42,000

Full Time Positions: None

Please call me if there are questions regarding this request.
An e-mail version of the resolution was sent to CERESOREVIEW:
File names:
Reso-SCCC-EAP Veteran Business Assistance Award 2015-16.docx
Backup-SCCC-EAP Veteran Business Assistance Award 2015-16-SCIN 175A.docx

Cc:    Daphne Gordon, Project Director
       John Lombardo, Assoc. V. P. of Marketing and Economic Development
       John Bullard, Jr., Associate Dean for Financial Affairs
RESOLUTION NO. 
-2015, ACCEPTING AND
APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $19,520
FROM THE NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR'S TRAFFIC
SAFETY COMMITTEE (GTSC FFY2016) POLICE TRAFFIC
SERVICES (PTS) PROGRAM WITH 100% SUPPORT FOR THE
SHERIFF'S TRAFFIC SAFETY INITIATIVE

WHEREAS, the New York State Governor's Traffic Safety Committee has made
$19,520 in funds available to Suffolk County for the (GTSC FFY2016) Police Traffic Services
(PTS) Program to be administered by the Suffolk County Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, this program provides high visibility enforcement to increase seat
belt usage and reduce aggressive, speeding, distracted and other dangerous driving behaviors
in an effort to reduce serious injury and death from traffic crashes; and

WHEREAS, the operational period of the program is from October 1, 2015
through September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, said grant funds have not been included in the 2016 Operating
Budget; now, therefore be it

1st
RESOLVED, that the County Executive and Sheriff are authorized to execute
any Agreement with the State of New York, as necessary, to secure said grant funds; and be it
further

2nd
RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and they
hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate said unbudgeted grant funds in the amount of
$19,520 as follows:

REVENUES: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-SHF-4392 Federal Aid: Sheriff – Traffic Safety Initiative</td>
<td>$19,520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROPRIATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk County Sheriff's Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff's Traffic Safety Initiative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Traffic Services (PTS) FY16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-SHF-3551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-Personnel Services</td>
<td>$19,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120-Overtime Salaries</td>
<td>$19,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000-Employee Expenses</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4340-Conferences</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

POLICE TRAFFIC SERVICES PROGRAM FY16 (PTS) ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $19,520 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR'S TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE (GTSC FFY2016) (PTS) GRANT WITH 100% SUPPORT FOR THE SHERIFF’S TRAFFIC SAFETY INITIATIVE

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation – See number 2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? Yes [X] No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

- [ ] County
- [ ] Town
- [ ] Economic Impact
- [ ] Village
- [ ] School District
- [ ] Other (Specify):
- [ ] Library District
- [ ] Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact. $19,520 grant money will be used to implement and carry out the Sheriff’s Police Traffic Services Program.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

n/a

8. Proposed Source of Funding – New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC) FFY2016 PTS Grant


10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Michael P. Sharkey
    Chief Deputy Sheriff

11. Signature of Preparer
    [Signature]

12. Date: 10/13/2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

Title Of Bill: Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $19,520 from the New York State Governor's Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC FFY2016) Police Traffic Services (PTS) Program Grant with 100% support for the Sheriff's Traffic Safety Initiative.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL:

Grant funding in the amount of $19,520 will be used to participate in the statewide Police Traffic Services (PTS) Program. The goal is to increase seat belt usage and reduce aggressive, speeding, distracted and other dangerous driving behaviors in an effort to reduce serious injury and death from traffic crashes.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS:

The Sheriff’s Office will participate in the Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC) Federal Fiscal Year 2016 Police Traffic Services (PTS) Program which incorporates planned enforcement activities targeting identified crash causing traffic violations and/or behaviors at their specific times and places of occurrence and active enforcement of the State’s seat belt and child restraint laws.

JUSTIFICATION:

Over the past several decades New York has implemented laws and programs to impact highway safety and has experienced reductions in the number of serious injuries and fatalities due to motor vehicle crashes. Despite this success, the consequences of motor vehicle crashes continue to be a problem in New York State. A large percentage of these traffic crashes can be directly attributed to aggressive, speeding, distracted and other dangerous driving behaviors. In addition, motor vehicle occupants who are properly restrained in a motor vehicle crash have a better chance of survival with less serious injuries than those who are unrestrained. Directed traffic law enforcement is the proven key to reducing these dangerous behaviors, motor vehicle crashes and their tragic results.
September 29, 2015

Anthony Paparatto
Deputy Sheriff Captain
Suffolk County Sheriff's Office
100 Center Drive
Riverhead, NY 11901-3389

Re: PTS-2016-Suffolk Co SO -00047-(052)
Police Traffic Services
DMV01-T006038-3700393
CFDA #: 20.600
EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2015

Dear Deputy Sheriff Captain Anthony Paparatto:

On behalf of Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, I am pleased to notify you that the Suffolk County Sheriff's Office has been awarded $19,520 to participate in the statewide Police Traffic Services Program. Our goal is to increase seat belt usage and reduce dangerous driving behaviors in an effort to reduce serious injury and death from traffic crashes. Please note all grants will be effective only upon final approval by the New York State Office of the State Comptroller.

Before incurring any project related expenses, login to eGrants to review your approved budget as it may have been reduced or otherwise changed from what was requested.

Thank you for participating in this very important statewide enforcement program. I wish you success in your efforts. If you have any questions, please contact the Governor's Traffic Safety Committee at (518) 474-5111.

Sincerely,

Charles R. DeWeese
Assistant Commissioner

CRD:lo
Enclosure
cc: Jacob Gross
Grant Maintenance Information

revenue: 1.9 million

Future development

The project was awarded additional funding for the current fiscal year. The STEM Academy has been successful in exceeding the revenue goals. The project was awarded an additional $1.2 million, bringing the total revenue to $3.1 million. The additional funds will be used to support the continued growth of the STEM Academy and to expand its programs. The project is on track to achieve its long-term goals.

Program and evaluation

The evaluation of the STEM Academy has been conducted by an independent research firm. The results indicate that the program has had a positive impact on student outcomes. Students who participate in the STEM Academy have shown significant improvements in their academic performance. The evaluation also highlights the importance of continued support and funding for the program.

Conclusion

The STEM Academy has demonstrated its effectiveness and has been awarded additional funding. The project is well on its way to achieving its long-term goals. Continued support and funding are necessary to maintain the momentum and ensure the success of the program.

For more information, please contact:

Jane Smith
Project Director

jane.smith@stemacademy.org

Phone: 123-456-7890

Location: 123 STEM Academy

(All information above is fictional and for demonstration purposes only.)
To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
From: Michael P. Sharkey, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Date: 10/13/2015
Re: Local Grant program, Request for Legislation

The Sheriff’s Office is a successful applicant for the New York State, Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee [GTSC], Local Grant Program With 100% Support for the Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative. The GTSC has made $19,520 in (GTSC FFY2016) funds available for the Sheriff’s Office Police Traffic Services Program.

The Sheriff’s Office agrees to participate in the Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee FFY 2016 statewide Police Traffic Safety Program with the goal to increase seat belt usage and reduce aggressive, speeding, distracted and other dangerous driving behaviors in an effort to reduce serious injury and death from traffic crashes.

The Sheriff’s Office will use a portion of the funding to participate in the statewide Buckle Up New York (BUNY) campaign, Operation Safe Stop (School Bus Safety) programs, and to summons aggressive drivers. These activities will be carried out by highly visible enforcement to reduce crashes and promote safe driving.

Grant funding will help to implement and carry out the Sheriff’s Office Traffic Safety Initiative.

An e-mail version of the resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW, saved under the title “Reso-Sheriff-N.Y.S. Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee, Police Traffic Services Program FY16 with 100% Support for the Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative”.

Thank you for your consideration in reviewing this draft resolution. We request that this resolution be laid on the table at your earliest convenience.

MPS/dlh

Att.
RESOLUTION NO. 1846-15

ACCEPTING AND
APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $12,000
FROM THE NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR'S TRAFFIC
SAFETY COMMITTEE (GTSC FFY2016) CHILD PASSENGER
SAFETY PROGRAM WITH 100% SUPPORT FOR SHERIFF'S
TRAFFIC SAFETY INITIATIVE

WHEREAS, the New York State Governor's Traffic Safety Committee has made
$12,000 in funds available to Suffolk County for the Child Passenger Safety Program (GTSC
FFY2016) to be administered by the Suffolk County Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, child safety seats reduce the risk of fatal injury by 71% when used
correctly, however, misuse reduces effectiveness and more than 90% of child safety seats are
used improperly; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's Office will continue to address this issue by participating
in the New York State Child Passenger Safety Program; and

WHEREAS, the operational period of the program is from October 1, 2015 through
September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, said grant funds have not been included in the 2015 and 2016 Suffolk
County Operating Budgets; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Executive and Sheriff are authorized to execute
any Agreement with the State of New York, as necessary, to secure said grant funds; and be it
further

2nd RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and
they hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate said unbudgeted grant funds in the amount
of $12,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-4392-Federal Aid: Sheriff – Traffic Safety Initiative</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROPRIATION: Suffolk County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff Traffic Safety Initiative
Child Passenger Safety Program FY16
001-SHF-3550
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000-Supplies, Materials &amp; Other Expenses</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500-Other: Unclassified</td>
<td>$11,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000-Employee Expenses</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4340-Conferences</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED:

APPROVED BY:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $12,000 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR’S TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE (GTSC FY2016) CHILD PASSENGER SAFETY PROGRAM WITH 100% SUPPORT FOR SHERIFF’S TRAFFIC SAFETY INITIATIVE.

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation – See number 2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? Yes **X** No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

- **County**
- **Town**
- **Economic Impact**
- **Village**
- **School District**
- **Other (Specify):**
- **Library District**
- **Fire District**

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact. $12,000 of grant money will be used to implement and carry out the Child Passenger Safety Program, allowing the Sheriff's Office to educate parents on the proper use of child safety seats while providing safety seats to those who may not be able to afford them.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

n/a

8. Proposed Source of Funding – New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee (GTSC FY2016) Local Grant Program.

9. Timing of Impact - **FY 2015 and FY2016**

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Michael P. Sharkey
    Chief Deputy Sheriff

11. Signature of Preparer
    [Signature]

12. Date: 10/13/2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive  
From: Michael P. Sharkey, Chief Deputy Sheriff  
Date: 10/13/2016  
Re: Child Passenger Safety Program, Request for Legislation

The Sheriff’s Office is once again a successful applicant for the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee [GTSC], Child Passenger Safety Program With 100% Support for Sheriff’s Traffic Safety Initiative. The GTSC has made $12,000 in funds (GTSC FY2016) available for the Sheriff’s Office Child Safety Program.

Grant money will be used to provide child safety seats to those who cannot afford them, to replace unserviceable child safety seats, to further provide public information and education designed to save lives, and to support the Sheriff’s Office traffic safety initiatives. Child safety seats reduce the risk of fatal injury by 71% when used correctly, however misuse reduces effectiveness. More than 90% of child safety seats are used improperly. This agency will continue to address this issue by participating in the State’s Child Passenger Safety Grant Program.

Grant funding will help to implement and carry out the Child Passenger Safety Program.

An e-mail version of the resolution was sent to CE Reso Review, saved under the title “Reso-Sheriff-GTSC Grant – Child Passenger Safety Program FY16”.

We request that this resolution be laid on the table at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration in reviewing this draft resolution.

MPS/dlh
Att.
RESOLUTION NO. AUTHORIZING THE SALE,
PURSUANT TO LOCAL LAW NO. 16-1976, OF REAL
PROPERTY ACQUIRED UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE
SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX ACT
ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR
(SCTM NO. 0900-258.00-03.00-017.000)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK acquired the following described parcel:

ALL, that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Town of Southampton, County of Suffolk, and State of New York, described on the Tax Map of the Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency as District 0900, Section 258.00, Block 03.00, Lot 017.000, and acquired by tax deed on September 24, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on September 26, 2014, in Liber 12790, at Page 129, and otherwise known and designated by the Town of Southampton, as Lots 9, 10 and 11, Block F, on a certain map entitled "Map of Good Ground Development of Long Island Seashore Company, Inc.", filed in the Office of the Clerk of Suffolk County on June 18, 1915 as Map No. 247; and

FURTHER, notwithstanding the above description, it is the intention of this conveyance to give title only to such property as was acquired by the County of Suffolk by Tax Deed on September 24, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on September 26, 2014 in Liber 12790 at Page 129.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Suffolk County Local Law No. 16-1976, provision has been made for the sale of such real property acquired by the County through tax sale; and

WHEREAS, ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR has made application of said above described parcel and ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR has paid the application fee and has paid $38,795.22, as payment of taxes, penalties, interest, recording fees, and any other charges due the County of Suffolk, pursuant to Local Law, by applicant, through November 30, 2015; now, therefore be it

1st

RESOLVED, this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that adoption of this law is not an action within the meaning of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations thereto. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.2(b) (2). The Legislature further finds and determines that even if the adoption of the local law is an action within the meaning of SEQRA, the adoption of law is a Type II action constituting a legislative decision in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priority. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.13(d) (15) and (21). As a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.5(a)(1); and be it further
RESOLVED, that the Director of Real Estate, and/or her designee, be and she hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a Quitclaim Deed to ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR, 42 Ponquogue Avenue, Hampton Bays, NY 11946, to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above described property and on the above described terms.

DATED:

APPROVED BY: ____________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: _________________________
SUFFOLK COUNTY
DIVISION OF REAL PROPERTY
ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT
CLOSING STATEMENT

October 08, 2015

Tax Map No.: 0900-258.00-03.00-017.000
Name of Last Legal Fee Owner: ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR

TREASURER’S COMPUTATION ......................... $38,789.11
Taxes ..................2014/2015 ...................... OPEN
Certified Mail Fees ................................... $6.11
License Fee Collected ................................ OPEN
Repairs .................................. OPEN
Other Expenses .................................. OPEN

TOTAL ............................................. $38,795.22

Monies Received ..................................... $38,795.22

RESOLUTION AMOUNT ......................... $38,795.22

APPROVED:

PREPARED BY:

Peter Belyea
Redemption Unit
(631) 853-5932

Accounting
PB leg

Annex
premises 10/8/2015
A. PRINCIPAL AMOUNT DUE ON ALL UNPAID TAXES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$1,882.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$9,487.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$6,704.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$6,427.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$5,128.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$4,521.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $34,152.01

B. INTEREST DUE

$2,790.00

C. TOTAL

$36,942.01

D. 5% LINE C

$1,847.10

SUBTOTAL

$38,789.11

E. FEE

CERTIFIED MAILING FEES

$6.11

F. MISC

G. MISC

H. MISC

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE:

$38,795.22

CERTIFICATION BY COUNTY TREASURER

I, Douglas W. Sutherland, Chief Deputy County Treasurer of the County of Suffolk, in the State of New York do hereby certify that the above monies are now due and owing upon the real property sought to be redeemed and that such sums are applied by law and taken from official books and records in my custody.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and affixed my official seal at Riverhead, County of Suffolk and State of New York.

24-Sep-15

Douglas W. Sutherland
Chief Deputy County Treasurer
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act
   ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR
   0900-258.00-03.00-017.000

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   Convey County owned parcel to prior owner

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes X No

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
   County
   Village
   Library District
   Town
   School District Other (Specify):
   Economic Impact

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", provide detailed explanation of Impact
   The County will recoup the amount of taxes paid on the property taken by the tax deed.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected Political or other subdivision
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   N/A

9. Timing of Impact
   2015

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Peter Belyea
    Signature of Preparer
    Date
    10/8/15
    05. 10.15.15
## Financial Impact
### 2015 Property Tax Levy
### Cost to the Average Taxpayer

### General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
October 9, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12th Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Re: Tax Map No. 0900-258.00-03.00-017.000
ESTATE OF ELIZABETH HOFFMAN, LARRY MACLEAN, EXECUTOR

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Enclosed herewith for your approval is an original of the proposed resolution with documentation pursuant to:

Local Law No. 16-1976, as amended – Authorizing the redemption of real property.

I would appreciate your placing this on the legislative agenda at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Wayne R. Thompson
Real Property Management Supervisor

Attachment

cc: CE Reso Review (e-copy)
RESOLUTION NO. AUTHORIZING THE SALE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL LAW NO. 16-1976, OF REAL PROPERTY ACQUIRED UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX ACT
KENNETH RIPP
(SCTM NO. 0400-160.00-01.00-084.000)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK acquired the following described parcel:

ALL, that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Town of Huntington, County of Suffolk, and State of New York, described on the Tax Map of the Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency as District 0400, Section 160.00, Block 01.00, Lot 084.000, and acquired by tax deed on June 18, 2013, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on June 20, 2013, in Liber 12733, at Page 783, and otherwise known and designated by the Town of Huntington, as Lots 9, 10, 11 and 12, Block 7, on a certain map entitled "Map of Greenlawn Heights", filed in the Office of the Clerk of Suffolk County on June 7, 1928 as Map No. 196; and

FURTHER, notwithstanding the above description, it is the intention of this conveyance to give title only to such property as was acquired by the County of Suffolk by Tax Deed on June 18, 2013, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on June 20, 2013 in Liber 12733 at Page 783.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Suffolk County Local Law No. 16-1976, provision has been made for the sale of such real property acquired by the County through tax sale; and

WHEREAS, KENNETH RIPP has made application of said above described parcel and KENNETH RIPP has paid the application fee and has paid $5,817.96, as payment of taxes, penalties, interest, recording fees, and any other charges due the County of Suffolk, pursuant to Local Law, by applicant, through November 30, 2015; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that adoption of this law is not an action within the meaning of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations adopted thereto. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.2(b) (2). The Legislature further finds and determines that even if the adoption of the local law is an action within the meaning of SEQRA, the adoption of law is a Type II action constituting a legislative decision in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priority. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.13(d) (15) and (21). As a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.5(a)(1); and be it further
2nd RESOLVED, that the Director of Real Estate, and/or her designee, be and she hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a Quitclaim Deed to KENNETH RIPP, 46 Cedar Point Drive, West Islip, NY 11795, to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above described property and on the above described terms.

DATED:

APPROVED BY: ____________________________

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: ________________________
October 07, 2015

Tax Map No.: 0400-160.00-01.00-084.000
Name of Last Legal Fee Owner: KENNETH RIPP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TREASURER'S COMPUTATION</td>
<td>$5,032.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes 2014/2015</td>
<td>$765.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Mail Fees</td>
<td>$19.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Fee Collected</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenses</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,817.96</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monies Received</td>
<td><strong>$5,817.96</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESOLUTION AMOUNT</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,817.96</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROVED: ___________________________

PREPARED BY:
Lori Sklar
Redemption Unit
(631) 853-5937

Accounting
LS: lag
### A. Principal Amount Due on All Unpaid Taxes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$1,212.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1,273.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$977.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$750.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $4,213.56

### B. Interest Due

- $579.39

### C. Total

- $4,792.95

### D. 5% Line C

- $239.65

**Subtotal:** $5,032.59

### E. Fee

- $765.64

### F. Misc

- 2015 Property Taxes

### G. Misc

- Certified Mailing Fees

- $19.73

**Total Amount Due:** $5,817.96

---

**Certification by County Treasurer**

I, Diane M. Stuke, Deputy County Treasurer of the County of Suffolk, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above monies are now due and owing upon the real property sought to be redeemed and that such sums are applied by law and taken from official books and records in my custody.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and affixed my official seal at Riverhead, County of Suffolk and State of New York.

08-Jul-15

Diane M. Stuke
Deputy County Treasurer

**Interest and penalty computed to and including 01/04/16**

Iml
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
Resolution X

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act
KENNETH RIPP
0400-160.00-01.00-084.000

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
Convey County owned parcel to prior owner

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes X No

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact?
(circle appropriate category)

County

Town
Economic Impact

Village

School District Other (Specify):

Library District

Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", provide detailed explanation of Impact
The County will recoup the amount of taxes paid on the property taken by the tax deed.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected Political or other subdivision
N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
N/A

9. Timing of Impact
2015

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer  Signature of Preparer  Date
Lori Sklar _________________________ Lori Sklar 10/8/15

L.S. 10-15-15
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
October 9, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12th Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Re: Tax Map No. 0400-160.00-01.00-084.000
KENNETH RIPP

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Enclosed herewith for your approval is an original of the proposed resolution with documentation pursuant to:

Local Law No. 16-1976, as amended – Authorizing the redemption of real property.

I would appreciate your placing this on the legislative agenda at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Wayne R. Thompson
Real Property Management Supervisor

WRT:LS:lag

Attachment

cc: CE Reso Review (e-copy)
RESOLUTION NO. 1849-15
AUTHORIZING THE SALE,
PURSUANT TO LOCAL LAW NO. 16-1976, OF REAL
PROPERTY ACQUIRED UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE
SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX ACT
MITCHELL WINSTON
(SCTM NO. 0101-004.00-04.00-048.003 f/k/a 0101-004.00-04.00-047.000)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK acquired the following described parcel:

ALL, that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Town of Babylon, County of Suffolk, and State of New York, described on the Tax Map of the Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency as District 0101, Section 004.00, Block 04.00, Lot 048.003 f/k/a 0101-004.00-04.00-047.000, and acquired by tax deed on November 05, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on November 14, 2014, in Liber 12795, at Page 960, and otherwise known and designated by the Town of Babylon, as Lot No. 13, on a certain map entitled “Map of Lombardi Gardens”, filed in the Office of the Clerk of Suffolk County on May 17, 1949 as Map No. 1680; and

FURTHER, notwithstanding the above description, it is the intention of this conveyance to give title only to such property as was acquired by the County of Suffolk by Tax Deed on November 05, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on November 14, 2014 in Liber 12795 at Page 960.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Suffolk County Local Law No. 16-1976, provision has been made for the sale of such real property acquired by the County through tax sale; and

WHEREAS, MITCHELL WINSTON has made application of said above described parcel and MITCHELL WINSTON has paid the application fee and has paid $4,130.66, as payment of taxes, penalties, interest, recording fees, and any other charges due the County of Suffolk, pursuant to Local Law, by applicant, through November 30, 2015; now, therefore be it

1st
RESOLVED, this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that adoption of this law is not an action within the meaning of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations adopted thereto. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.2(b) (2). The Legislature further finds and determines that even if the adoption of the local law is an action within the meaning of SEQRA, the adoption of law is a Type II action constituting a legislative decision in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priority. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.13(d) (15) and (21). As a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.5(a)(1); and be it further
2nd RESOLVED, that the Director of Real Estate, and/or her designee, be and she hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a Quitclaim Deed to MITCHELL WINSTON, 92 Westwood Road North, Massapequa, NY 11762, to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above described property and on the above described terms.

DATED:

APPROVED BY: ____________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: __________________________
SUFFOLK COUNTY
DIVISION OF REAL PROPERTY
ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT
CLOSING STATEMENT

September 30, 2015

Tax Map No.: 0101-004.00-04.00-047.000
Name of Last Legal Fee Owner: MITCHELL WINSTON

TREASURER’S COMPUTATION.......................... $1,346.32 ✓
Taxes........2014/2015.................................. $2,770.72 ✓
Certified Mail Fees................................... $13.62 ✓
License Fee Collected................................. OPEN
Repairs.................................................... OPEN
Other Expenses....................................... OPEN

________________________

TOTAL.................................................. $4,130.66 ✓

________________________

Monies Received..................................... $4,130.66

________________________

RESOLUTION AMOUNT.............................. $4,130.66 ✓

________________________

APPROVED:

PREPARED BY:

Lori Sklar
Redemption Unit
(631) 853-5937

Accounting
LS'ieg

9/30/2015
## A. PRINCIPAL AMOUNT DUE ON ALL UNPAID TAXES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 49.82</td>
<td>$ 49.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 834.54</td>
<td>$ 834.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$ 327.55</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 327.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $ 1,211.91

## B. INTEREST DUE

**TOTAL INTEREST DUE:** $ 70.30

## C. TOTAL

**TOTAL:** $ 1,282.21

## D. 5% LINE C

**TOTAL:** $ 64.11

## SUBTOTAL

**SUBTOTAL:** $ 1,346.32

## E. FEE

2015 PROPERTY TAXES-SECOND HALF $ 2,770.72

## F. MISC

CERTIFIED MAILING FEES $ 13.62

## TOTAL AMOUNT DUE

**TOTAL AMOUNT DUE:** $ 4,130.66

## CERTIFICATION BY COUNTY TREASURER

I, Diane M. Stuke, Deputy County Treasurer of the County of Suffolk, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above monies are now due and owing upon the real property sought to be redeemed and that such sums are applied by law and taken from official books and records in my custody.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and affixed my official seal at Riverhead, County of Suffolk and State of New York.

06-May-15

Diane M. Stuke
Deputy County Treasurer

**Interest and penalty computed to and including 11/02/15**

Iml
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act
   MITCHELL WINSTON
   0101-004.00-04.00-047.000

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   Convey County owned parcel to prior owner

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes X No

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
   County
   Town
   Economic Impact
   Village
   School District
   Other (Specify):
   Library District
   Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", provide detailed explanation of Impact
   The County will recoup the amount of taxes paid on the property taken by the tax deed.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected Political or other subdivision
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   N/A

9. Timing of Impact
   2015

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Lori Sklar

    Signature of Preparer
    ❖️ prisklar

    Date
    10/1/15
# FINANCIAL IMPACT
## 2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

## GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

3. SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
October 9, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12th Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Re: Tax Map No. 0101-004.00-04.00-047.000
MITCHELL WINSTON

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Enclosed herewith for your approval is an original of the proposed resolution with documentation pursuant to:

Local Law No. 16-1976, as amended – Authorizing the redemption of real property.

I would appreciate your placing this on the legislative agenda at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Wayne D. Thompson
Real Property Management Supervisor

WRT.LS.Iag

Attachment

cc: CE Reso Review (e-copy)
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $10,000 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (DCJS) FOR THE SHERIFF’S OFFICE TO REPLACE AND UPDATE OBSOLETE SHERIFF’S OFFICE LIVESCAN EQUIPMENT WITH 50% SUPPORT.

WHEREAS, the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) has awarded the Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office $10,000 in funds under the Livescan Equipment Grant Program.; and

WHEREAS, the Livescan Equipment Grant Program will provide funds to replace and update existing Sheriff’s Office outdated Livescan Equipment and to supplement the purchase by the Sheriff’s Office; and

WHEREAS, operational period of the program will be from October 1, 2015, through September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, said funds have not been included in the 2016 Operating Budget; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Executive and Sheriff are authorized to execute any Agreement with the State of New York, as necessary, to secure said grant funds; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and they hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate said unbudgeted grant funds in the amount of $10,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES:</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-SHF-3324-Livescan Equipment Grant Program</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROPRIATION:</th>
<th>Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office Livescan Equipment Grant Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000- Equipment</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500- Other Equipment</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED:
APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution _X_   Local Law _____   Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT
   OF $10,000 FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
   (DCJS) FOR THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO REPLACE AND UPDATE OBSOLETE SHERIFF'S
   OFFICE LIVESCAN EQUIPMENT WITH 50% SUPPORT.

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation -See number 2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?   Yes _X_   No

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
   County   Town   Economic Impact
   Village   School District   Other (Specify):
   Library District   Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact. $10,000 of grant money will be
   used toward the purchase of replacement Sheriff's Office Livescan Equipment.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   n/a


10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Michael P. Sharkey
    Chief Deputy Sheriff

11. Signature of Preparer

12. Date:
    10/14/15

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

Title Of Bill: Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $10,000 from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) for the Suffolk County Sheriff's Office to replace and update obsolete Sheriff's Office Livescan Equipment with 50% support.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: To accept $10,000 in grant funding from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) through the Livescan Equipment Grant Program to replace and update existing Sheriff's Office outdated Livescan Equipment and to supplement the purchase by the Sheriff's Office.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: This legislation will accept $10,000 in grant funding made available to Suffolk County to assist the Sheriff's Office with the purchase of replacement Livescan Equipment.

JUSTIFICATION: Existing Sheriff's Office Livescan Equipment is obsolete and in need of replacement. This equipment is a necessary and vital part of the collection and submission of required fingerprint data.
To: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
From: Michael P. Sharkey, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Date: 10/14/15
Re: N.Y.S. Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS), FY2015-2016 Livescan Equipment Grant Program with 50% Support

As you are aware, the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) through its Livescan Equipment Grant Program has made $10,000 in grant funding available to Suffolk County to assist the Sheriff's Office with the purchase of replacement Livescan Equipment. This equipment is a necessary and vital part of the collection and submission of required fingerprint data. The operational period of this grant is from October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016.

An e-mail version of the resolution was sent to CE RESO REVIEW, saved under the title "Reso–Sheriff – Livescan Equipment Grant Program."

We request that this resolution be laid on the table at your earliest convenience. Thank you for your consideration in reviewing this draft resolution.

MPS/dlh

Att.
RESOLUTION NO. 2015, AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM, AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT FOR THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TRANSIT AUTOMATED VEHICLE LOCATOR (AVL) SYSTEM AND ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING FEDERAL AID AND STATE AID AND COUNTY FUNDS (CP 5648)

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 767-2009 authorized funds for the purchase and installation of an Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system for Suffolk Transit; and

WHEREAS, additional funds for this project are needed to purchase CAD/AVL equipment for paratransit buses which were not part of the Suffolk County Transit fleet when the original agreement with INIT, Inc. to provide and install an AVL system was signed; and

WHEREAS, funds will also be used to equip all Suffolk County Transit fixed route buses with automated passenger counters; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) provide funds for mass transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, said project is supported by FTA Grant Nos. NY-90-X617, and NY-90-X648 which contain sufficient funds to finance 90% of the additional funds needed; and

WHEREAS, the grant agreements for the Federal and associated State financial assistance impose certain obligations upon the County, and require the County to commit resources necessary to initially cover the total project costs of the grants; and

WHEREAS, Federal reimbursement to the County will be 80% and State reimbursement to the County will be up to 50% of the non-federal share of federally funded projects, but not to exceed 10% of federally funded total project costs and the County will provide the remaining 10% local share of project costs; and

WHEREAS, the additional cost necessary to complete the project is estimated to be $998,710 with the Federal and State shares amounting to 90% of the additional cost; and

WHEREAS, sufficient funds have not been included within the 2015 Capital Budget and Program and pursuant to the Suffolk County Charter, Section C4-13, an offsetting authorization is not required on amendments which are financed in an amount of at least fifty percent (50%) by federal and/or state aid; and

WHEREAS, that the County Legislature, by resolution of even date herewith, has authorized the issuance of $99,871 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds to cover the County share of this purchase; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), Environmental Conservation Law Article 8, hereby finds and determines that this law constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Volume 6 of the New York
Code of Rules and Regulations ("NYCRR") Section 617.5 (25) and (27), in that the resolution concerns purchasing of furnishings, equipment and supplies, other than land, radioactive materials, pesticides, herbicides or other hazardous materials, and adoption of a local legislative decision in connection with the same; as a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the 2015 Capital Budget and Program be and they are hereby amended as follows:

Project No. 5648
Project Title: Equipment for Public Transit Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Equipment</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Revised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est'd Cost</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget &amp; Program</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$11,136,538</td>
<td>$11,992G</td>
<td>$11,992G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$560,000F</td>
<td>$999,200F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$70,000 S</td>
<td>$124,900S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$70,000 B</td>
<td>$112,908B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$711,992</td>
<td>$1,710,702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the proceeds of $99,871 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5648.520</td>
<td>Purchase and Installation of AVL System</td>
<td>$99,871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the State Aid be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5648.520</td>
<td>Purchase and Installation of AVL System</td>
<td>$99,871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that the Federal Aid be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-5648.520</td>
<td>Purchase and Installation of AVL System</td>
<td>$798,968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

5th RESOLVED, that the County Treasurer and the County Comptroller are authorized to accept State and Federal aid in connection with this project.
DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT  
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation


3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

SEE NO. 2 ABOVE

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact?  
(circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact

The County will provide 10% share of the project, estimated to be $99,8710.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

SEE ATTACHED DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULE

8. Proposed Source of Funding

Federal Transit Funds 80% ($798,968)  
New York State Aid 10% ($99,871)  
Suffolk County Serial Bonds 10% ($99,871)

9. Timing of Impact

FOR THE COUNTY SHARE, IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT BONDS WILL BE ISSUED SPRING OF 2016 AND DEBT SERVICE WILL COMMENCE SPRING 2017. THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT FOR BONDS IN 2015 OR 2016.

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer

Nicholas E. Paglia Jr.  
Executive Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer

[Signature]

12. Date

October 22, 2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
## FINANCIAL IMPACT
### 2017 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
#### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2017* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$22,057</td>
<td>$0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2017* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2017* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$22,057</td>
<td>$0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Estimated 2017 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.*

### NOTES:
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.

Page 2 of 2

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
Suffolk County
General Obligation Serial Bonds
Level Debt

Term of Bonds
Amount to Bond: 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2017</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$18,661.35</td>
<td>$3,395.61</td>
<td>$22,056.96</td>
<td>$22,056.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2018</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$19,255.83</td>
<td>$1,380.56</td>
<td>$20,636.39</td>
<td>$22,056.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$19,951.89</td>
<td>$1,052.54</td>
<td>$21,004.42</td>
<td>$22,056.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$20,630.25</td>
<td>$713.35</td>
<td>$21,343.61</td>
<td>$22,056.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$21,331.68</td>
<td>$362.64</td>
<td>$21,694.32</td>
<td>$22,056.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>$99,871.00</td>
<td>$10,413.80</td>
<td>$110,284.80</td>
<td>$110,284.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FINANCIAL IMPACT
### 2016 PROPERTY TAX LEVY*  
#### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED 2016* COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Estimated 2016 Cost to Average Taxpayer is based upon the 2015 property tax levy and is provided for informational purposes only.*

### NOTES:
3. SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: AMENDING THE 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET AND PROGRAM, AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT FOR THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TRANSIT AUTOMATED VEHICLE LOCATOR (AVL) SYSTEM AND ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING FEDERAL AID AND STATE AID AND COUNTY FUNDS (CP 5648)

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: Authorizes the purchase of additional CAD/AVL equipment for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system and accepting and appropriating Federal and State aid and County funds for this project.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: Authorizes the purchase of additional CAD/AVL equipment for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system and accepting and appropriating Federal and State aid and County funds for this project. Amends the 2015 Capital Budget and Program to include funds for this project.

JUSTIFICATION: Additional funds for this project are needed to purchase CAD/AVL equipment for paratransit buses which were not part of the Suffolk County Transit fleet when the original agreement with INIT, Inc. to provide an install an AVL system was signed. At the time, the paratransit fleet had 143 buses; it has since grown to 180. Funds will also be used to equip all Suffolk County Transit fixed route buses with automated passenger counters; the original agreement only provided for 10% of the fleet to be so equipped.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: The total cost is estimated to be $998,710 with the federal, state and county shares being 80%, 10% and 10%, respectively, of the total project costs. Funds for this project are available under FTA grant Nos. NY-90-X617 and NY-90-X648.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

DATE: October 13, 2015

RE: Draft resolution authorizing the purchase of additional equipment for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system

Attached, please find a draft resolution authorizing the purchase of additional equipment for the Suffolk County Transit Automated Vehicle Locator (AVL) system and accepting and appropriating Federal and State aid and County funds for this project. Additional funds for this project are needed to purchase CAD/AVL equipment for paratransit buses which were not part of the Suffolk County Transit fleet when the original agreement with INIT, Inc. to provide an install an AVL system was signed. At the time, the paratransit fleet had 143 buses; it has since grown to 180. Funds will also be used to equip all Suffolk County Transit fixed route buses with automated passenger counters; the original agreement only provided for 10% of the fleet to be so equipped.

The total cost is estimated to be $998,710 with the federal, state and county shares being 80%, 10% and 10%, respectively, of the total project costs. Funds for this project are available under FTA grant Nos. NY-90-X617 and NY-90-X648.

This proposed resolution, with backup, will be forwarded electronically titled: "Reso-DPW- Addtl Equipment INIT AVL System."

Please initiate the process to have this resolution introduced at the next meeting of the Suffolk County Legislature. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Garry Lenberger, Director of Transportation Operations, at 2-4880.

GA:GL:cc
Enclosures
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, ACCEPTING AND
APPROPRIATING A FEDERAL GRANT AWARD IN THE
AMOUNT OF $887,198 FROM US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST OF WOMEN FOR SUFFOLK
COUNTY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM
(PROJECT DOVE) WITH 95% SUPPORT

WHEREAS, the US Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women
awarded Suffolk County $887,198.00 in Federal funds for the Suffolk County Domestic Violence
Enforcement Program; and

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Probation, Suffolk County
Department of Police, Suffolk County Sheriff's Office and Long Island Against Domestic
Violence collaboratively submitted the grant application and will work to provide Project DOVE; and

WHEREAS, $842,838.00 is 95% of the full grant award amount and the remaining
5%, $44,360 must be withheld; the $842,838 has not been included in the 2015 Operating
Budget; and

WHEREAS, 5% of this award is being withheld and that it may not obligate,
expend or drawdown that 5% unless, by the period ending on the date on which the next
session of the State legislature ends, the State or unit of local government certifies that it has a
law, policy, or regulation that requires at the request of a victim to administer to a defendant,
against whom an information or indictment is presented for a crime in which by force or threat of
force the perpetrator compels the victim to engage in sexual activity, testing for the
immunodeficiency virus (HIV) not later than 48 hours after the date on which the information or
indictment is presented and the defendant is in custody or has been served with the information
or indictment;

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Integrated Financial Management System will be
set up with expense units 001-PRO-3164, 001-POL-3742, and 001-SHF-3548, with the title for
all to be Project DOVE FY 15 for the segregation of all expenditures to be reimbursed under this
grant; and

WHEREAS, the grant includes overtime costs for a Probation Investigator to
process Domestic Incident reports and serve as the representative to the New York State
fatality review team; and

WHEREAS, the grant includes overtime costs for the Domestic Violence and
Elder Abuse Bureau of the Police Department to enhance case investigations and victim
outreach and to work with Long Island Against Domestic Violence; and
WHEREAS, the grant also includes overtime costs for targeted enforcement of Family Offense warrants by the Police Department's Warrant Enforcement Section, funding for additional panic alarms for domestic violence victims and funding for an Information Technology consultant to develop a report designed to identify high risk victims; and

WHEREAS, the grant includes ovetimes costs for the Sheriff's Office Domestic Violence Bureau to develop a procedure to review all Court orders of protections served; and

WHEREAS, the grant includes funding for Long Island Against Domestic Violence to provide Precinct Advocates and Court Advocates that will assist victims of domestic violence; and

WHEREAS, the grant budget period for the award is October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2018; now, therefore be it

1st

RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer be and they hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate said grand funds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUE:</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-4221 Federal Aid: Project DOVE $842,838</td>
<td>$568,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-PRO-3164-4221</td>
<td>$108,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-SHF-3548-4221</td>
<td>$165,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-POL-3742-4221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIZATIONS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probation Department (PRO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project DOVE FY 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-PRO-3164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1000-Personnel Services | $9,500 |
| 1120-Overtime Salaries | $9,500 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4000-Contractual Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4340-Mandated Travel for Project DOVE employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4560-Fee for Service Non-Employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4980-JVX1-Long Island Against Domestic Violence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Police Department (POL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project DOVE FY 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-POL-3742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1000-Personnel Services | $85,500 |
| 1120-Overtime Salaries | $85,500 |
2000-Equipment $35,863
2500-Other Equipment $35,863

4000-Contractual Services $42,750
4560-Fee for Service Non-Employee $42,750

Sheriff’s Office (SHF)
Project DOVE FY 15
001-SHF-3548

1000-Personnel Services $101,164
1120-Overtime Salaries $101,164

Employee Benefits
Social Security
001-EMP-9030

8000-Employee Benefits $9,705
8330-Social Security $9,705

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the County Executive is authorized to executed the US Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women, Grant Number 2015-WE-X-0045, as necessary, to secure said funds; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under SEQRA and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, hereby determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

____________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County
Date:
1. **Type of Legislation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Title of Proposed Legislation**

   Accepting and appropriating a federal grant awarding the amount of $887,198 from the US Department of Justice, Office on Violence against Women for the Suffolk County Domestic Violence Enforcement Program (Project DOVE) with 95% support.

3. **Purpose of Legislation**

   To accept and appropriate $887,198 of said grant funding to expand the operation of the Suffolk County Domestic Violence Enforcement Program (Project DOVE). These expenses include overtime costs for a Probation Investigator, Deputy Sheriff Sergeant, Deputy Sheriff, Domestic Violence Bureau Officers, Warrants Enforcement Officers as well as funding for Long Island against Domestic Violence.

4. **Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?**

   Yes X, No __

5. **If the answer to item 5 is “yes”, on what will it impact?**

   (Circle appropriate category)

   - County X
   - Town
   - Village
   - School District
   - Other (Specify):
   - Library District
   - Fire District

6. **If the answer to item 5 is “yes”, provide Detailed Explanation of Impact**

   Acceptance of these grant funds has a positive impact on the Revenues budgeted in 2015. At the time of budget preparation it was unknown total funding for the new grant contract period.

7. **Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision**

   None to the County.

8. **Proposed Source of Funding**

   001-4221- Project DOVE

9. **Timing of Impact**

   Immediate

10. **Typed Name & Title of Preparer**

    Robert Marmo, Ph.D.
    Chief Planner

11. **Signature of Preparer**

    [Signature]

12. **Date**

    October 22, 2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)  
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## FINANCIAL IMPACT
### 2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
#### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL FUND</th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT</th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMBINED</th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: TENTATIVE 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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September 28, 2015

Mr. Dennis Cohen
Suffolk County
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
P.O. Box 6100
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099

Dear Mr. Cohen:

On behalf of Attorney General Loretta Lynch, it is my pleasure to inform you that the Office on Violence Against Women has approved your application for funding under the Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program in the amount of $887,198 for Suffolk County. The Arrest Program is designed to encourage partnerships between state, local, and tribal governments, courts, victim service providers, coalitions and rape crisis centers, to ensure that sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking are treated as serious violations of criminal law.

Enclosed you will find the award package. This award is subject to all administrative and financial requirements, including the timely submission of all financial and programmatic reports, resolution of all interim audit findings, and the maintenance of a minimum level of cash-on-hand. Should you not adhere to these requirements, you will be in violation of the terms of this agreement and the award will be subject to termination for cause or other administrative action as appropriate.

If you have questions regarding this award, please contact Rudelle Handy at (202) 305-7493. For financial grants management questions, contact the OVW Grants Financial Management Division at (202) 514-8556, or by e-mail at ovw.gfmd@usdoj.gov. For payment questions, contact the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Customer Service Center (CSC) at (800) 458-0786, or by email at ask_ocfo@usdoj.gov.

Congratulations, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Nadine M. Neufville
Deputy Director

Enclosures
September 28, 2015

Mr. Dennis Cohen
Suffolk County
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
P.O. Box 6100
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099

Dear Mr. Cohen:

Congratulations on your recent award. In establishing financial assistance programs, Congress linked the receipt of federal funding to compliance with federal civil rights laws. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) is responsible for ensuring that recipients of financial assistance from the OJP, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), and the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) comply with the applicable federal civil rights laws. We at the OCR are available to help you and your organization meet the civil rights requirements that come with DOJ funding.

Ensuring Access to Federally Assisted Programs

Federal laws that apply to recipients of financial assistance from the DOJ prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, or disability in funded programs or activities, not only in employment but also in the delivery of services or benefits. A federal law also prohibits recipients from discriminating on the basis of age in the delivery of services or benefits.

In March of 2013, President Obama signed the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013. The statute amends the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (VAWA) by including a nondiscrimination grant condition that prohibits discrimination based on actual or perceived race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, sexual orientation, or gender identity. The new nondiscrimination grant condition applies to certain programs funded after October 1, 2013. The OCR and the OVW have developed answers to some frequently asked questions about this provision to assist recipients of VAWA funds to understand their obligations. The Frequently Asked Questions are available at http://ojp.gov/about/ocr/vawafaq.htm.

Enforcing Civil Rights Laws

All recipients of federal financial assistance, regardless of the particular funding source, the amount of the grant award, or the number of employees in the workforce, are subject to prohibitions against unlawful discrimination. Accordingly, the OCR investigates recipients that are the subject of discrimination complaints from both individuals and groups. In addition, based on regulatory criteria, the OCR selects a number of recipients each year for compliance reviews, audits that require recipients to submit data showing that they are providing services equitably to all segments of their service population and that their employment practices meet equal opportunity standards.
Providing Services to Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Individuals

In accordance with DOJ guidance pertaining to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, recipients of federal financial assistance must take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to their programs and activities for persons with limited English proficiency (LEP). See U.S. Department of Justice, Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons, 67 Fed. Reg. 41,455 (2002). For more information on the civil rights responsibilities that recipients have in providing language services to LEP individuals, please see the website http://www.lep.gov.

Ensuring Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations

The DOJ regulation, Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations, 28 C.F.R. pt. 38, requires State Administering Agencies (SAAs) to treat faith-based organizations the same as any other applicant or recipient. The regulation prohibits SAAs from making awards or grant administration decisions on the basis of an organization's religious character or affiliation, religious name, or the religious composition of its board of directors.

The regulation also prohibits faith-based organizations from using financial assistance from the DOJ to fund inherently (or explicitly) religious activities. While faith-based organizations can engage in non-funded inherently religious activities, they must hold them separately from the program funded by the DOJ, and recipients cannot compel beneficiaries to participate in them. The Equal Treatment Regulation also makes clear that organizations participating in programs funded by the DOJ are not permitted to discriminate in the provision of services on the basis of a beneficiary's religion. For more information on the regulation, please see the OCR's website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/equal_fbo.htm.

SAAs and faith-based organizations should also note that the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act (Safe Streets Act) of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 3789(c); the Victims of Crime Act of 1984, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 10604(e); the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 5672(b); and VAWA, Pub. L. No. 113-4, sec. 3(b)(4), 127 Stat. 54, 61-62 (to be codified at 42 U.S.C. § 13925(b)(13)) contain prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of religion in employment. Despite these nondiscrimination provisions, the DOJ has concluded that it may construe the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) on a case-by-case basis to permit some faith-based organizations to receive DOJ funds while taking into account religion when hiring staff, even if the statute that authorizes the funding program generally forbids recipients from considering religion in employment decisions. Please consult with the OCR if you have any questions about the regulation or the application of RFRA to the statutes that prohibit discrimination in employment.

Using Arrest and Conviction Records in Making Employment Decisions

The OCR issued an advisory document for recipients on the proper use of arrest and conviction records in making hiring decisions. See Advisory for Recipients of Financial Assistance from the U.S. Department of Justice on the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's Enforcement Guidance: Consideration of Arrest and Conviction Records in Employment Decisions Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (June 2013), available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/UseofConviction_Advisory.pdf. Recipients should be mindful that the misuse of arrest or conviction records to screen either applicants for employment or employees for retention or promotion may have a disparate impact based on race or national origin, resulting in unlawful employment discrimination. In light of the Advisory, recipients should consult local counsel in reviewing their employment practices. If warranted, recipients should also incorporate an analysis of the use of arrest and conviction records in their Equal Employment Opportunity Plans (EEOPs) (see below).

Complying with the Safe Streets Act

An organization that is a recipient of financial assistance subject to the nondiscrimination provisions of the Safe Streets Act, must meet two obligations: (1) complying with the federal regulation pertaining to the development of an EEOP (see 28 C.F.R. pt. 42, subpt. E) and (2) submitting to the OCR findings of discrimination (see 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.204(c), 205(c)(5)).
Meeting the EEOP Requirement

If your organization has less than fifty employees or receives an award of less than $25,000 or is a nonprofit organization, a medical institution, an educational institution, or an Indian tribe, then it is exempt from the EEOP requirement. To claim the exemption, your organization must complete and submit Section A of the Certification Form, which is available online at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/cert.pdf.

If your organization is a government agency or private business and receives an award of $25,000 or more but less than $500,000, and has fifty or more employees (counting both full- and part-time employees but excluding political appointees), then it has to prepare a Utilization Report (formerly called an EEOP Short Form), but it does not have to submit the report to the OCR for review. Instead, your organization has to maintain the Utilization Report on file and make it available for review on request. In addition, your organization has to complete Section B of the Certification Form and return it to the OCR. The Certification Form is available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/cert.pdf.

If your organization is a government agency or private business and has received an award for $500,000 or more and has fifty or more employees (counting both full- and part-time employees but excluding political appointees), then it has to prepare a Utilization Report (formerly called an EEOP Short Form) and submit it to the OCR for review within sixty days from the date of this letter. For assistance in developing a Utilization Report, please consult the OCR's website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/eeop.htm. In addition, your organization has to complete Section C of the Certification Form and return it to the OCR. The Certification Form is available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/cert.pdf.

To comply with the EEOP requirements, you may request technical assistance from an EEOP specialist at the OCR by telephone at (202) 307-0690, by TTY at (202) 307-2027, or by e-mail at EEOsubmissions@usdoj.gov.

Meeting the Requirement to Submit Findings of Discrimination

If in the three years prior to the date of the grant award, your organization has received an adverse finding of discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, or sex, after a due-process hearing, from a state or federal court or from a state or federal administrative agency, your organization must send a copy of the finding to the OCR.

Ensuring the Compliance of Subrecipients

SAAs must have standard assurances to notify subrecipients of their civil rights obligations, written procedures to address discrimination complaints filed against subrecipients, methods to monitor subrecipients' compliance with civil rights requirements, and a program to train subrecipients on applicable civil rights laws. In addition, SAAs must submit to the OCR every three years written Methods of Administration (MOA) that summarize the policies and procedures that they have implemented to ensure the civil rights compliance of subrecipients. For more information on the MOA requirement, see http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm.

If the OCR can assist you in any way in fulfilling your organization's civil rights responsibilities as a recipient of federal financial assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Alston
Director

cc: Grant Manager
    Financial Analyst
**Department of Justice**  
**Office on Violence Against Women**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant</th>
<th>PAGE 1 OF 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. RECIPIENT NAME AND ADDRESS (Including Zip Code)</th>
<th>4. AWARD NUMBER: 2015-WE-AX-0045</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Suffolk County  
100 Veteran Memorial Highway P.O. Box 6100  
Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099 | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. PROJECT PERIOD: FROM</th>
<th>6. AWARD DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/01/2015</td>
<td>09/28/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUDGET PERIOD: FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/01/2015</td>
<td>09/30/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2a. GRANTEE IRS/VENDOR NO.</th>
<th>6. SUPPLEMENT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16000468</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. ACTION</th>
<th>8. SUPPLEMENT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2b. GRANTEE DUNS NO.</th>
<th>9. PREVIOUS AWARD AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06594919</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. PROJECT TITLE</th>
<th>10. AMOUNT OF THIS AWARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk County Domestic Violence Enforcement Program (DoVE)</td>
<td>$887,198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. TOTAL AWARD</th>
<th>12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$887,198</td>
<td>THE ABOVE GRANT PROJECT IS APPROVED SUBJECT TO SUCH CONDITIONS OR LIMITATIONS AS ARE SET FORTH ON THE ATTACHED PAGE(S).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13. STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR GRANT</th>
<th>14. CATALOG OF DOMESTIC FEDERAL ASSISTANCE (CFDA Number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 3796hh - 3796hh-4 (OVW - Assault)</td>
<td>16.590 - Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15. METHOD OF PAYMENT</th>
<th>19A. DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY APPROVAL</th>
<th>GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL</th>
<th>18. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Nadine M. Neuville  
Deputy Director | Dennis Cohen  
Chief Deputy County Executive |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL</th>
<th>19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| AGENCY USE ONLY | |
|-----------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20. ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES</th>
<th>21. W415D00034</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FISCAL YEAR</td>
<td>BUD. CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIAL CONDITIONS


2. The recipient acknowledges that failure to submit an acceptable Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (if recipient is required to submit one pursuant to 28 C.F.R. Section 42.302) that is approved by the Office for Civil Rights is a violation of the Standard Assurances executed by the recipient, and may result in suspension of funding until such time as the recipient is in compliance, or termination of the award.

3. The recipient agrees to comply with the applicable audit requirements of 2 CFR Part 200 or OMB Circular A-133, and further understands and agrees that funds may be withheld, or other related requirements may be imposed, if outstanding audit issues (if any) are not satisfactorily and promptly addressed as further described in the audit requirements and the current edition of the DOJ Grants Financial Guide.

4. Recipient understands and agrees that it cannot use any federal funds, either directly or indirectly, in support of the enactment, repeal, modification or adoption of any law, regulation or policy, at any level of government without the express prior written approval of OVW, in order to avoid violation of 18 USC § 1913. The recipient may, however, use federal funds to collaborate with and provide information to federal, state, local, tribal and territorial public officials and agencies to develop and implement policies and develop and promote state, local, or tribal legislation or model codes designed to reduce or eliminate domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking (as those terms are defined in 42 USC 13925(a)) when such collaboration and provision of information is consistent with the activities otherwise authorized under this grant program.

5. The recipient and any subrecipients must promptly refer to the DOJ OIG any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has — (1) submitted a claim for award funds that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving award funds. Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct should be reported to the OIG by - mail: Office of the Inspector General U.S. Department of Justice Investigations Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Room 4706 Washington, DC 20530 e-mail: oig.hotline@usdoj.gov hotline: (contact information in English and Spanish): (800) 869-4499 or hotline fax: (202) 616-9881 Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at www.usdoj.gov/oig
6. Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient under this award, or entity that receives a contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information), Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient --

a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification to the agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized to make subawards or contracts under this award --

a. it represents that --

(1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward, contract, or subcontract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

(2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

7. Recipient understands and agrees that it cannot use any federal funds, either directly or indirectly, in support of any contract or subaward to either the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries, without the express prior written approval of OVW.

8. The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed during the grant performance period if the agency determines that the recipient is a high-risk grantee.
9. The recipient agrees to comply with applicable requirements regarding registration with the System for Award Management (SAM) (or with a successor government-wide system officially designated by OMB and OVW). The recipient also agrees to comply with applicable restrictions on subawards to first-tier subrecipients that do not acquire and provide a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. The details of recipient obligations are posted on the Office on Violence Against Women web site at http://www.ovw.usdoj.gov/docs/sam-award-term.pdf (Award condition: Registration with the System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here. This special condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

10. Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), the Department encourages recipients and sub recipients to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this grant, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

11. The recipient understands and agrees that any training or training materials developed or delivered with funding provided under this award must adhere to the OVW Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at http://www.ovw.usdoj.gov/grantees.html.

12. The recipient understands and agrees that award funds may not be used to discriminate against or denigrate the religious or moral beliefs of students who participate in programs for which financial assistance is provided from those funds, or of the parents or legal guardians of such students.

13. The recipient understands and agrees that (a) No award funds may be used to maintain or establish a computer network unless such network blocks the viewing, downloading, and exchanging of pornography, and (b) Nothing in subsection (a) limits the use of funds necessary for any Federal, State, tribal, or local law enforcement agency or any other entity carrying out criminal investigations, prosecution, or adjudication activities.

14. The grantee agrees to follow the applicable set of general terms and conditions which are available at http://www.justice.gov/ovw/grantees. These do not supersede any specific conditions in this award document.

15. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 added a new civil rights provision that applies to all OVW grants issued in FY 2014 or after. This provision prohibits OVW grantees from excluding, denying benefits to, or discriminating against any person on the basis of actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, or disability in any program or activity funded in whole or in part by OVW. The grantee acknowledges that it will comply with this provision.

16. The recipient acknowledges that they are responsible for maintaining updated contact information in the Grants Management System. To update information in GMS for either the point of contact and/or the authorized representative, grantees must submit a Grant Adjustment Notice.

17. The grantee agrees that funds will be used to supplement, not supplant, non-federal funds that would otherwise be available for the activities under this grant.
18. The recipient agrees to comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, and guidance (including specific cost limits, prior approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences (which is defined to include meetings, retreats, seminars, symposiums, trainings, and other events), including the provision of food and/or beverages at such events, and costs of attendance at such events. Information on pertinent laws, regulations, policies, and guidance is available at http://www.ovw.usdoj.gov/grantees.html.


20. The grantee must be in compliance with specifications outlined in the solicitation under which the approved application was submitted. The program solicitation is hereby incorporated by reference into this award.

21. The recipient understands and agrees that misuse of award funds may result in a range of penalties, including suspension of current and future funds, suspension or debarment from federal grants, recoupment of monies provided under an award, and civil and/or criminal penalties.

22. The recipient understands and agrees that grant funds may be frozen if the recipient does not respond in a timely fashion to requests to address Office of the Inspector General audit findings and financial or programmatic monitoring findings.

23. Grant funds may be used only for the purposes in the recipient's approved application. The recipient shall not undertake any work or activities that are not described in the grant application, and that use staff, equipment, or other goods or services paid for with OVW grant funds, without prior written approval from OVW.

24. The Director of OVW, upon a finding that there has been substantial failure by the recipient to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of the award or relevant solicitation, will terminate or suspend until the Director is satisfied that there is no longer such failure, all or part of the award, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR Part 18, as applicable mutatis mutandis.

25. The grantee agrees that if they receive any funding that is duplicative of funding received under this grant, they will notify their OVW grant manager as soon as possible so that a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) can be issued modifying the budget and project activities to eliminate the duplication. Further, the grantee agrees and understands that any duplicative funding that cannot be re-programmed to support non-duplicative activities within the program’s statutory scope will be deobligated from this award and returned to OVW.

26. The grantee agrees to comply with the provisions of 42 U.S.C. 13925(b)(2), nondisclosure of confidential or private information, which includes creating and maintaining documentation of compliance, such as policies and procedures for release of victim information. The grantee also agrees to ensure that any subgrantees meet these requirements.

27. The grantee agrees to submit semiannual progress reports that describe project activities during the reporting period. Progress reports must be submitted within 30 days after the end of the reporting periods, which are January 1 - June 30 and July 1 - December 31 for the duration of the award. Future awards may be withheld if progress reports are delinquent. Grantees are required to submit this information online, through the Grants Management System (GMS), on the semi-annual progress report for the relevant OVW grant programs.
28. Under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), VAWA 2000 and subsequent legislation, grantees are required to collect and maintain data that measure the effectiveness of their grant-funded activities. Accordingly, the grantee agrees to submit semi-annual electronic progress reports on program activities and program effectiveness measures. Grantees are required to collect the information that is included on the Measuring Effectiveness Progress Report for the OVW Program under which this award is funded.

29. A final report, which provides a summary of progress toward achieving the goals and objectives of the award, significant results, and any products developed under the award, is due 90 days after the end of the award. The Final Progress Report should be submitted to the Office on Violence Against Women through the Grants Management System with the Report Type indicated as "Final".

30. The recipient agrees that it will submit quarterly financial status reports to OVW on-line (at https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov) using the SF 425 Federal Financial Report form (available for viewing at www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/standard_forms/ff_report.pdf), not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter. The final report shall be submitted not later than 90 days following the end of the award period.

31. The grantee agrees to attend and participate in OVW-sponsored technical assistance. Technical assistance includes, but is not limited to, national and regional conferences, audio conferences, webinars, peer-to-peer consultations, and workshops conducted by OVW-designated technical assistance providers. All training will be coordinated by OVW-designated technical assistance providers.

32. Funds allocated for OVW-sponsored technical assistance may not be used for any other purpose without prior approval by OVW. To request approval, grantees must submit a Program Office Approval Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) via the Grants Management System (GMS). The grantee must include a copy of the event's brochure, curriculum and/or agenda, a description of the hosts or trainers, and an estimated breakdown of costs should be attached to the GAN. The GAN request must be submitted to OVW at least 20 days prior to registering for the event. Approval to attend non-OVW sponsored events will be considered on a case-by-case basis. This prior approval process also applies to requests for the use of OVW-designated technical assistance funds to pay a consultant or contractor not designated as an OVW technical assistance provider to develop and/or provide training and/or technical assistance.

33. First-time grantees, or continuation grantees if requested, must agree to have key staff members, as identified by OVW, attend the OVW grantee orientation seminar, which may be offered in-person, online, or a combination of both. Additionally, if there is a change in the project director/coordinator during the grant period, the grantee agrees, at the earliest opportunity, to send the new project director/coordinator, regardless of prior experience with this or any other federal award, to an OVW grantee orientation seminar or require completion of the orientation online, whichever is available.

34. Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of $650 per day or $81.25 per hour. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the Office on Violence Against Women prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds. Although prior approval is not required for consultant rates below these specified amounts, grantees are required to maintain documentation to support all daily or hourly rates.

35. The recipient agrees to submit one copy of all required reports and any other written materials or products that are funded under the project to OVW not less than twenty (20) days prior to public release. If the written material is found to be outside the scope of the program, or in some way to compromise victim safety, it will need to be revised to address these concerns or the grantee will not be allowed to use project funds to support the further development or distribution of the materials.
36. All materials and publications (written, visual, or sound) resulting from award activities shall contain the following statements: "This project was supported by Grant No. awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women."

37. The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38, the Department of Justice regulation governing 'Equal Treatment for Faith Based Organizations' (the "Equal Treatment Regulation"). The Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part that Department of Justice grant awards of direct funding may not be used to fund any inherently religious activities, such as worship, religious instruction, or proselytization. Recipients of direct grants may still engage in inherently religious activities, but such activities must be separate in time or place from the Department of Justice funded program, and participation in such activities by individuals receiving services from the grantee or a sub-grantee must be voluntary. The Equal Treatment Regulation also makes clear that organizations participating in programs directly funded by the Department of Justice are not permitted to discriminate in the provision of services on the basis of a beneficiary's religion.

38. The grantee agrees that grant funds will not support activities that compromise victim safety and recovery, such as: procedures or policies that exclude victims from receiving safe shelter, advocacy services, counseling, and other assistance based on their actual or perceived sex, age, immigration status, race, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, mental health condition, physical health condition, criminal record, work in the sex industry, or the age and/or sex of their children; pre-trial diversion programs not approved by OVW or the placement of offenders in such programs; mediation, couples counseling, family counseling or any other manner of joint victim-offender counseling; mandatory counseling for victims, penalizing victims who refuse to testify, or promoting procedures that would require victims to seek legal sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek a protection order, file formal complaint); the placement of perpetrators in anger management programs; or any other activities outlined in the solicitation under which the approved application was submitted.

39. The grantee agrees to submit for OVW review and approval any anticipated addition of, removal of, or change in collaborating partner agencies or individuals who are signatories of the Memorandum of Understanding, and if applicable, the Internal Memorandum of Agreement.

40. Pursuant to 2 CFR §200.315(b), the recipient may copyright any work that is subject to copyright and was developed, for which ownership was acquired, under this award. The Office on Violence Against Women reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive and irrevocable right to reproduce, publish or otherwise use the work, in whole or in part (including in the creation of derivative works), for Federal purposes, and to authorize others to do so.

The Office on Violence Against Women also reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive and irrevocable right to reproduce publish or otherwise use, in whole or in part (including in the creation of derivative works), any work developed by a subrecipient of this award, for Federal purposes, and to authorize others to do so.

In addition, the recipient (or subrecipient, contractor or subcontractor) must obtain advance written approval from the Office on Violence Against Women program manager assigned to this award, and must comply with all conditions specified by the program manager in connection with that approval, before: 1) using award funds to purchase ownership of, or a license to use, a copyrighted work; or 2) incorporating any copyrighted work, or portion thereof, into a new work developed under this award.

It is the responsibility of the recipient (and of each subrecipient, contractor or subcontractor as applicable) to ensure that this condition is included in any subaward, contract or subcontract under this award.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

41. The recipient agrees to comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards of $25,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients of award funds. Such data will be submitted to the FFATA Subaward Reporting System (FSRS). The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the Office on Violence Against Women web site at: http://www.ovw.usdoj.gov/docs/ffata-award-term.pdf (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here. This condition, and its reporting requirement, does not apply to grant awards made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own and/or operate in his or her name).

42. The grantee agrees that funds will not be used for prevention activities (e.g., outreach to elementary and secondary schools, implementation of educational programs regarding domestic and dating violence intervention, and public awareness campaigns). The grantee may use funds to provide outreach regarding the specific services offered under the grant.

43. The grantee agrees to use grant funds to strengthen legal advocacy service programs for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking, including strengthening assistance to such victims in immigration matters. Grant funds may not be used to provide long-term or short-term legal representation.

44. Grant funds may not be used to directly address child abuse, or other family violence issues such as violence perpetrated by a child against a parent, or violence perpetrated by a sibling against another sibling. Grant funds also may not be used for caregiver abuse of elders and other vulnerable adults.

45. Pursuant to 42 USC 3796hh(d), the grantee understands that 5% of this award is being withheld and that it may not obligate, expend or drawdown that 5% unless, by the period ending on the date on which the next session of the State legislature ends, the State or unit of local government:

   (1) certifies that it has a law, policy, or regulation that requires -
   (A) the State or unit of local government at the request of a victim to administer to a defendant, against whom an information or indictment is presented for a crime in which by force or threat of force the perpetrator compels the victim to engage in sexual activity, testing for the immunodeficiency virus (HIV) not later than 48 hours after the date on which the information or indictment is presented and the defendant is in custody or has been served with the information or indictment;
   (B) as soon as practicable notification to the victim, or parent and guardian of the victim, and defendant of the testing results; and
   (C) follow-up tests for HIV as may be medically appropriate, and that as soon as practicable after each such test the results be made available in accordance with subparagraph (B).

The "next session of the State legislature" means the next session after the date on which the application for this award was submitted.

If the grantee submits a certification, a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) will be issued, and the funds will become available for drawdown. If, by the date on which the next session of the State legislature ends, the grantee is not in compliance with this provision, the withheld funds will be deobligated from the amount of funds awarded for this award period.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

46. The recipient’s budget is pending review and approval. The recipient may obligate, expend and draw down funds for travel related expenses to attend OVW-sponsored technical assistance events up to $10,000, unless there is another condition on the award prohibiting obligation, expenditure, and drawdown of any funds in which case the condition prohibiting any obligation, expenditure or drawdown of funds will control. Remaining funds will not be available for draw down until the Office on Violence Against Women, Grants Financial Management Division has approved the budget and budget narrative, and a Grant Adjustment Notice has been issued removing this special condition. Any obligations or expenditures incurred by the recipient prior to the budget being approved are made at the recipient’s own risk. If applicable, the Indirect Cost Rate will be identified in the Grant Adjustment Notice when the budget is approved.

47. The grantee agrees that any victim service provider (except tribal governmental organizations or governmental rape crisis centers not in territories) or population specific organization meeting the mandatory partnership requirement will be an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of that Code.
Memorandum To: Official Grant File

From: Marnie Shielis, Attorney Advisor

Subject: Categorical Exclusion for Suffolk County

The Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program (Arrest) implements certain provisions of the Violence Against Women Act, which was enacted in September 1994 as Title IV of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, reauthorized in the Violence Against Women Act of 2000, 2005, and 2013. The program enhances victim safety and offender accountability in cases of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking by encouraging jurisdictions to implement pro-arrest policies as an effective intervention that is part of a coordinated community response. An integral component of the Arrest Program is the creation and enhancement of collaborative partnerships between criminal justice agencies, victim services providers, and community organizations which respond to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.

None of the following activities will be conducted under the OVW federal action:

1. New construction.
2. Any renovation or remodeling of a property either (a) listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or (b) located within a 100-year floodplain.
3. A renovation which will change the basic prior use of a facility or significantly change its size.
4. Research and technology whose anticipated and future application could be expected to have an effect on the environment.
5. Implementation of a program involving the use of chemicals. Consequently, the subject federal action meets the criteria for a categorical exclusion as contained in paragraph 4.(b) of Appendix D to Part 61 of the Code of Federal Regulations (adopted by OVW at 28 CFR § 0.122(b)).
This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 3796(h) - 3796(h)-4 (OVW - Arrest).

1. STAFF CONTACT (Name & telephone number)
   Rudelle Handy
   (202) 305-7493

2. PROJECT DIRECTOR (Name, address & telephone number)
   Robert Marmo
   Chief Planner
   100 Veterans Memorial Highway
   P.O. Box 6100
   Hauppauge, NY 11788
   (631) 852-5105

3a. TITLE OF THE PROGRAM
    OVW FY 2015 Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program

3b. POMS CODE (See Instructions On Reverse)

4. TITLE OF PROJECT
    Suffolk County Domestic Violence Enforcement Program (DoVE)

5. NAME & ADDRESS OF GRANTEE
   Suffolk County
   100 Veterans Memorial Highway
   P.O. Box 6100
   Hauppauge, NY 11788-0009

6. NAME & ADDRESS OF SUBGRANTEE

7. PROGRAM PERIOD
   FROM: 10/01/2015    TO: 09/30/2018

8. BUDGET PERIOD
   FROM: 10/01/2015    TO: 09/30/2018

9. AMOUNT OF AWARD
    $ 887,158

10. DATE OF AWARD
    09/28/2015

11. SECOND YEAR’S BUDGET

12. SECOND YEAR’S BUDGET AMOUNT

13. THIRD YEAR’S BUDGET PERIOD

14. THIRD YEAR’S BUDGET AMOUNT

15. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT (See instruction on reverse)
   The Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program (Arrest) implements certain provisions of the Violence Against Women Act, which was enacted in September 1994 as Title IV of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, and reauthorized in the Violence Against Women Act of 2000, 2005, and 2013. The program enhances victim safety and offender accountability in cases of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking by encouraging jurisdictions to implement pro-arrest policies as an effective intervention that is part of a coordinated community response. An integral component of the Arrest Program is the creation and enhancement of collaborative partnerships between criminal justice agencies, victim services providers, and community organizations which respond to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.

   Suffolk County has a population of 1,493,350 and covers over 912 square miles. The population density varies from 190 persons per square mile on the rural East End, to 7,121 persons per square mile in the western towns. The ethnicity of the County is also diverse with a burgeoning Hispanic population. Census 2010
indicated 16.5% of the total population (all races) is Hispanic. The racial composition for Suffolk County is 81% White, 7% Black, 2% Asian, and 2% Multiracial. The median age for Suffolk County is 39.8, with 27% of the county's population under the age of 19, and 14% over 65. Females make up 51% of the population. Fifty-eight percent of the population lives in a husband/wife household; 11% are female only households and 5% are male only household. Twenty-one percent live alone. National estimates of persons identifying as LGBT tend to be about 3.5% of the population which would indicate over 50,000 persons identifying as LGBT.

Suffolk County will partner with the Suffolk County Police Department, the Suffolk County Department of Probation, the Suffolk County Sheriff and the Suffolk County Coalition Against Domestic Violence to continue to implement the Domestic Violence Enforcement (DoVE) project. DoVE will build upon the past efforts to provide enhanced victim safety and services by: 1) increasing victims' access to orders of protection and services; 2) developing a multidisciplinary high risk team to enhance victim safety; and 3) improving procedures for collecting and confiscating firearms in order of protection cases.

The timing for performance of this award is 36 months.

CA/NCF
TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive  
Suffolk County Executive's Office

FROM: Robert C. Marmo, Chief Planner  
Suffolk County Department of Probation

DATE: October 15, 2015

SUBJECT: Resolution Packet for Project DOVE Grant

Attached for your review and consideration is an Introductory Resolution to accept and appropriate grant funding from US Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women for the Suffolk County Domestic Violence Enforcement Program (Project DOVE) in the amount of $887,198.00 which not been included in the 2015 Operating Budget Expenditures to further this initiative.

This resolution is eligible for Local Law 40 as the grant start date is October 1, 2015

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at 2-5105.

Cc: Dennis Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive  
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive  
Tom Vaughn, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
RESOLUTION NO.   AUTHORIZING THE SALE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL LAW NO. 16-1976, OF REAL PROPERTY ACQUIRED UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX ACT

ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI
(SCTM NO.  0800-080.00-03.00-003.002)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK acquired the following described parcel:

ALL, that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Town of Smithtown, County of Suffolk, and State of New York, described on the Tax Map of the Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency as District 0800, Section 080.00, Block 03.00, Lot 003.002, and acquired by tax deed on October 06, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on October 09, 2014, in Liber 12791, at Page 708, and otherwise known and designated by the Town of Smithtown, as Lot No. 2, on a certain map entitled "Map of Whitespruce Farms", filed in the Office of the Clerk of Suffolk County on March 10, 1986 as Map No. 8073; and

FURTHER, notwithstanding the above description, it is the intention of this conveyance to give title only to such property as was acquired by the County of Suffolk by Tax Deed on October 06, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on October 09, 2014 in Liber 12791 at Page 708.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Suffolk County Local Law No. 16-1976, provision has been made for the sale of such real property acquired by the County through tax sale; and

WHEREAS, ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI have made application of said above described parcel and ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI have paid the application fee and have paid $122,767.65, as payment of taxes, penalties, interest, recording fees, and any other charges due the County of Suffolk, pursuant to Local Law, by applicant, through November 30, 2015; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that adoption of this law is not an action within the meaning of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations adopted thereto. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.2(b) (2). The Legislature further finds and determines that even if the adoption of the local law is an action within the meaning of SEQRA, the adoption of law is a Type II action constituting a legislative decision in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priority. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.13(d) (15) and (21). As a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.5(a)(1); and be it further
2nd RESOLVED, that the Director of Real Estate, and/or her designee, be and she hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a Quitclaim Deed to ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI, 2 Harness Road, St. James, NY 11780, to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above described property and on the above described terms.

DATED:

APPROVED BY: ______________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: ___________________________
October 14, 2015

Tax Map No.: 0800-080.00-03.00-003.002
Name of Last Legal Fee Owner: ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer's Computation</td>
<td>$104,119.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>$18,626.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Mail Fees</td>
<td>$21.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Fee Collected</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenses</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL                                | $122,767.65 |

| Monies Received                      | $122,767.65 |

| Resolution Amount                    | $122,767.65 |

Approved:  

PREPARED BY:  

Peter Belyea  
Redemption Unit  
(631)853-5932
COMPUTATION BY SUFFOLK COUNTY TREASURER

DISTRICT: 0800
SECTION: 080.00
BLOCK: 03.00
LOT: 003.002

A. PRINCIPAL AMOUNT DUE ON ALL UNPAID TAXES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$6,950.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$24,415.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$23,429.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$21,572.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$17,125.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $93,494.77

B. INTEREST DUE
C. TOTAL
D. 5% LINE C

SUBTOTAL

$104,119.71

E. FEE
F. MISC 2015 PROPERTY TAXES $18,626.81
G. MISC CERTIFIED MAILING FEES $21.13
H. MISC

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE: $122,767.65

CERTIFICATION BY COUNTY TREASURER

I, Diane M. Stuke, Deputy County Treasurer of the County of Suffolk, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above monies are now due and owing upon the real property sought to be redeemed and that such sums are applied by law and taken from official books and records in my custody.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and affixed my official seal at Riverhead, County of Suffolk and State of New York.

21-Apr-15

Diane M. Stuke
Deputy County Treasurer

**Interest and penalty computed to and including 10/18/15**
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act
   ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI
   0800-080.00-03.00-003.002

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   Convey County owned parcel to prior owner

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes X No

5. If the answer to Item 4 is “yes”, on what will it impact?
   (circle appropriate category)
   County
   Town
   Economic Impact
   Village
   School District
   Other (Specify):
   Library District
   Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is “yes”, provide detailed explanation of Impact
   The County will recoup the amount of taxes paid on the property taken by the tax deed.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected Political or other subdivision
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   N/A

9. Timing of Impact
   2015

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer   Signature of Preparer   Date
    Peter Belvea
    10/14/15
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
October 16, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12th Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Re: Tax Map No. 0800-080.00-03.00-003.002
ANTHONY LOPATOWSKI AND MARY LOPATOWSKI

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Enclosed herewith for your approval is an original of the proposed resolution with documentation pursuant to:

Local Law No. 16-1976, as amended – Authorizing the redemption of real property.

I would appreciate your placing this on the legislative agenda at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Wayne P. Thompson
Real Property Management Supervisor

Attachment

cc: CE Reso Review (e-copy)
Introducing Resolution No. 1854-15 Laid on Table 11/4/15

Introduced by Presiding Officer on request of the County Executive

RESOLUTION NO. AUTHORIZING THE SALE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL LAW NO. 16-1976, OF REAL PROPERTY ACQUIRED UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX ACT
FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE
(SCTM NO. 0200-690.00-03.00-037.000)

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF SUFFOLK acquired the following described parcel:

ALL, that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Town of Brookhaven, County of Suffolk, and State of New York, described on the Tax Map of the Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency as District 0200, Section 690.00, Block 03.00, Lot 037.000, and acquired by tax deed on November 10, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on November 20, 2014, in Liber 12796, at Page 898, and otherwise known and designated by the Town of Brookhaven, as Part of Lot 348 and All of Lot 349, on a certain map entitled “Map of Lake Pines, Unit Two”, filed in the Office of the Clerk of Suffolk County on April 16, 1945 as Map No. 1434; and

FURTHER, notwithstanding the above description, it is the intention of this conveyance to give title only to such property as was acquired by the County of Suffolk by Tax Deed on November 10, 2014, from Angie M. Carpenter, the County Treasurer of Suffolk County, New York, and recorded on November 20, 2014 in Liber 12796 at Page 898.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Suffolk County Local Law No. 16-1976, provision has been made for the sale of such real property acquired by the County through tax sale; and

WHEREAS, FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE have made application of said above described parcel and FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE have paid the application fee and have paid $55,865.22, as payment of taxes, penalties, interest, recording fees, and any other charges due the County of Suffolk, pursuant to Local Law, by applicant, through November 30, 2015; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that adoption of this law is not an action within the meaning of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations adopted thereunder. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.2(b) (2). The Legislature further finds and determines that even if the adoption of the local law is an action within the meaning of SEQRA, the adoption of law is a Type II action constituting a legislative decision in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priority. See 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.13(d) (15) and (21). As a Type II action, the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA 6 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 617.5(a)(1); and be it further
2nd RESOLVED, that the Director of Real Estate, and/or her designee, be and she hereby is authorized to execute and acknowledge a Quitclaim Deed to FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE, 41 Ralph Street, Holbrook, NY 11741, to transfer the interest of Suffolk County in the above described property and on the above described terms.

DATED:

APPROVED BY: __________________________

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: __________________________
October 09, 2015

Tax Map No.: 0200-690.00-03.00-037.000
Name of Last Legal Fee Owner: FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE

TREASURER'S COMPUTATION.......................... $46,762.45
Taxes........2014/2015...................................... $9,081.64
Certified Mail Fees...................................... $21.13
License Fee Collected................................. OPEN
Repairs.................................................. OPEN
Other Expenses........................................ OPEN

TOTAL......................................................... $55,865.22

Monies Received........................................... $55,865.22

RESOLUTION AMOUNT................................. $55,865.22

APPROVED:

[Signature]
Accounting

PREPARED BY:

[Signature]
Peter Belyea
Redemption Unit
(631) 853-5932
## A. PRINCIPAL AMOUNT DUE ON ALL UNPAID TAXES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$12,983.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$12,844.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 6,053.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$ 5,738.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$ 4,407.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $42,027.85

## B. INTEREST DUE

$2,507.82

## C. TOTAL

$44,535.67

## D. 5% LINE C

$2,226.78

## SUBTOTAL

$46,762.45

## E. FEE

## F. MISC

2015 PROPERTY TAXES $9,081.64

## G. MISC

CERTIFIED MAILING FEES $21.13

**TOTAL AMOUNT DUE:** $55,865.22

---

### CERTIFICATION BY COUNTY TREASURER

I, Diane M. Stuke, Deputy County Treasurer of the County of Suffolk, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above monies are now due and owing upon the real property sought to be redeemed and that such sums are applied by law and taken from official books and records in my custody.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and affixed my official seal at Riverhead, County of Suffolk and State of New York.

21-May-15

Diane M. Stuke
Deputy County Treasurer

**Interest and penalty computed to and including 11/17/15**
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under
   Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act
   FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE
   0200-690.00-03.00-037.000

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   Convey County owned parcel to prior owner

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes X No ___

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact?
   (circle appropriate category)
   County Town Economic Impact
   Village School District Other (Specify):
   Library District Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", provide detailed explanation of Impact
   The County will recoup the amount of taxes paid on the property taken by the tax deed.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected Political or other subdivision
   N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   N/A

9. Timing of Impact
   2015

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer    Signature of Preparer    Date
    Peter Belveya

   10/9/15
## FINANCIAL IMPACT
### 2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
#### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
October 16, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12th Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Re:  Tax Map No. 0200-690.00-03.00-037.000
     FRANK NAPOLI AND SUSAN NAPOLI, HIS WIFE

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Enclosed herewith for your approval is an original of the proposed resolution with documentation pursuant to:

Local Law No. 16-1976, as amended – Authorizing the redemption of real property.

I would appreciate your placing this on the legislative agenda at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Wayne R. Thompson
Real Property Management Supervisor

WRT:PB/leg

Attachment

cc:  CE Reso Review (e-copy)
RESOLUTION NO. TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT REFUNDS AND CHARGEBACKS ON CORRECTION OR ERRORS/COUNTY TREASURER BY: COUNTY LEGISLATURE (CONTROL #441)

WHEREAS, the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk may cancel assessments and grant refunds of taxes, in the case of erroneous or improper assessments, pursuant to the provisions of the Real Property Tax Law and the Suffolk County Tax Act, and

WHEREAS, the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers indicated below have been erroneously or improperly assessed as appears from the certificates of Assessors of the respective towns in which said properties are situated as described below and the procedures as provided in the Real Property Tax Law have been fully complied with, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the taxes for the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers as shown for the year or years specified be readjusted or refunded in full or in part in the amount set opposite each such parcel as hereinafter indicated, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the amount of such adjustment or refund be charged back to the respective town as provided by law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Original Tax</th>
<th>Corrected Tax</th>
<th>Chargeback or Refund, if paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BABYLON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100-188.00-01.00-084.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 8986.40</td>
<td>$1,373.76</td>
<td>$ 7,612.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100-228.00-01.00-012.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$10,543.99</td>
<td>$1,182.36</td>
<td>$ 9,361.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100-228.00-01.00-013.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$10,692.91</td>
<td>$1,185.07</td>
<td>$ 9,507.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100-228.00-01.00-019.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$10,154.49</td>
<td>$1,500.30</td>
<td>$ 8,654.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100-228.00-01.00-096.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 9,054.44</td>
<td>$1,155.19</td>
<td>$ 7,899.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100-231.00-03.00-079.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$10,553.96</td>
<td>$1,454.47</td>
<td>$ 9,099.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-01.00-091.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$12,375.00</td>
<td>$ 915.11</td>
<td>$11,459.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-01.00-095.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 6,724.59</td>
<td>$ 749.82</td>
<td>$ 5,974.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-01.00-100.001</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 6,299.95</td>
<td>$ 713.80</td>
<td>$ 5,586.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-02.00-007.001</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 3,797.31</td>
<td>$ 380.25</td>
<td>$ 3,417.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-02.00-011.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 8,879.42</td>
<td>$ 656.69</td>
<td>$ 8,222.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-02.00-014.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 2,902.54</td>
<td>$ 323.08</td>
<td>$ 2,579.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-02.00-015.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 7,519.64</td>
<td>$ 645.86</td>
<td>$ 6,873.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-02.00-026.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$10,006.35</td>
<td>$ 857.89</td>
<td>$ 9,148.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-03.00-044.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$11,289.77</td>
<td>$ 962.10</td>
<td>$10,327.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-03.00-080.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 6,012.99</td>
<td>$ 562.72</td>
<td>$ 5,450.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-03.00-087.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 5,666.72</td>
<td>$ 473.44</td>
<td>$ 5,193.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Amount 1</td>
<td>Amount 2</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-03.00-095.001</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$ 9,236.46</td>
<td>$ 744.40</td>
<td>$ 8,492.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0103-025.00-03.00-113.000</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$10,693.07</td>
<td>$ 878.25</td>
<td>$ 9,814.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: 

Approved By: 

Suffolk County Executive 

Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution
   Local Law
   Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   To readjust, compromise and grant refunds and charge backs on Correction of
   Errors/County Treasurer By: County Treasurer

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To cancel or adjust taxes and make refunds and charge backs due to erroneous
   or improper assessments.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? YES XXX NO

5. If the answer to item 5 is “yes,” on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate
category)
   County
   Village
   Library District
   Town
   School District
   Fire District
   Economic Impact
   Other (Specify):

6. If the answer to item 5 is “yes,” Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   In the case of refunds, the County will initially refund the amount of the incorrect
   tax. Approximately 81% of the refunded amount will be charged back to the
   Town to be added to the subsequent year’s tax warrant. The remainder will be
   a County charge. If the original tax is unpaid, the same procedure would apply,
   however, no County monies would be refunded and it will be charged back to the
   Town within twelve to eighteen months.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other
   Subdivision.
   Unknown

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   To be refunded from the County General Fund

9. Timing of Impact
   Variable

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul
    County Treasurer

11. Signature of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul

12. Date
    10/15/15
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Suffolk County Treasurer
DATE: October 16, 2015
RE: RESOLUTION FOR CANCELLATION OF TAXES, CONTROL # 441

Enclosed please find a proposed resolution, which this office requests be submitted to the Suffolk County Legislature for approval.

Also enclosed is any back-up material pertaining to this request.

Should you need anything further, please contact me.

SCT:ll
Enc.
Cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations

www.co.suffolk.ny.us/treas
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $95,585 IN FEDERAL FUNDING FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR THE PAUL COVERDELL FORENSIC ANALYSIS IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 2015 WITH 100% SUPPORT.

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice has made $95,585 in Federal funds from the FY 2015 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program available to Suffolk County for the Suffolk County Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement Program to be administered by the Suffolk County Police Department; and

WHEREAS, this program is designed to improve the quality and timeliness of forensic analysis of Internet based and telephonic devices through the purchase of technologically current forensic analysis equipment for the Computer Crimes Section of the Suffolk County Police Department; and

WHEREAS, this program is, additionally, designed to improve the quality and timeliness of latent fingerprint analysis through the purchase of specialized equipment for the Identification Section of the Suffolk County Police Department; and

WHEREAS, the operational period of the Program will be from October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, said grant funds have not been included in the 2015 Suffolk County Operating Budget; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the County Comptroller and County Treasurer be and they hereby are authorized to accept and appropriate said grant funds as follows:

REVENUE: AMOUNT
001-4222–Federal Aid: Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement 2015 $95,585

ORGANIZATIONS:

Police Department (POL)
Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement Program 2015
001-POL-3741

2000–Equipment $68,358
2070–Cameras & Photographic $55,163
2500–Other Equipment Not Otherwise $13,195
and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive be and hereby is authorized to execute the grant agreement between Suffolk County and the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

Title Of Bill: Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of $95,585 in Federal funding from the United States Department of Justice for the Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis improvement Program 2015 with 100% support.

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: To accept a grant award of $95,585 in Federal funding from the Department of Justice for the purpose of purchasing updated forensic analysis equipment to enhance the Suffolk County Police Department’s ability to analyze Internet based and telephonic devices as well as latent fingerprint evidence.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: This legislation will allow the County to accept funding in the amount of $95,585 from the United States Department of Justice which will be used to purchase updated forensic analysis equipment for the Suffolk County Police Department’s Computer Crimes and Identification Sections. Funding will also supply training with regard to Cell Phone analysis to the Computer Crimes Section. The training will require travel.

JUSTIFICATION: The Computer Crimes Section and the Identification Sections are both responsible for the forensic analysis of various types of evidence. They are currently working with old and outdated analysis equipment and software. It is likely that without the purchase of updated forensic analysis equipment / software the Sections will no longer be able to effectively perform the forensic analysis vital to criminal investigations.

Fiscal Implications: None.
I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Grant Title  Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement Program 2015


3. Grant/Contract Status (Check One Box)
   A. ___ New Program Application
   B. X___ Renewal Application
   C. ___ Supplemental (Specify)
   D. ___ Extension of Funding Period
   E. ___ Contract

4. General Purpose of Grant/Contract (Describe briefly. If it is a refunding, please attach a recent progress report, including summary of goal attainment.).
   Funding will support the purchase of updated forensic analysis equipment for the SCPD Computer Crimes and SCPD Identification Sections to enhance the SCPD’s ability in the area of forensic analysis of Internet based and telephonic devices as well as latent fingerprint evidence.

5. County Departments/Agencies Affected (Include any with similar operational programs, regardless of their eligibility for this program.)

II. BUDGET INFORMATION

1. Term of Contract  From 10/1/2015  To:  09/30/2016

2. Financial Assistance Requested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>FIRST FUNDING CYCLE</th>
<th>SECOND FUNDING CYCLE</th>
<th>THIRD FUNDING CYCLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$52,582.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$95,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$52,582.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$95,585</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Explanation of Requested County Financial Assistance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total Requested</th>
<th>Personnel Costs Requested</th>
<th>Non-Personnel Costs Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COUNTY SHARE:</td>
<td>$ NONE</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Cash Contribution</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. In-Kind Contribution</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Total Number of New Positions Requested 0

5. Can This Program Be Refunded by the Proposed Non-County Sources? X YES NO

6. Estimated Expected Additional Indirect Costs (Costs to County not delineated in Budget Request, for example, added overhead, capital expenditures required as a result of project activity, associated administrative costs, etc.)

Some additional indirect costs resulting from administrative oversight may be incurred.

7. What Do You Anticipate Happening When the Federal, State and/or Private Financial Assistance is Discontinue (That is, program termination, reduced services, financial implications, layoffs, etc.)?

In the event that another source of outside funding is not found, continuance of this program will be re-evaluated based on community need and available resources of the Police Department.

8. Attach a List of Potential Subcontractors, If any, outlining the purpose of each subcontract (That is, 456 and 490 account items; use an additional 8 1/2" X 11" sheet).

---

**III. COUNTY EXECUTIVE’S OFFICE REVIEW**

1. Intergovernmental Relations Division Review: Approved

   Disapproved

2. Signature of Coordinator

3. Date

4. Comments

5. Budget Office Review: Approved

   Disapproved

6. Signature of Budget Director

7. Date

8. Comments

SCIN FORM 164
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>GRANTOR FUNDS</th>
<th>COUNTY FUNDS</th>
<th>IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000 PERSONAL SERVICES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100 Permanent Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110 Interim Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120 Overtime Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 EQUIPMENT:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Furniture &amp; Fixtures</td>
<td></td>
<td>68,358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Office Machines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2070 Cameras &amp; Photographic</td>
<td></td>
<td>55,163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2090 Radio and Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 Other Equip Not Otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 SUPPLIES MATERIALS &amp; OTHERS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3010 Office Supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3020 Postage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3030 Photostat, Photograph, Blueprint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040 Printing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3160 Computer Software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500 Other Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3680 Repairs: Special Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000 UTILITIES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4010 Telephone &amp; Telegraph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4015 Cellular Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4210 Computer Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4300 TRAVEL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4310 Employee Misc - Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4330 Travel Employee Contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4340 Travel Other Contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIN Form 164D (10-80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATEGORY</td>
<td>APPROPRIATION NUMBER GRANTOR FUNDS</td>
<td>APPROPRIATION NUMBER COUNTY FUNDS</td>
<td>APPROPRIATION NUMBER IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION</td>
<td>REMARKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4400 FEES FOR FACILITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4410 Rent: Offices &amp; Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500 FEES FOR SERVICES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4560 Fees for Services, Non-Employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4770 Special Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4900 CONTRACTED SERVICES (LIST)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8280 Retirement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8300 Insurance: Worker Compensation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8330 Social Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8360 Health Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8380 Dental Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER (List Source &amp; Brief Explanation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCIN Form 164D (10-80)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE OF POSITION</th>
<th>GRADE / STEP</th>
<th>SALARY</th>
<th>EMPLOYEE NAME</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDING BY %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GRANTOR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCIN Form 164D (10-80)
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X Local Law ___ Charter Law ___

2. Title of Proposed Resolution
   Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of
   $95,585 in Federal funding from the United States
   Department of Justice for the Paul Coverdell Forensic
   Analysis Improvement Program 2015 with 100% support.

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To accept $95,585 from the U.S. Department of Justice to enhance
   the abilities of the Suffolk County Police Department in the areas of
   forensic analysis of Internet based and telephonic devices as well as
   of latent fingerprint evidence.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes ___ No X__

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "Yes," on what will it impact?
   (Circle appropriate category)
   County ___ Town ___ Economic Impact ___
   Village ___ School District ___ Other (specify): ___
   Library District ___ Fire District: ___

6. If answer to Item 5 is "Yes," provide detailed explanation of impact:

7. Total financial Cost of Funding over 5 years on each affected political or
   Other Subdivision:
      None

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs,
   National Institute of Justice

9. Timing of Impact
   Immediate

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Susan C. Krause Grants Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer
    
12. Date
    10/14/2015

SCIN FORM NO. 175b (10/95) 10/14/15
# Financial Impact
## 2015 Property Tax Levy
### Cost to the Average Taxpayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 AV Tax Rate Per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate Per $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1) Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
3) Source for equalization rates: 2014 County Equalization Rates established by the New York State Board of Equalization and Assessments.
agreement, and initialed special condition pages back to the OJP Control Desk or OVW using one of the following methods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>OJP</th>
<th>OVW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fax:</td>
<td>1–866–388–3055 (toll free)</td>
<td>202–514–7045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202–354–4081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202–616–5962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:acceptance@usdoj.gov">acceptance@usdoj.gov</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:OVW.Acceptance@usdoj.gov">OVW.Acceptance@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notify your Program Office when special conditions have been met.

Note: The original signed documents should be maintained by the grantee for presentation in the event of an audit.

Acceptance documents for Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) and U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS)/Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) grants should not be sent to OJP or OVW. Please contact COPS at 1–800–421–6770 or DHS at 1–866–927–5646 for instructions on the return of their award documents.

If you do not agree with the terms and conditions, contact the awarding Program Office as noted in the award package to decline, withdraw, or request modification.

You have 45 days from the award date to accept the award. Notify your Program Office if your organization is unable to accept the award within this time. Failure to accept within 45 days may result in deobligation of funds.

Following are sample pages from GMS of the award and special conditions pages that award recipients will need to print, sign, and return to OJP or OVW to accept the award.
September 17, 2015

Mr. Dennis M. Cohen
County of Suffolk
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788-1234

Dear Mr. Cohen:

On behalf of Attorney General Loretta Lynch, it is my pleasure to inform you that the Office of Justice Programs has approved your application for funding under the FY 15 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program in the amount of $95,585 for County of Suffolk. This funding is for the project titled, "FY 2015 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program."

Enclosed you will find the Grant Award and Special Conditions documents. This award is subject to all administrative and financial requirements, including the timely submission of all financial and programmatic reports, resolution of all interim audit findings, and the maintenance of a minimum level of cash-on-hand. Should you not adhere to these requirements, you will be in violation of the terms of this agreement and the award will be subject to termination for cause or other administrative action as appropriate.

If you have questions regarding this award, please contact:

- Program Questions, Alan C. Spanbauer, Program Manager at (202) 305-2436; and
- Financial Questions, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Customer Service Center (CSC) at (800) 458-0786, or you may contact the CSC at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov.

Congratulations, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Karol Virginia Mason
Assistant Attorney General

Enclosures
September 17, 2015

Mr. Dennis M. Cohen
County of Suffolk
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788-1234

Dear Mr. Cohen:

Congratulations on your recent award. In establishing financial assistance programs, Congress linked the receipt of federal funding to compliance with federal civil rights laws. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) is responsible for ensuring that recipients of financial assistance from the OJP, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), and the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) comply with the applicable federal civil rights laws. We at the OCR are available to help you and your organization meet the civil rights requirements that come with DOJ funding.

Ensuring Access to Federally Assisted Programs

Federal laws that apply to recipients of financial assistance from the DOJ prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, or disability in funded programs or activities, not only in employment but also in the delivery of services or benefits. A federal law also prohibits recipients from discriminating on the basis of age in the delivery of services or benefits.

In March of 2013, President Obama signed the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013. The statute amends the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (VAWA) by including a nondiscrimination grant condition that prohibits discrimination based on actual or perceived race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, sexual orientation, or gender identity. The new nondiscrimination grant condition applies to certain programs funded after October 1, 2013. The OCR and the OVW have developed answers to some frequently asked questions about this provision to assist recipients of VAWA funds to understand their obligations. The Frequently Asked Questions are available at http://ojp.gov/about/ocr/ovwafaq.htm.

Enforcing Civil Rights Laws

All recipients of federal financial assistance, regardless of the particular funding source, the amount of the grant award, or the number of employees in the workforce, are subject to prohibitions against unlawful discrimination. Accordingly, the OCR investigates recipients that are the subject of discrimination complaints from both individuals and groups. In addition, based on regulatory criteria, the OCR selects a number of recipients each year for compliance reviews, audits that require recipients to submit data showing that they are providing services equitably to all segments of their service population and that their employment practices meet equal opportunity standards.
Providing Services to Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Individuals

In accordance with DOJ guidance pertaining to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, recipients of federal financial assistance must take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to their programs and activities for persons with limited English proficiency (LEP). See U.S. Department of Justice, Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons, 67 Fed. Reg. 41,455 (2002). For more information on the civil rights responsibilities that recipients have in providing language services to LEP individuals, please see the website http://www.lep.gov.

Ensuring Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations

The DOJ regulation, Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations, 28 C.F.R. pt. 38, requires State Administering Agencies (SAAs) to treat faith-based organizations the same as any other applicant or recipient. The regulation prohibits SAAs from making awards or grant administration decisions on the basis of an organization’s religious character or affiliation, religious name, or the religious composition of its board of directors.

The regulation also prohibits faith-based organizations from using financial assistance from the DOJ to fund inherently (or explicitly) religious activities. While faith-based organizations can engage in non-funded inherently religious activities, they must hold them separately from the program funded by the DOJ, and recipients cannot compel beneficiaries to participate in them. The Equal Treatment Regulation also makes clear that organizations participating in programs funded by the DOJ are not permitted to discriminate in the provision of services on the basis of a beneficiary’s religion. For more information on the regulation, please see the OCR’s website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/equal_fbo.htm.

SAAs and faith-based organizations should also note that the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act (Safe Streets Act) of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 3789d(c); the Victims of Crime Act of 1984, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 10604(e); the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 5672(b); and VAWA, Pub. L. No. 113-4, sec. 3(b)(4), 127 Stat. 54, 61-62 (to be codified at 42 U.S.C. § 13925(b)(13)) contain prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of religion in employment. Despite these nondiscrimination provisions, the DOJ has concluded that it may construe the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) on a case-by-case basis to permit some faith-based organizations to receive DOJ funds while taking into account religion when hiring staff, even if the statute that authorizes the funding program generally forbids recipients from considering religion in employment decisions. Please consult with the OCR if you have any questions about the regulation or the application of RFRA to the statutes that prohibit discrimination in employment.

Using Arrest and Conviction Records in Making Employment Decisions

The OCR issued an advisory document for recipients on the proper use of arrest and conviction records in making hiring decisions. See Advisory for Recipients of Financial Assistance from the U.S. Department of Justice on the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission’s Enforcement Guidance: Consideration of Arrest and Conviction Records in Employment Decisions Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (June 2013), available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/UseOfConviction_Advisory.pdf. Recipients should be mindful that the misuse of arrest or conviction records to screen either applicants for employment or employees for retention or promotion may have a disparate impact based on race or national origin, resulting in unlawful employment discrimination. In light of the Advisory, recipients should consult local counsel in reviewing their employment practices. If warranted, recipients should also incorporate an analysis of the use of arrest and conviction records in their Equal Employment Opportunity Plans (EEOPs) (see below).

Complying with the Safe Streets Act

An organization that is a recipient of financial assistance subject to the nondiscrimination provisions of the Safe Streets Act, must meet two obligations: (1) complying with the federal regulation pertaining to the development of an EEOP (see 28 C.F.R. pt. 42, subpt. E) and (2) submitting to the OCR findings of discrimination (see 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.204(c), 205(c)(5)).
Meeting the EEOP Requirement

If your organization has less than fifty employees or receives an award of less than $25,000 or is a nonprofit organization, a medical institution, an educational institution, or an Indian tribe, then it is exempt from the EEOP requirement. To claim the exemption, your organization must complete and submit Section A of the Certification Form, which is available online at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/cert.pdf.

If your organization is a government agency or private business and receives an award of $25,000 or more, but less than $500,000, and has fifty or more employees (counting both full- and part-time employees but excluding political appointees), then it has to prepare a Utilization Report (formerly called an EEOP Short Form), but it does not have to submit the report to the OCR for review. Instead, your organization has to maintain the Utilization Report on file and make it available for review on request. In addition, your organization has to complete Section B of the Certification Form and return it to the OCR. The Certification Form is available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/cert.pdf.

If your organization is a government agency or private business and has received an award for $500,000 or more and has fifty or more employees (counting both full- and part-time employees but excluding political appointees), then it has to prepare a Utilization Report (formerly called an EEOP Short Form) and submit it to the OCR for review within sixty days from the date of this letter. For assistance in developing a Utilization Report, please consult the OCR’s website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/eeop.htm. In addition, your organization has to complete Section C of the Certification Form and return it to the OCR. The Certification Form is available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/about/ocr/pdfs/cert.pdf.

To comply with the EEOP requirements, you may request technical assistance from an EEOP specialist at the OCR by telephone at (202) 307-0690, by TTY at (202) 307-2027, or by e-mail at EEOn_submission@usdoj.gov.

Meeting the Requirement to Submit Findings of Discrimination

If in the three years prior to the date the grant award, your organization has received an adverse finding of discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, or sex, after a due-process hearing, from a state or federal court or from a state or federal administrative agency, your organization must send a copy of the finding to the OCR.

Ensuring the Compliance of Subrecipients

SAAs must have standard assurances to notify subrecipients of their civil rights obligations, written procedures to address discrimination complaints filed against subrecipients, methods to monitor subrecipients’ compliance with civil rights requirements, and a program to train subrecipients on applicable civil rights laws. In addition, SAAs must submit to the OCR every three years written Methods of Administration (MOA) that summarize the policies and procedures that they have implemented to ensure the civil rights compliance of subrecipients. For more information on the MOA requirement, see http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm.

If the OCR can assist you in any way in fulfilling your organization’s civil rights responsibilities as a recipient of federal financial assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Alston
Director

cc: Grant Manager
    Financial Analyst
September 17, 2015

Mr. Dennis M. Cohen  
County of Suffolk  
100 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788 - 1234

Reference Grant Number: 2015-CD-BX-0070

Dear Mr. Cohen:

I am pleased to inform you that my office has approved the following budget categories for the aforementioned grant award in the cost categories identified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$5,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$68,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$21,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Direct Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$95,585</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Project Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$95,585</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds Approved:</td>
<td>$95,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Federal Share:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Income:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Match is not required for this grant program.
If you have questions regarding this award, please contact:

- Program Questions, Alan C. Spanbauer, Program Manager at (202) 305-2436

- Financial Questions, the Office of Chief Financial Officer, Customer Service Center (CSC) at (800) 458-0786, or you may contact the CSC at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov.

Congratulations, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Leigh Benda
Chief Financial Officer
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SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Applicability of Part 200 Uniform Requirements

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as adopted and supplemented by the Department of Justice (DOJ) in 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") apply to this 2015 award from the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). For this 2015 award, the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, which were first adopted by DOJ on December 26, 2014, supersede, among other things, the provisions of 28 C.F.R. Parts 66 and 70, as well as those of 2 C.F.R. Parts 215, 220, 225, and 230.

If this 2015 award supplements funds previously awarded by OJP under the same award number, the Part 200 Uniform Requirements apply with respect to all award funds (whether derived from the initial award or a supplemental award) that are obligated on or after the acceptance date of this 2015 award.

Potential availability of grace period for procurement standards: Under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, a time-limited grace period may be available under certain circumstances to allow for transition from policies and procedures that complied with previous standards for procurements under federal awards to policies and procedures that comply with the new standards (that is, to those at 2 C.F.R. 200.317 through 200.326).

For more information on the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, including information regarding the potentially-available grace period described above, see the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) website at http://ojp.gov/funding/Part200UniformRequirements.htm.

In the event that an award-related question arises from documents or other materials prepared or distributed by OJP that may appear to conflict with, or differ in some way from, the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, the recipient is to contact OJP promptly for clarification.

2. The recipient agrees to comply with the Department of Justice Grants Financial Guide as posted on the OJP website (currently, the "2015 DOJ Grants Financial Guide").

3. The recipient acknowledges that failure to submit an acceptable Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (if recipient is required to submit one pursuant to 28 C.F.R. Section 42.302) that is approved by the Office for Civil Rights is a violation of the Standard Assurances executed by the recipient, and may result in suspension of funding until such time as the recipient is in compliance, or termination of the award.

4. The recipient understands and agrees that OJP may withhold award funds, or may impose other related requirements, if the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly address outstanding issues from audits required by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements (or by the terms of this award), or other outstanding issues that arise in connection with audits, investigations, or reviews of DOJ awards.

5. Recipient understands and agrees that it cannot use any federal funds, either directly or indirectly, in support of the enactment, repeal, modification or adoption of any law, regulation or policy, at any level of government, without the express prior written approval of OJP.

6. The recipient and any subrecipients must promptly refer to the DOJ OIG any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has -- (1) submitted a claim for award funds that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving award funds. Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct should be reported to the OIG by - mail: Office of the Inspector General U.S. Department of Justice Investigations Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Room 4706 Washington, DC 20530 e-mail: oig.hotline@usdoj.gov hotline: (contact information in English and Spanish); (800) 869-4499 or hotline fax; (202) 616-9881 Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at www.usdoj.gov/oig

OJP FORM 4000/2 (REV. 4-68)
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

7. Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient under this award, or entity that receives a contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information), Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient --

a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification to the agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized to make subawards or contracts under this award --

a. it represents that --

(1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward, contract, or subcontract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

(2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

8. Recipient understands and agrees that it cannot use any federal funds, either directly or indirectly, in support of any contract or subaward to either the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries, without the express prior written approval of OJP.

9. The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed during the grant performance period if the agency determines that the recipient is a high-risk grantee. Cf. 28 C.F.R. parts 66, 70.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

10. The recipient agrees to comply with applicable requirements regarding registration with the System for Award Management (SAM) (or with a successor government-wide system officially designated by OMB and OJP). The recipient also agrees to comply with applicable restrictions on subawards to first-tier subrecipients that do not acquire and provide a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. The details of recipient obligations are posted on the Office of Justice Programs web site at http://www.ojp.gov/funding/sam.htm (Award condition: Registration with the System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here. This special condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

11. Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), the Department encourages recipients and sub recipients to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this grant, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

12. The recipient agrees to comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, and guidance (including specific cost limits, prior approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences, meetings, trainings, and other events, including the provision of food and/or beverages at such events, and costs of attendance at such events. Information on rules applicable to this award appears in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide (currently, as section 3.10 of "Postaward Requirements" in the "2015 DOJ Grants Financial Guide").

13. The recipient understands and agrees that any training or training materials developed or delivered with funding provided under this award must adhere to the OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/ojptrainingguidingprinciples.htm.

14. The recipient agrees that if it currently has an open award of federal funds or if it receives an award of federal funds other than this OJP award, and those award funds have been, are being, or are to be used, in whole or in part, for one or more of the identical cost items for which funds are being provided under this OJP award, the recipient will promptly notify, in writing, the grant manager for this OJP award, and, if so requested by OJP, seek a budget-modification or change-of-project-scope grant adjustment notice (GAN) to eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

15. The recipient understands and agrees that award funds may not be used to discriminate against or denigrate the religious or moral beliefs of students who participate in programs for which financial assistance is provided from those funds, or of the parents or legal guardians of such students.

16. The recipient understands and agrees that - (a) No award funds may be used to maintain or establish a computer network unless such network blocks the viewing, downloading, and exchanging of pornography, and (b) Nothing in subsection (a) limits the use of funds necessary for any Federal, State, tribal, or local law enforcement agency or any other entity carrying out criminal investigations, prosecution, or adjudication activities.

17. A recipient that is eligible under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f), and that elects to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate, must advise OJP in writing of both its eligibility and its election, and must comply with all associated requirements in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. The "de minimis" rate may be applied only to modified total direct costs (MTDC).

18. The recipient must collect, maintain, and provide to OJP, data that measure the performance and effectiveness of activities under this award, in the manner, and within the timeframes, specified in the program solicitation, or as otherwise specified by OJP. Data collection supports compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act, and other applicable laws.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

19. The recipient agrees to comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards of $25,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients of award funds. Such data will be submitted to the FFATA Subaward Reporting System (FSRS). The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the Office of Justice Programs web site at http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FFATA.htm (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here. This condition, and its reporting requirement, does not apply to grant awards made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

20. A. Generally Accepted Laboratory Practices. The recipient shall ensure that any forensic laboratory, forensic laboratory system, medical examiner's office, or coroner's office that will receive any portion of the award uses generally accepted laboratory practices and procedures as established by accrediting organizations or appropriate certifying bodies.

B. External Investigations. The recipient shall ensure that requirements associated with 42 U.S.C. section 3797k(4) (which relate to processes in place to conduct independent external investigations into allegations of serious negligence or misconduct by employees or contractors) are satisfied with respect to any forensic laboratory system, medical examiner's office, coroner's office, law enforcement storage facility, or medical facility in the State that will receive a portion of the grant amount.

C. Use of Funds; No Research. Funds provided under this award shall be used only for the purposes and types of expenses set forth in the fiscal year 2015 program solicitation. Funds shall not be used for general law enforcement functions or non-forensic investigatory functions, and shall not be used for research or statistical projects or activities. Use of award funds for construction of new facilities is restricted by statute. Any questions concerning this provision should be directed to the NIJ program manager prior to incurring the expense or commencing the activity in question.

D. Performance Measures. To ensure compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (Pub. L. No. 103-62) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010 (Pub. L. No. 111-352), program performance under this fiscal year 2015 award is measured by the following: (1) percent reduction in the average number of days from the submission of a sample to a forensic science laboratory to the delivery of test results to a requesting office or agency (calculated by reporting the average number of days to process a sample at the beginning of the grant period versus the average number of days to process a sample at the end of the grant period); (2) percent reduction in the number of backlogged forensic cases (calculated by reporting the number of backlogged forensic cases at the beginning of the grant period versus the number of backlogged forensic cases at the end of grant period), if applicable to the award; and (3) the number of forensic science or medical examiner personnel who completed appropriate training or educational opportunities with fiscal year 2015 Coverdell funds, if applicable to the award. Recipients are required to collect and report data relevant to these measures.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

21. The recipient understands and agrees that gross income (revenues) from fees charged for forensic science or medical examiner services constitutes program income (in whole or in part), and that program income must be determined, used, and documented in accordance with the provisions of 2 C.F.R. 200.307, including as applied in the Department of Justice (DOJ) Grants Financial Guide, as it may be revised from time to time. The recipient further understands and agrees that both program income earned during the award period and expenditures of such program income must be reported on the quarterly and final Federal Financial Reports (SF 425) and are subject to audit.

The recipient understands and agrees that program income earned during the award period may be expended only for permissible uses of funds specifically identified in the solicitation for the FY 2015 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program. The recipient further understands and agrees that program income earned during the award period may not be used to supplant State or local government funds, but instead may be used only to increase the amount of funds that would, in the absence of Federal funds or program income, be available from State or local government sources for the permissible uses of funds listed in the FY 2015 program solicitation.

The recipient understands and agrees that program income that is earned during the final ninety (90) days of the award period may, if appropriate, be obligated (as well as expended) for permissible uses during the ninety-day (90-day) period following the end of the award period. The recipient further understands and agrees that any program income earned during the award period that is not obligated and expended within ninety (90) days of the end of the award period must be returned to OJP.

22. The recipient understands and agrees that, throughout the award period, it must promptly notify DOJ if it either starts or stops charging fees for forensic science or medical examiner services, or if it revises its method of allocating fees received for such services to program income. Notice must be provided in writing to the DOJ program manager for the award within ten (10) business days of implementation of the change.

23. The recipient agrees that it will submit quarterly financial status reports to DOJ on-line (at https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov) using the SF 425 Federal Financial Report form (available for viewing at www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/standard_forms/ff_report.pdf), not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter. The final report shall be submitted not later than 90 days following the end of the award period.

24. The recipient shall submit semiannual progress reports. Progress reports shall be submitted within 30 days after the end of the reporting periods, which are June 30 and December 31, for the life of the award. These reports will be submitted to the Office of Justice Programs, on-line through the Internet at https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/.

25. The recipient agrees to submit a final report, at the end of this award, documenting all relevant project activities during the entire period of support under this award. This report will include the following: (1) a summary and assessment of the program carried out with the fiscal year 2015 grant, which shall include a comparison of pre-grant and post-grant forensic science capabilities (and shall cite the specific improvements in quality and/or timeliness of forensic science or medical examiner services); (2) the average number of days between submission of a sample to a forensic science laboratory or forensic science laboratory system that State operated by the State or by a unit of local government and the delivery of test results to the requesting office or agency; and (3) an identification of the number and type of cases currently accepted by the forensic science laboratory or forensic science laboratory system. The recipient is required to collect data necessary for this report. This report is due no later than 90 days following the close of the award period or the expiration of any extension periods. The report can be filed online through the Internet at: https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

26. The recipient acknowledges that, as stated in the solicitation for the FY 2015 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program, NIJ assumes that recipients (and subrecipients) of Coverdell funds will make use of the process referenced in their certification as to external investigations and will refer allegations of serious negligence or misconduct substantially affecting the integrity of forensic results to government entities with an appropriate process in place to conduct independent external investigations, such as the government entity (or entities) identified in the grant application.

The recipient shall submit the following information as part of its final report: (1) the number and nature of any allegations of serious negligence or misconduct substantially affecting the integrity of forensic results received during the 12-month period of the award; (2) information on the referrals of such allegations (e.g., the government entity or entities to which referred, the date of referral); (3) the outcome of such referrals (if known as of the date of the report); and (4) if any such allegations were not referred, the reason(s) for the non-referral.

Should the project period for this award be extended, the recipient shall submit the above information as to the first twelve months of the award as part of the first semi-annual progress report that comes due after the conclusion of the first twelve months of the project period, and shall submit the required information as to subsequent twelve-month periods every twelve months thereafter (as part of a semi-annual progress report) until the close of the award period, at which point the recipient shall submit the required information as to any period not covered by prior reports as part of its final report.

The recipient understands and agrees that funds may be withheld (including funds under future awards), or other related requirements may be imposed, if the required information is not submitted on a timely basis.

27. To assist in information sharing, the award recipient shall provide the NIJ program manager with a copy of publications (including those prepared for conferences and other presentations) resulting from this award, prior to or simultaneous with their public release. NIJ defines publications as any written, visual or sound material substantively based on the project, formally prepared by the award recipient for dissemination to the public. Submission of publications prior to or simultaneous with their public release aids NIJ in responding to any inquiries that may arise. Any publications - excluding press releases and newsletters - whether published at the recipient's or government's expense, shall contain the following statement: "This project was supported by Award No. , awarded by the National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of Justice." This statement shall appear on the first page of written publications. For audio and video publications, it shall be included immediately after the title of the publication in the audio or video file.

28. The recipient shall transmit to the NIJ program manager copies of all official award-related press releases at least ten (10) working days prior to public release. Advance notice permits time for coordination of release of information by NIJ where appropriate and to respond to press or public inquiries.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

29. The recipient acknowledges that the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) reserves a royalty-free, non-exclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use, and authorize others to use (in whole or in part, including in connection with derivative works), for Federal purposes: (1) any work subject to copyright developed under an award or subaward; and (2) any rights of copyright to which a recipient or subrecipient purchases ownership with Federal support.

The recipient acknowledges that OJP has the right to (1) obtain, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use the data first produced under an award or subaward; and (2) authorize others to receive, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use such data for Federal purposes. "Data" includes data as defined in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) provision 52.227-14 (Rights in Data - General).

It is the responsibility of the recipient (and of each subrecipient, if applicable) to ensure that this condition is included in any subaward under this award.

The recipient has the responsibility to obtain from subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors (if any) all rights and data necessary to fulfill the recipient's obligations to the Government under this award. If a proposed subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor refuses to accept terms affording the Government such rights, the recipient shall promptly bring such refusal to the attention of the OJP program manager for the award and not proceed with the agreement in question without further authorization from the OJP program office.

30. Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of $650 per day. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) program office prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds.

31. The recipient agrees to comply with all Federal, State, and local environmental laws and regulations applicable to the development and implementation of the activities to be funded under this award.

Categorical Exclusion: Based upon the information provided by the recipient in its application for these funds, NIJ has determined and the recipient understands that the proposed activities meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, as defined in the Department of Justice Procedures for Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act found at 28 CFR Part 61 (including Appendix D). A categorical exclusion is an action that because of the proposed activities' very limited and predictable potential environmental impacts, both on an individual and a cumulative basis, does not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Consequently, no further environmental impact analysis is necessary under the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321, for these categorically excluded activities.

Modifications: Throughout the term of this award, the recipient agrees that for any activities that are the subject of this categorical exclusion, it will inform NIJ of: (1) any change(s) that it is considering making to the previously assessed activities that may be relevant to the environmental impacts of the activities; or (2) any proposed new activities or changed circumstances that may require assessment as to environmental impact, such as new activities that involve the use of chemicals or involve construction or major renovation. The recipient will not implement a proposed change or new activity until NIJ, with the assistance of the recipient, has completed any applicable environmental impact review requirements necessitated by the proposed change or new activity (or changed circumstances) and NIJ has concurred in the proposed change or new activity. This approval will not be unreasonably withheld as long as any requested modification(s) is consistent with eligible program purposes and found acceptable under an NIJ-conducted environmental impact review process.
Memorandum To: Official Grant File

From: Alan C. Spanbauer, Program Manager

Subject: Categorical Exclusion for County of Suffolk

The recipient agrees to comply with all Federal, State, and local environmental laws and regulations applicable to the development and implementation of the activities to be funded under this award. Categorical Exclusion: Based upon the information provided by the recipient in its application for these funds, NIJ has determined and the recipient understands that the proposed activities meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, as defined in the Department of Justice Procedures for Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act found at 28 CFR Part 61 (including Appendix D). A categorical exclusion is an action that because of the proposed activities' very limited and predictable potential environmental impacts, both on an individual and a cumulative basis, does not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Consequently, no further environmental impact analysis is necessary under the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321, for these categorically excluded activities.

Modifications: Throughout the term of this award, the recipient agrees that for any activities that are the subject of this categorical exclusion, it will inform NIJ of— (1) any change(s) that it is considering making to the previously assessed activities that may be relevant to the environmental impacts of the activities; or (2) any proposed new activities or changed circumstances that may require assessment as to environmental impact, such as new activities that involve the use of chemicals or involve construction or major renovation. The recipient will not implement a proposed change or new activity until NIJ, with the assistance of the recipient, has completed any applicable environmental impact review requirements necessitated by the proposed change or new activity (or changed circumstances) and NIJ has concurred in the proposed change or new activity. This approval will not be unreasonably withheld as long as any requested modification(s) is consistent with eligible program purposes and found acceptable under an NIJ-conducted environmental impact review process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. STAFF CONTACT (Name &amp; telephone number)</th>
<th>2. PROJECT DIRECTOR (Name, address &amp; telephone number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alan C. Spinauber</td>
<td>Sarah Furey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(202) 305-2436</td>
<td>Senior Grants Analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suffolk County Police Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 Yaphank Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yaphank, NY 11980-9641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(631) 852-6942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3a. TITLE OF THE PROGRAM
NJ FY 15 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program

3b. FOMS CODE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE)

4. TITLE OF PROJECT
Suffolk County Forensic Analysis Improvement Program 2015

5. NAME & ADDRESS OF GRANTEE
County of Suffolk
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788-1234

6. NAME & ADDRESS OF SUBGRANTEE

7. PROGRAM PERIOD
FROM: 10/01/2015 TO: 09/30/2016

8. BUDGET PERIOD
FROM: 10/01/2015 TO: 09/30/2016

9. AMOUNT OF AWARD
$ 95,585

10. DATE OF AWARD
09/17/2015

11. SECOND YEAR'S BUDGET

12. SECOND YEAR'S BUDGET AMOUNT

13. THIRD YEAR'S BUDGET PERIOD

14. THIRD YEAR'S BUDGET AMOUNT

15. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT (See instruction on reverse)
The Suffolk County Police Department is a New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services accredited police agency operating within Suffolk County, New York, which has a population of 1.5 million and spreads over 912 square miles with a western border only 20 miles from NYC. SCPD enjoys a working relationship with all of the law enforcement, State, Federal, and County agencies active in Suffolk County and its personnel are often called on to assist in investigations. SCPD employs industry-wide recognized practices/procedures in its daily operations which include forensic analysis of cellular/internet based devices and the analysis of latent print evidence. It is the only law enforcement agency in Suffolk County able to perform forensic analysis on cellular and internet based devices and is responsible for crime scene photography and latent print analysis at all Part 1 Violent Crime scenes. The Computer Crimes and Identification Sections, responsible for cellular and fingerprint analysis respectively, are struggling to maintain forensic analysis capabilities in the face of changing technologies, smarter criminals, and smaller budgets. Both Sections provide expert court testimony on procedures used to gather and analyze evidence.

OJP FORM 400002 (REV. 4-88)
Currently the SCPD Computer Crimes Section is unable to forensically process locked, password protected, or broken cellphones and other mobile devices. The Section possesses neither the equipment nor the training to do so.
The SCPD Identification Section, responsible for latent print analysis done off photographs, is operating with old and outdated cameras. The project goal is to improve the quality and timeliness of our current forensic output by enhancing our forensic analysis abilities through the purchase of up-to-date forensic analysis equipment and training for SCPD Computer Crimes and Identification Sections which will provide us with capabilities we do not currently possess, the ability to access the data on locked, password protected or broken cellphones and other mobile devices, and an improvement in the capabilities we currently have, the ability to create clearer, more comprehensive digital images for fingerprint analysis.
The anticipated result will be an increase in the amount and types of analysis we will be able to perform and in the quality of the results, thus increasing case investigation clearance rates and criminal trial conviction percentages.
In order to locate and successfully prosecute those who commit crimes, investigators charged with the task of forensic analysis must be adequately trained in new techniques and have access to the most current forensic tools available.
ca/nrf.
POLICE DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
    Suffolk County Executive’s Office

FROM: Stuart Cameron, Chief of Support Services
      Suffolk County Police Department

DATE: October 14, 2015

SUBJECT: Resolution Packet for the Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement Program 2015, Award #2015-CD-BX-0070

Passage through Local Law 40 Requested

Attached please find the following for the United States Department of Justice sponsored Paul Coverdell Forensic Analysis Improvement Program 2015:

- Draft Resolution
- Memorandum of Support
- Grant SCIN Forms
- Request for Introduction of Legislation
- Financial Impact Statement
- Copy of proposed contract between Suffolk County and the United States Department of Justice

We are requesting that this resolution be adopted pursuant to Local Law 40. This grant program requires signature on the contract within 45 days of notification of the award. The award notification is dated September 17, 2015 which gives us only until the end of October to execute the contract and return it to the grantor. We have contacted the grantor and advised them that, due to County procedures, we cannot comply with the 45 day time limit; however, we can return the contract by the middle of November. We would like to have the resolution adopted at the November 17, 2015 meeting so that we can return the contract to the grantor as expediently as possible.

Copies of this packet are also being forwarded to the Federal and State Aid Claims Unit for review. Electronic copies of the resolution and SCIN forms will be transmitted to CE RESO REVIEW. The original grant contract will be submitted to your office upon approval of the resolution.

If you have any questions concerning this resolution package, please contact Sarah Furey, Senior Grants Analyst, at 852-6042 or Susan Krause, Grants Analyst, at 852-6601.

Thank you for your assistance with this project.

SC/sck

ACCREDITED LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY
Visit Us Online at www.suffolkpd.org
Crime Stoppers Confidential Tip Hotline 1-800-220-TIPS
Non-Emergencies Requiring Police Response, Dial (631) 852-COPS
30 Yaphank Avenue, Yaphank, New York 11980 – (631) 852-6000
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, A RESOLUTION MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ISSUING AN ORDER IN RELATION TO THE INCREASE AND IMPROVEMENT OF FACILITIES FOR SEWER DISTRICT NO. 13 – WINDWATCH (CP 8123)

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York County Law Section 268, the Suffolk County Sewer Agency has prepared and submitted to the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates pertaining to an increase and improvement to facilities at Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch; and

WHEREAS, such maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates addressed increases and improvements related to, among other things, improvements to the sewer system for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch; and

WHEREAS, these maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates accompanied Suffolk County Resolution No. 827-2015 and are attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch is $1.5 million; and

WHEREAS, this cost will be financed utilizing sewer district serial bonds but there will be no fiscal impact caused to the benefited properties in said District as a direct result of the cost of the increases and improvements inasmuch as, pursuant to the ASRF, all property owners in Suffolk County sewer districts experience a 3% annual increase regardless of any increases or improvements performed; and

WHEREAS, in the case of the property owners in Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch, the annual 3% ASRF increase will result in an increase of approximately $18.00 to the typical property within the District, regardless of the increase or improvement to facilities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Suffolk County Resolution No. 827-2015 and New York County Law Section 254, the Clerk of the Legislature did duly cause a Notice of Public Hearing regarding such increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch to be published at least once in each of the official newspapers of the County and proof thereof has been presented to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such Notice of Public Hearing, a public hearing was held by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk in Hauppauge, New York in said County on November 17, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., Prevailing Time; and

WHEREAS, said County Legislature has duly considered the map, plan, recommendations, and estimate of cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk
County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch, as well as evidence given at the public hearing held on November 17, 2015; now therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York, as follows:

Section 1. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs filed with the Legislature of the County of Suffolk and attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A, it is hereby found and determined that the total cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch shall be set at a maximum of $1.5 million, which shall be financed using sewer district serial bonds.

Section 2. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs it is hereby found and determined that the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch, as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, are necessary, convenient, and desirable.

Section 3. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, it is hereby further found and determined that the proposed work involving the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch is adequate and appropriate and the cost thereof will not constitute an undue burden on the properties in said District and that no properties in said District will be excluded from the benefit of the increases and improvements.

Section 4. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and cost estimates, it is further found and determined that it is in the public interest to expend a maximum amount of $1.5 million on the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs.

2nd RESOLVED, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk as follows:

Section 1. The increase and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch, as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

Section 2. Upon the effective date of this Resolution and Order, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch are hereby authorized and directed to carry out the increases and improvements to said District as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A.

Section 3. The Clerk of this Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution and Order to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk, New York, within ten days of the effective date of this
Resolution and Order in accordance with the provisions of New York County Law Section 259.

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5 (c)(20) and (27) as the proposal involves the adoption of regulations, policies, procedures, and local legislative decisions in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management.

4th RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
Exhibit 'A'
Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
Suffolk County Legislature
725 Veterans Memorial Hwy
Smithtown, NY 11787

RE: Proposed Increase and Improvement to the Facilities of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch (CP 8123)

Presiding Officer Gregory:

In connection with the above captioned matter, I herewith submit to you a report together with the recommendations relative thereto. Pursuant to Article 5-A of New York State County Law, we have requested a resolution calling for a public hearing. The project is to rehabilitate process tankage, equipment and treatment plant building. The total cost of this request is $1.5 million. Sewer District Serial Bonds are the means of financing this project, however, the use of the ASRF will result in no fiscal impact to the benefitted properties.

If you wish any further information or details, please contact Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Division of Sanitation, at 631-852-4184.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner
Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13

Attachment
GA:BW:ni

cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
    Peter Scully, Deputy County Executive
    Joanne Minieri, Commissioner of Economic Development
    Debra Kolyer, Principal Financial Analyst
    Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
    Robert Braun, Esq., Deputy Bureau Chief
    Suffolk County Legislators
    Phillip Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, Public Works
    John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
    Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
    ga-bw7-24-15 sd13-Windwatch CP 8123 Ltr to SC Leg DGregory
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INTRODUCTION
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch has a service area located in the Towns of Islip and Smithtown and the Village of Islandia in Suffolk County, New York. This report includes a summary of the district formation and its intent to provide recommendations on the necessary improvements to the Windwatch Wastewater Treatment Infrastructure. The facility serves the properties within the district boundaries of the district as well as out-of-district contractees.

DISTRICT HISTORY
The Suffolk County Sewer Agency and the County of Suffolk entered into a contract with Pacific Ventures, Inc., and Colony Hill Associates on January 27, 1987. The contract contained, among other things, the commitment by the developer to construct wastewater collection treatment and disposal facilities for development known as Windwatch. The original Windwatch project consisted of 228 condominium units, golf course with clubhouse, a 100,000 square foot office building, and a Marriott Windwatch Hotel. Also, within the district boundary is a development known as Willow Wood consisting of 55 single family homes. Following the creation of the district, a number of out-of-district connections were considered with those connections being made and including commercial areas as well as 28 units of a development defined as Hauppauge Green. Refer to Exhibit ‘A’. Those contractees had received approval from the Suffolk County Sewer Agency for connection and entered into connection agreements with the district and the County.

DISTRICT POPULATION
Due to the combined residential and commercial areas being served by the district, the terminology utilized to equate the sewage flows and billing process is a Single Family Equivalence (SFE). A Single Family Equivalent is 225 gallons per day of sewage to be discharged and treated. Utilizing the SFE criteria, the total of 1,161 SFE’s results in a population of approximately 3,500.

GENERAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION
The district is situated in a northeast portion of the Town of Islip in the hamlet of Hauppauge, the southerly portion south of the Vanderbilt Parkway is also within the Incorporated Village of Islandia including the sewage treatment plant site, the district consists of approximately 306 acres. The district is generally bounded on the south by the North Service Road of the Long Island Expressway, on the east by vacant land, on the north by the Smithtown-Islip townline, and on the west by Hoffman Lane south of Long Island Motor Parkway and by Blydenberg Road north of the Long Island Motor Parkway. The out-of-
district contractees are located north in the Town of Smithtown and in portions of the Village of Islandia.

**WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES**

The advanced wastewater treatment facility is designed and constructed to accommodate 400,000 gallons per day. This sewage treatment rate includes a 5% factor of safety required by NYSDEC.

There are 5 pumping stations and force mains for areas to be served, two of which had been accepted by dedication to the district for operation and maintenance by Suffolk County. The collection system within the district boundaries and within public roads is also accepted for dedication.

The advanced waste treatment facility includes preliminary treatment by screening with aeration and filtration that provides total nitrogen removal leading to groundwater recharge. Residuals are collected and stored until removed by truck for treatment at another facility.

**Environmental Consideration**

The project involves the rehabilitation of existing tankage, equipment and building in place. This type work constitutes a Type II Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Section 617.5(c)(2) of the Title 6 of New York Code of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR). The replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility in-kind on the same site allows this Type II determination to be made with this determination placed in all appropriate resolutions. Refer to Exhibit ‘B’.

**FACILITY REHABILITATION**

The entire treatment facility is contained within a building. Refer to Exhibit ‘C’. The treatment tankage is constructed of steel and the building is a combination of concrete and steel members with concrete block walls. The severe atmosphere within the building has led to the accelerated aging and corrosion of the equipment, tankage and the building, all of which will be rehabilitated with the funding to be provided.

**CAPITAL COST**

What must be considered is the use of the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund to limit the increased rates on an annual basis to 3%. The 2015 rate is in Exhibit ‘D’. It is recognized that the use of the ASRF is a loan and must be repaid at some point in the future. Therefore, the financial evaluation as included in Exhibit ‘E’ indicates that the annual rate will only increase by approximately $18.00 per typical property for the entire service area. The actual cost for the improvements without subsidy for the project would amount to over $100 per typical property. Regardless of the financing of the
project utilizing sewer district serial bonds, the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund stabilizes any increase in the rates at 3%. As indicated in Exhibit ‘E’, the use of the ASRF will stabilize rates and there will be no fiscal impact.

PROPOSED FINANCIAL PLAN AND COST TO HOMEOWNERS

There is not expectation of receipt of any subsidy funds from the federal or state government for this capital improvement. The annual operation and maintenance cost and charges and the operation and maintenance budget for the facility will not be changed due to the rehabilitation of the process tankage. It is expected that the project will reduce labor associated with repairs. Refer to Exhibit ‘F’ - Schedule.

COST AND PAYMENT FOR RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

The estimated construction cost for the rehabilitation project is $1.5 million. Based on the discussion above, the project will be subsidized by the ASRF for any funding above a 3% increase in the service area users. Exhibit ‘E’ includes the improvement financial plan. The evaluation that took place includes the impact of the project if conventional financing using district serial bonds that is contained in the adopted capital program and budget took place as compared to the stabilization of the sewer district rates by the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund. The evaluation indicates that financing is at 4% over 18 years using district serial bonds which would increase the typical property annual charge for Windwatch by $100.15 in 2017. The use of the ASRF, however, caps the annual increase to 3% and indicates that the 2017 user fees will be increased by approximately $18.00 each year. The 2015 rate is $593 and that rate would increase to $629 by 2017.

RECOMMENDATION COMMENTS AND FINDINGS

This project should be implemented due to the reliability and efficiency that is necessary in rehabilitation to the Windwatch Facility. It is expected that both energy as well as operation and maintenance costs could be reduced by the project but cannot be quantified. Therefore, I respectfully request the recommended project receive authorization immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner of the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, Chairman of the Suffolk County Sewer Agency and Administrative Head of All Suffolk County Sewer Districts
EXHIBIT A

DISTRICT BOUNDARY
EXHIBIT B

SEQRA
Exhibit 'B'
SEQRA

Under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, Environmental Conservation Law Article 8, the replacement, rehabilitation, or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in-kind, on the same site is determined to be a Type II Action. The pictures that are attached indicate the various tankage, building and equipment that requires rehabilitation in order to minimize the emergency response to problems with those tanks as well as provide the extended and useful life that is necessary to maintain adequate treatment levels.
EXHIBIT C

TREATMENT PLANT BUILDING
EXHIBIT D

2015 RATE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Per 1000</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>1.9948</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medford</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>209.9771</td>
<td>1.9948</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>220.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathmore Ridge</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>765.5736</td>
<td>7.1874</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>171.4938</td>
<td>1.6292</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stony Brook</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>179.0845</td>
<td>1.7011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selden (Zone A)</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>152.0689</td>
<td>1.4447</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>159.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selden (Zone B)</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>152.0688</td>
<td>1.4447</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>159.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selden (Constr. only)</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birchwood/Holbrook</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>88.2532</td>
<td>0.8384</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>92.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windwatch</td>
<td>% of Use</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>593.00</td>
<td>622.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Budget Calculation

2015 Residential rate: $593.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFE</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential SFE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593.00 x</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.65 x</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial SFE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593.00 x</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.65 x</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commercial SFE comes from Sheet 1

This $ total is transferred to Sheet 1
EXHIBIT E

FINANCIAL EVALUATION
Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch (1)
CP 8123
Annual Cost Comparison

2015 Rate $593.00/unit
Projected 2017 Rate (2)  629.00/unit
Increase $36.00/unit
CP 8123 Cost $1,500,000
O&M Increase None (3)
Serial Bonds $116,278/year
(4%, 18 years)
See attached table
Unstabilized Increased Cost/Unit (4) $100.15/unit
Projected 2017 Rate $729.15/unit
without ASRF (unstabilized)
($593 + $36 + $100.15)

Regardless of CP 8123, the 2017 rate is approximately $18/unit increase per year or 3% per year.

(1) 1,161 single family equivalent (SFE), Benefit District (all units are considered the equivalent; therefore, the mode is the typical unit or SFE).
(2) Use of ASRF stabilizes rate at 3%/year
(3) Rehabilitated treatment units, less maintenance, similar utilities
(4) $116,278/year for 1,161 SFE = $100.15/year/SFE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2017</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$59,739.80</td>
<td>$56,538.46</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2018</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$61,991.53</td>
<td>$27,143.37</td>
<td>$89,134.90</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2019</td>
<td>3.000%</td>
<td>$64,328.14</td>
<td>$25,975.06</td>
<td>$90,303.20</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2020</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$66,752.81</td>
<td>$24,762.73</td>
<td>$91,515.54</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2021</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$69,268.88</td>
<td>$23,504.69</td>
<td>$92,773.57</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$71,879.79</td>
<td>$22,199.24</td>
<td>$94,079.03</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2023</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$74,589.10</td>
<td>$20,844.58</td>
<td>$95,433.68</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2024</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$77,400.54</td>
<td>$19,438.86</td>
<td>$96,839.40</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2025</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$80,317.94</td>
<td>$17,980.16</td>
<td>$99,298.10</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2026</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$83,345.31</td>
<td>$16,466.48</td>
<td>$99,811.79</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2027</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$86,486.79</td>
<td>$14,895.74</td>
<td>$101,382.53</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2028</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$89,746.67</td>
<td>$13,265.80</td>
<td>$103,012.47</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2029</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$93,129.43</td>
<td>$11,574.42</td>
<td>$104,703.85</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2030</td>
<td>4.000%</td>
<td>$96,639.70</td>
<td>$9,819.28</td>
<td>$106,458.98</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2031</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$100,282.27</td>
<td>$7,998.00</td>
<td>$108,280.27</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2032</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$104,062.14</td>
<td>$6,108.06</td>
<td>$110,170.20</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2033</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$107,984.48</td>
<td>$4,146.89</td>
<td>$112,131.37</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2034</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$112,054.67</td>
<td>$2,111.80</td>
<td>$114,166.47</td>
<td>$116,278.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$1,500,000.00  $593,008.77  $2,093,008.77  $2,093,008.77
EXHIBIT F

SCHEDULE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Final Design Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Final Design In-Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Final Design Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Bidding Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Construction Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution **X**  Local Law  Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   A resolution making certain findings and determinations and issuing an order in relation to
   the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch (CP 8123).

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To make certain findings and determinations for the wastewater treatment system improvements for
   SCSD No. 13 – Windwatch.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  
   Yes  No **X**

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact?  
   (circle appropriate category)
   - County
   - Town
   - Economic Impact
   - Village
   - School District
   - Other (Specify):
     - Sewer District
   - Library District
   - Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   $1.5 million will be funded by the District residents using sewer district serial bonds, however,
   there is no fiscal impact due to the use of the ASRF.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

8. Proposed Source of Funding  
   Sewer District Serial Bonds and ASRF

9. Timing of Impact
   2016

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Ben Wright, P.E.
    Principal Civil Engineer
    Sanitation

11. Signature of Preparer
    [Signature]

12. Date
    1/20/15
## GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL – Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch (CP 8123).

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL – Making certain findings and determinations in relation to a public hearing as a prerequisite to requesting appropriations.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS – Provides the findings of the public hearing on an improvement project and costs for SCSD #13 – Windwatch. Meets the requirements of NYS County Law, Article 5-A.

JUSTIFICATION – The findings of the public hearing will allow appropriations to be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS – Sewer district costs are stabilized by the ASRF. The annual increase of 3% is approximately $18.00 per typical property.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
SUBJECT: A Resolution Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch (CP 8123)
DATE: October 20, 2015

Attached is a draft resolution, SCIN Form 175a and 175b, and backup for the referenced capital project filed as Reso DPW CP 8123 - SD 13 – Windwatch Improvements 10-20-15 and backup filed as Backup-DPW CP 8123 - SD 13 – Windwatch Improvements 10-20-15 for the findings resolution of the increase and improvement of Sewer District No. 13 – Windwatch. The improvement project includes improvements to the sewer system. The total cost associated with the project is $1.5 million which uses sewer district serial bonds.

We appreciate the resolution being laid on the table following the public hearing on November 17th such that the appropriations can be adopted in 2015.

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
    Lynne Bizzarro, Esq., Chief Deputy County Attorney
    Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
    Charles Jaquin, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
    Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
    John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
    Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
    CE Reso Review
    ga-bw10-20-15 Backup-DPW sd13 - Windwatch Findings CP 8123 memo to JSchneider.doc
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, A RESOLUTION MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ISSUING AN ORDER IN RELATION TO THE INCREASE AND IMPROVEMENT OF FACILITIES FOR SEWER DISTRICT NO. 15 – NOB HILL (CP 8138)

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York County Law Section 268, the Suffolk County Sewer Agency has prepared and submitted to the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates pertaining to an increase and improvement to facilities at Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill; and

WHEREAS, such maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates addressed increases and improvements related to, among other things, improvements to the sewer system for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill; and

WHEREAS, these maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates accompanied Suffolk County Resolution No. 828-2015 and are attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill is $250,000; and

WHEREAS, this cost will be financed utilizing sewer district serial bonds but there will be no fiscal impact caused to the benefited properties in said District as a direct result of the cost of the increases and improvements inasmuch as, pursuant to the ASRF, all property owners in Suffolk County sewer districts experience a 3% annual increase regardless of any increases or improvements performed; and

WHEREAS, in the case of the property owners in Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill, the annual 3% ASRF increase will result in an increase of approximately $14.00 to the typical property within the District, regardless of the increase or improvement to facilities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Suffolk County Resolution No. 828-2015 and New York County Law Section 254, the Clerk of the Legislature did duly cause a Notice of Public Hearing regarding such increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill to be published at least once in each of the official newspapers of the County and proof thereof has been presented to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such Notice of Public Hearing, a public hearing was held by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk in Hauppauge, New York in said County on November 17, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., Prevailing Time; and

WHEREAS, said County Legislature has duly considered the map, plan, recommendations, and estimate of cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk
County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill, as well as evidence given at the public hearing held on November 17, 2015; now therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York, as follows:

Section 1. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs filed with the Legislature of the County of Suffolk and attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A, it is hereby found and determined that the total cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill shall be set at a maximum of $250,000, which shall be financed using sewer district serial bonds.

Section 2. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs it is hereby found and determined that the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill, as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, are necessary, convenient, and desirable.

Section 3. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, it is hereby further found and determined that the proposed work involving the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill is adequate and appropriate and the cost thereof will not constitute an undue burden on the properties in said District and that no properties in said District will be excluded from the benefit of the increases and improvements.

Section 4. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and cost estimates, it is further found and determined that it is in the public interest to expend a maximum amount of $250,000 on the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs.

2nd RESOLVED, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk as follows:

Section 1. The increase and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill, as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

Section 2. Upon the effective date of this Resolution and Order, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill are hereby authorized and directed to carry out the increases and improvements to said District as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A.

Section 3. The Clerk of this Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution and Order to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk, New York, within ten days of the effective date of this Resolution and Order in accordance with the provisions of New York County Law Section 259.
3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5 (c)(20) and (27) as the proposal involves the adoption of regulations, policies, procedures, and local legislative decisions in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management.

4th RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
Exhibit ‘A’
Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
Suffolk County Legislature
725 Veterans Memorial Hwy
Smithtown, NY 11787

RE: Proposed Increase and Improvement to the Facilities of Suffolk County
Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill (CP 8138)

Presiding Officer Gregory:

In connection with the above captioned matter, I herewith submit to you a report together with the recommendations relative thereto. Pursuant to Article 5-A of New York State County Law, we have requested a resolution calling for a public hearing. The project is to rehabilitate the district pumping station/equipment. The total cost of this request is $250,000. Sewer District Serial Bonds are the means of financing this project, however, the use of the ASRF will result in no fiscal impact to the benefited properties.

If you wish any further information or details, please contact Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Division of Sanitation, at 631-852-4184.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner
Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15

Attachment
GA:BW:n

cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
Peter Scully, Deputy County Executive
Joanne Minieri, Commissioner of Economic Development
Debra Kolyer, Principal Financial Analyst
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Robert Braun, Esq., Deputy Bureau Chief
Suffolk County Legislators
Philip Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, Public Works
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
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COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER AGENCY

Report, Map, and Recommendations
for the Proposed Improvements to

SUFFOLK COUNTY
SEWER DISTRICT NO. 15 – NOB HILL

(CP 8138)

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E.
COMMISSIONER

July 2015
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INTRODUCTION

Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill is located in the hamlet of East Hauppauge in the Town of Islip. This report includes a summary of the district formation and its intent to provide recommendations on the necessary improvements to the pumping station and equipment of the sewer district.

DISTRICT HISTORY

In accordance with § 254 of the County Law and by Resolutions No. 494-1965 and No. 518-1965, the Suffolk County Board of Supervisors formed the Suffolk County Sewer Agency in September 1965. The County Legislature, as the successors to the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 407-1970, authorized the Chairman of the Agency to execute agreements with subdividers and developers. Thereafter, by Resolution No. 212-1973, the County Legislature again directed the Agency, with the assistance of the Department of Environmental Control acting as its staff, to prepare the necessary maps, plans, specifications and other relevant material for the formation, extension or improvement of County sewer districts.

The Suffolk County Sewer Agency and the County of Suffolk entered into a contract with Campagna Development Corporation on December 12, 1972. This contract contained, among other things, the commitment by the developer to construct wastewater collection, treatment and disposal facilities for a condominium development known as Nob Hill, which was
done. In addition, after district creation, a development known as the Woods of Smithtown applied for and was permitted connection as an out-of-district connection.

Therefore, in accordance with those actions and pursuant to Article 5A of the County Law, the Agency has caused the Department of Public Works, as the successors to the Department of Environmental Control, to prepare and submit the necessary information and data relating to the improvement to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill.

DISTRICT GENERAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION & SERVICE AREA

The District contains an area of approximately forty two (42) acres, which straddle Richmond Boulevard and abut the south side of Nichols Road. The District consists of four hundred eighty nine (489) condominium units, of which four hundred six (406) are two (2) bedroom units and eighty three (83) are one (1) bedroom units. See Exhibit ‘A’.

The out-of-district contractee is The Woods at Smithtown, a fifty six (56) unit condominium development on approximately ten (10) acres located at the southwest corner of Rosevale Avenue (County Road 93) and Smithtown Boulevard (County Road 16) in the hamlet of Lake Ronkonkoma in the Town of Smithtown. Wastewater is conveyed to the district via a pumping station and force main.
DISTRICT POPULATION

Using 3.5 persons per two bedroom condominium unit and 2 persons per one bedroom condominium unit, it is estimated that the total population of the district is 1,587 persons.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

The wastewater treatment plant was designed and constructed to accommodate 90,000 gallons per day (gpd). The present average daily flow is 50,000 gpd. The facility has an influent pump station that is the subject of this improvement project. See Exhibit ‘B’.

PROPOSED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

The proposed improvements to the District’s facilities include improvements to the pump station and equipment.

Due to the fact that all sewage enters the district influent pumping station and then is conveyed to the wastewater treatment facility, it is imperative that a bypass system be installed such that service can be maintained to all units at all times. This bypass increases the cost of improvements with the total project estimated to be $250,000. The project will be designed by DPW staff with the installation being a combined effort through a contractor and DPW staff.
PROPOSED FINANCIAL PLAN AND COSTS TO HOMEOWNERS

A. Federal and State Aid

There is no expectation of receipt of Federal or State funds for the proposed improvements.

B. Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs and User Charges

Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill is a benefit district under § 271 of New York State County Law. The annual user fee is determined by taking the annual operation and maintenance, debt service (none in this case), and other costs of the district, less any applicable revenues to be raised from user charges. Due to the proactive approach in rehabilitating the pump station, the operation and maintenance cost will not increase and could save funds by eliminating the need for emergency response.

C. Capital Cost

What must be considered is the use of the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund to limit the increased rates on an annual basis to 3%. Exhibit ‘C’ is the 2015 rate. It is recognized that the use of the ASRF is a loan and must be repaid at some point in the future. Therefore, the financial evaluation as included in Exhibit ‘D’ indicates that the annual rate will only increase by approximately $14.00 per unit for the entire service area. The actual cost increase for the improvements without subsidy for the project would amount to $35.56 per unit. Regardless of the financing of the project utilizing sewer district serial bonds, the Assessment Stabilization
Reserve Fund stabilizes any increase in the rates at 3%. As indicated in Exhibit ‘D’, the use of the ASRF will stabilize rates and there will be no fiscal impact.

D. Cost and Payment for Recommended Improvements

The estimated construction cost for the rehabilitation project is $250,000. Based on the discussion above, the project will be subsidized by the ASRF for any funding above a 3% increase in the service area users. Exhibit ‘D’ includes the improvement financial plan. The evaluation that took place includes the impact of the project if conventional financing using district serial bonds that is contained in the adopted capital program and budget took place as compared to the stabilization of the sewer district rates by the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund. The evaluation indicates that financing is at 4% over 18 years using district serial bonds which would increase the typical property annual charge for Nob Hill by $35.56 in 2016. The use of the ASRF, however, caps the annual increase to 3% and indicates that the 2016 user fees will be increased by approximately $14.00 each year. The 2015 rate is $453 and that rate would increase to $467 by 2016.

Exhibit ‘E’ discusses the SEQRA issues while Exhibit ‘F’ is the project schedule.
RECOMMENDATION COMMENTS AND FINDINGS

This project should be implemented due to the reliability and efficiency that is necessary in rehabilitation to the Nob Hill pumping station and equipment. It is expected that both energy as well as operation and maintenance costs could be reduced by the project but cannot be quantified. Therefore, I respectfully request the recommended project receive authorization immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Gilbert Anderson, PE.
Commissioner of the Suffolk County Department of Public Works,
Chairman of the Suffolk County Sewer Agency and Administrative Head of All Suffolk County Sewer Districts
EXHIBIT A

DISTRICT BOUNDARY
EXHIBIT B

TREATMENT PLANT BUILDING/PUMP STATION
EXHIBIT C

2015 RATE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>CASE RATE</th>
<th>RATE TYPE</th>
<th>ASSESS VALUE</th>
<th>TAXED VALUE</th>
<th>TWRK</th>
<th>ANNUAL CONTRACT RATE</th>
<th>QUARTERLY CONTRACT RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Parkland - Zone A</td>
<td>13.20% D</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>363.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>381.15</td>
<td>95.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>363.00</td>
<td>381.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Parkland - Zone B</td>
<td>13.20% D</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>294.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>308.70</td>
<td>77.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>294.00</td>
<td>308.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Nob Hill</td>
<td>13.20% D</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>453.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>475.85</td>
<td>118.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>453.00</td>
<td>475.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hauppauge Industrial</td>
<td>1.37% D</td>
<td>Per 1,000 Gal</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Haven Hills</td>
<td>0.95% D</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>218.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>218.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>218.00</td>
<td>218.00</td>
<td>$ 54.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>William Floyd</td>
<td>0.95% D</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td>84.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SUNY</td>
<td>(C) 0.95%</td>
<td>% of Use</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hauppauge Municipal</td>
<td>(D) 1.37%</td>
<td>% of Use</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>589.00</td>
<td>589.00</td>
<td>$ 147.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>No. of units</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Q/Unit</td>
<td>Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nob Hill</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>2-Bdrm</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>60900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1-Bdrm</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods at SM</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Available capacity 3750 gpd
EXHIBIT D

FINANCIAL EVALUATION
Sewer District No. 15 - Nob Hill (1)
CP 8138
Annual Cost Comparison

2015 Rate $453.00/unit
Projected 2016 Rate (2) 467.00/unit
 Increase $14.00/unit
CP 8138 Cost $250,000
O&M Increase None (3)
Serial Bonds $19,380/year
(4%, 18 years)
See attached table
Unstabilized Increased Cost/Unit (4) $35.56/unit
Projected 2017 Rate without ASRF (unstabilized) $502.56/unit
($453 + $14 + $35.56)

Regardless of CP 8138, the 2016 rate is approximately $14/unit increase per year or 3% per year.

(1) 545 units, Benefit District (all units are considered the equivalent; therefore, the mode is the typical unit).
(2) Use of ASRF stabilizes rate at 3%/year
(3) Rehabilitated pump station and equipment, less maintenance, similar utilities
(4) $19,380/year for 545 units = $35.56/year/unit
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2017</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$9,956.63</td>
<td>$9,423.08</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2018</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$10,331.92</td>
<td>$4,523.89</td>
<td>$14,855.82</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$10,721.36</td>
<td>$4,329.18</td>
<td>$15,050.53</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$11,125.47</td>
<td>$4,127.12</td>
<td>$15,252.59</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$11,544.81</td>
<td>$3,917.45</td>
<td>$15,462.26</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$11,979.96</td>
<td>$3,699.87</td>
<td>$15,679.84</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$12,431.52</td>
<td>$3,474.10</td>
<td>$15,905.61</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$12,900.09</td>
<td>$3,239.81</td>
<td>$16,139.90</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$13,386.32</td>
<td>$2,996.69</td>
<td>$16,383.02</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$13,890.89</td>
<td>$2,744.41</td>
<td>$16,635.30</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$14,414.46</td>
<td>$2,482.62</td>
<td>$16,897.09</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2028</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$14,957.78</td>
<td>$2,210.97</td>
<td>$17,168.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2029</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$15,521.57</td>
<td>$1,929.07</td>
<td>$17,450.64</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2030</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$16,106.62</td>
<td>$1,636.55</td>
<td>$17,743.16</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2031</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$16,713.71</td>
<td>$1,333.00</td>
<td>$18,046.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2032</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$17,343.69</td>
<td>$1,018.01</td>
<td>$18,361.70</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2033</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$17,997.41</td>
<td>$691.15</td>
<td>$18,688.56</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2034</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$18,675.78</td>
<td>$351.97</td>
<td>$19,027.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total   | $250,000.00 | $98,834.80 | $348,834.80 | $348,834.80 |
Exhibit 'E'
SEQRA

Under the State Environmental Quality Review Act Environmental Conservational Law Article 8, the replacement, rehabilitation, or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in-kind, on the same site is determined to be a Type II Action. The pictures that are attached indicate the pump station and treatment building. The pump station requires rehabilitation in order to minimize the emergency response to problems with the system as well as provide the extended and useful life that is necessary to maintain adequate treatment levels.
EXHIBIT F

SCHEDULE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Final Design Phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Final Design In-Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Final Design Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Construction Phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. **Type of Legislation**
   - Resolution  X  
   - Local Law  
   - Charter Law  

2. **Title of Proposed Legislation**
   A resolution making certain findings and determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill (CP 8138).

3. **Purpose of Proposed Legislation**
   To make certain findings and determinations for the wastewater treatment system improvements for SCSD No. 15 – Nob Hill.

4. **Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?**
   - Yes  
   - No  X 

5. **If the answer to Item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact?**
   (circle appropriate category)
   - County  
   - Town  
   - Village  
   - School District  
   - Economic Impact  
   - Other (Specify):
     - Sewer District
   - Library District  
   - Fire District

6. **If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact**
   $250,000 will be funded by the District residents using sewer district serial bonds, however, there is no fiscal impact due to the use of the ASRF.

7. **Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.**

8. **Proposed Source of Funding**
   - Sewer District Serial Bonds and ASRF

9. **Timing of Impact**
   - 2016

10. **Typed Name & Title of Preparer**
    - Ben Wright, P.E.
    - Principal Civil Engineer
    - Sanitation

11. **Signature of Preparer**
    - [Signature]

12. **Date**
    - 10/29/15

---

SCIN FORM 175B (10/95)
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## GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**


3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL – Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill (CP 8138).

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL – Making certain findings and determinations in relation to a public hearing as a prerequisite to requesting appropriations.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS – Provides the findings of the public hearing on an improvement project and costs for SCSD #15 – Nob Hill. Meets the requirements of NYS County Law, Article 5-A.

JUSTIFICATION – The findings of the public hearing will allow appropriations to be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS – Sewer district costs are stabilized by the ASRF. The annual increase of 3% is approximately $14.00 per typical property.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
SUBJECT: A Resolution Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill (CP 8138)
DATE: October 20, 2015

Attached is a draft resolution, SCIN Form 175a and 175b, and backup for the referenced capital project filed as Reso DPW CP 8138 - SD 15 – Nob Hill Improvements 10-20-15 and backup filed as Backup-DPW CP 8138 - SD 15 – Nob Hill Improvements 10-20-15 for the findings resolution of the increase and improvement of Sewer District No. 15 – Nob Hill. The improvement project includes improvements to the sewer system. The total cost associated with the project is $250,000 which uses sewer district serial bonds.

We appreciate the resolution being laid on the table following the public hearing on November 17th such that the appropriations can be adopted in 2015.

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
Lynne Bizzarro, Esq., Chief Deputy County Attorney
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Charles Jaquin, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
CE Reso Review
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SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFPIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

335 YAPHANK AVENUE ■ YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980 ■
(631) 852-4010
FAX (631) 852-4150
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, A RESOLUTION MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ISSUING AN ORDER IN RELATION TO THE INCREASE AND IMPROVEMENT OF FACILITIES FOR SEWER DISTRICT NO. 20 – WILLIAM FLOYD (CP 8147)

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York County Law Section 268, the Suffolk County Sewer Agency has prepared and submitted to the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates pertaining to an increase and improvement to facilities at Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd; and

WHEREAS, such maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates addressed increases and improvements related to, among other things, improvements to the sewer system for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd; and

WHEREAS, these maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates accompanied Suffolk County Resolution No. 829-2015 and are attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd is $3.0 million; and

WHEREAS, this cost will be financed utilizing sewer district serial bonds but there will be no fiscal impact caused to the benefited properties in said District as a direct result of the cost of the increases and improvements inasmuch as, pursuant to the ASRF, all property owners in Suffolk County sewer districts experience a 3% annual increase regardless of any increases or improvements performed; and

WHEREAS, in the case of the property owners in Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd, the annual 3% ASRF increase will result in an increase of approximately $10.00 to the typical property within the District, regardless of the increase or improvement to facilities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Suffolk County Resolution No. 829-2015 and New York County Law Section 254, the Clerk of the Legislature did duly cause a Notice of Public Hearing regarding such increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd to be published at least once in each of the official newspapers of the County and proof thereof has been presented to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such Notice of Public Hearing, a public hearing was held by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk in Hauppauge, New York in said County on November 17, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., Prevailing Time; and

WHEREAS, said County Legislature has duly considered the map, plan, recommendations, and estimate of cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk
County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd, as well as evidence given at the public hearing held on November 17, 2015; now therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York, as follows:

**Section 1.** Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs filed with the Legislature of the County of Suffolk and attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A, it is hereby found and determined that the total cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd shall be set at a maximum of $3.0 million, which shall be financed using sewer district serial bonds.

**Section 2.** Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs it is hereby found and determined that the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd, as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, are necessary, convenient, and desirable.

**Section 3.** Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, it is hereby further found and determined that the proposed work involving the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd is adequate and appropriate and the cost thereof will not constitute an undue burden on the properties in said District and that no properties in said District will be excluded from the benefit of the increases and improvements.

**Section 4.** Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and cost estimates, it is further found and determined that it is in the public interest to expend a maximum amount of $3.0 million on the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs.

2nd RESOLVED, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk as follows:

**Section 1.** The increase and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd, as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

**Section 2.** Upon the effective date of this Resolution and Order, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd are hereby authorized and directed to carry out the increases and improvements to said District as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A.

**Section 3.** The Clerk of this Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution and Order to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk, New York, within ten days of the effective date of this
Resolution and Order in accordance with the provisions of New York County Law Section 259.

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5 (c)(20) and (27) as the proposal involves the adoption of regulations, policies, procedures, and local legislative decisions in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management.

4th RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

________________________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
Suffolk County Legislature
725 Veterans Memorial Hwy
Smithtown, NY 11787

RE: Proposed Increase and Improvement to the Facilities of Suffolk County
Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (CP 8147)

Presiding Officer Gregory:

In connection with the above captioned matter, I herewith submit to you a report together with the recommendations relative thereto. Pursuant to Article 5-A of New York State County Law, we have requested a resolution calling for a public hearing. The project is to rehabilitate process tankage. The total cost of this request is $3.0 million. Sewer District Serial Bonds are the means of financing this project, however, the use of the ASRF will result in no fiscal impact to the benefited properties.

If you wish any further information or details, please contact Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Division of Sanitation, at 631-852-4184.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner
Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20

Attachment
GA:BW:ni
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santaroma, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
Peter Scully, Deputy County Executive
Joanne Minieri, Commissioner of Economic Development
Debra Kolyer, Principal Financial Analyst
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Robert Braun, Esq., Deputy Bureau Chief
Suffolk County Legislators
Philip Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, Public Works
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P. E., Principal Civil Engineer. Sanitation
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COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER AGENCY

Report, Map and Recommendations
for the Proposed Improvements to

SUFFOLK COUNTY
SEWER DISTRICT NO. 20 - WILLIAM FLOYD

(CP 8147)

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
COMMISSIONER

JULY 2015
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INTRODUCTION

Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 - William Floyd is located in the hamlet of Ridge which is in the north central portion of the Town of Brookhaven in Suffolk County, New York. This report includes a summary of the district formation and its intent to provide recommendations on the necessary improvements to the Ridgehaven process tankage that is at one of the two facilities of Sewer District No. 20. The two facilities serve the properties within the district boundaries of the district.

DISTRICT HISTORY

The Suffolk County Sewer Agency, County of Suffolk, Town of Brookhaven, and the Town of Brookhaven Planning Board entered into a contract with Leisure Village at Shore, Inc. on May 22, 1970. The contract contained among other things the commitment by the developer to construct wastewater collection, treatment and disposal facilities for development known as Leisure Village at Shoreham.

Additional lands were added to the original area by a contract amendment dated June 28, 1972 for a townhouse condominium south of Whiskey Road known as Leisure Knolls. Further amendments increased the number of condominiums that could be connected to the plant to 2,750 units and thus required the plant to be expanded at that time.

A similar type agreement was made between the municipal parties and a developer New Brook Enterprises on December 12, 1974. That developer would also construct sewerage facilities defined as Ridgehaven Estates. Further to the agreements, an additional contract with Brookwood Communities which would connect an apartment complex to the New Brook Enterprises facility known as Ridgehaven Estates.

A report was prepared during July 1995 for the purpose of forming Sewer District No. 20 - William Floyd which was codified on June 21, 1997.

DISTRICT POPULATION

The sewer district has two facilities, Leisure Village, which is the westly portion of the service area and Ridgehaven Estates, which is the easterly portion of the service area. Ridgehaven Estates serves 280 single family homes and Ridgehaven Village which consists of 148 senior apartments and 72 apartments. Leisure Village serves 1,500 units of Leisure Village, 701 units of Leisure Knolls, and 650 units of Leisure Glen. The total population is estimated to exceed 6,250 persons.

GENERAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

The service area consists of approximately 632 acres. The district is generally bounded on the east by William Floyd Parkway, on
the west by Ridge Road, is bisected by Whiskey Road, and on the south by Middle Country Road. Refer to Exhibit 'A'.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

The two treatment facilities include Leisure Village which has a capacity of 300,000 gallons per day. The facility was upgraded during the mid-2000’s to incorporate an SBR process with onsite open recharge beds. The Ridgehaven facility has a permitted flow of 83,000 gallons per day and has a conventional nitrogen removal activated sludge process with open recharge beds.

Environmental Consideration

The project involves the rehabilitation of existing tankage in place. This type work constitutes a Type II Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) Section 617.5(c) (2) of the Title 6 of New York Code of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR). The replacement rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility in-kind on the same site allows this Type II determination to be made with this determination placed in all appropriate resolutions.

RIDGEHAVEN PROCESS TANKAGE REHABILITATION

The treatment process includes an extended air nitrogen removal aeration system followed by final settling tanks and a denitrification filter. It is necessary to provide equalization in the process by utilizing a portion of the aeration system for that type process. In addition, the structural members of the final settling tank and denitrification filter are aging and require rehabilitation as indicated on the attached drawings in Exhibit 'B'.

CAPITAL COST

What must be considered is the use of the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund to limit the increased rates on an annual basis to 3%. It is also recognized that the use of the ASRF is a loan and must be repaid at some point in the future. Therefore, the financial evaluation as included in Exhibit 'C' indicates that the annual rate will only increase by approximately $10.00 per unit for the entire service area of both Leisure Village and Ridgehaven. The actual cost for the improvements without subsidy for the project would amount to $69.40 per unit. Regardless of the financing of the project utilizing sewer district serial bonds, the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund stabilizes any increase in the rates at 3%. As indicated in Exhibit 'C', the use of the ASRF will stabilize rates and there will be no fiscal impact.

PROPOSED FINANCIAL PLAN AND COST TO HOMEOWNERS

There is not expectation of receipt of any subsidy funds from the federal or state government for this capital improvement. The annual operation and maintenance cost and charges and the operation and
maintenance budget for the facility will not be changed due to the rehabilitation of the process tankage. It is expected that the project will reduce labor associated with repairs.

**COST AND PAYMENT FOR RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS**

The estimated construction cost for the rehabilitation project is $3.0 million. Based on the discussion above, the project will be subsidized by the ASRF for any funding above a 3% increase in the service area users. Exhibit 'D' includes the improvement financial plan. The evaluation that took place includes the impact of the project if conventional financing using district serial bonds that is contained in the adopted capital program and budget took place as compared to the stabilization of the sewer district rates by the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund. The evaluation indicates that financing is at 4% over 18 years using district serial bonds which would increase the typical property annual charge for Leisure Village by $69.40 in 2017. The use of the ASRF, however, caps the annual increase to 3% and indicates that the 2017 user fees will be increased by approximately $10.00 each year. The 2015 rate is $323 and that rate would increase to $343 by 2017.

**RECOMMENDATION COMMENTS AND FINDINGS**

This project should be implemented due to the reliability and efficiency that is necessary in rehabilitation to the Ridgehaven treatment tankage. It is expected that both energy as well as operation and maintenance costs could be reduced by the project but cannot be quantified. Therefore, I respectfully request the recommended project receive authorization immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E. / Commissioner of the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, Chairman of the Suffolk County Sewer Agency and Administrative Head of All Suffolk County Sewer Districts
EXHIBIT A

DISTRICT BOUNDARY
EXHIBIT B

TREATMENT PLANT TANKAGE
EXHIBIT C

FINANCIAL EVALUATION
Sewer District No. 20 - William Floyd (1)
CP 8147
Annual Cost Comparison

2015 Rate $323.00/unit
Projected 2017 Rate (2) $343.00/unit
Increase $20.00/unit

CP 8147 Cost $3,000,000
O&M Increase None (3)
Serial Bonds $232,557/year
(4%, 18 years)
See attached table
Unstabilized Increased Cost/Unit (4) $69.40/unit
Projected 2017 Rate $412.40/unit
without ASRF (unstabilized)
($323 + $20 + $69.40)

Regardless of CP 8147, the 2017 rate is approximately $10/unit
increase per year or 3% per year.

(1) 3,351 units, Benefit District (all units are considered the
equivalent; therefore, the mode is the typical unit).
(2) Use of ASRF stabilizes rate at 3%/year
(3) Rehabilitated treatment units, less maintenance, similar
utilities
(4) $232,557/year for 3,351 units = $69.40/year/unit
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2017</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$119,479.61</td>
<td>$113,076.92</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2018</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$123,983.07</td>
<td>$54,286.73</td>
<td>$178,399.80</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2019</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$128,656.28</td>
<td>$51,960.13</td>
<td>$180,616.40</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2020</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$133,505.63</td>
<td>$49,525.45</td>
<td>$183,031.08</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2021</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$138,537.76</td>
<td>$47,009.38</td>
<td>$185,547.15</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$143,759.57</td>
<td>$44,398.48</td>
<td>$188,158.05</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2023</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$149,178.20</td>
<td>$41,689.16</td>
<td>$190,867.36</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2024</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$154,801.07</td>
<td>$38,877.73</td>
<td>$193,678.80</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2025</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$160,636.88</td>
<td>$35,960.32</td>
<td>$196,697.21</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2026</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$166,690.62</td>
<td>$32,932.95</td>
<td>$200,623.57</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2027</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$172,973.57</td>
<td>$29,791.48</td>
<td>$202,765.05</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2028</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$179,493.35</td>
<td>$26,531.59</td>
<td>$206,024.94</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2029</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$186,258.87</td>
<td>$23,148.83</td>
<td>$209,407.70</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2030</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$193,279.39</td>
<td>$19,638.57</td>
<td>$212,917.96</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2031</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$200,564.54</td>
<td>$15,996.00</td>
<td>$216,560.54</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2032</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$208,124.28</td>
<td>$12,216.13</td>
<td>$220,340.41</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2033</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$215,968.96</td>
<td>$8,293.78</td>
<td>$224,262.75</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2034</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$224,109.33</td>
<td>$4,223.60</td>
<td>$228,332.93</td>
<td>$232,556.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$3,000,000.00 $1,186,017.55 $4,186,017.55 $4,186,017.55
EXHIBIT D

2015 RATE

1859
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>RATE TYPE</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>RATE</th>
<th>PERIOD</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>QUARTERLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>Parkland - Zone A</td>
<td>13.20%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>363.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>363.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>361.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 90.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>Parkland - Zone B</td>
<td>13.20%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>294.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>294.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>308.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 73.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>Nob Hill</td>
<td>13.20%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>455.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>455.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>475.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 113.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Per 1,000 Gal.</td>
<td>Hauppauge Industrial</td>
<td>1.37%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>Haven Hills</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>216.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>216.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 54.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>William Floyd</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>323.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>323.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>320.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 80.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>% of Use</td>
<td>SUNY</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>% of Use</td>
<td>Hauppauge Municipal</td>
<td>1.37%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>588.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 147.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exhibit 'E'
SEQRA

Under the State Environmental Quality Review Act Environmental Conservational Law Article 8, the replacement, rehabilitation, or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in-kind, on the same site is determined to be a Type II Action. The pictures that are attached indicate the various tankage including the final settling tanks and denitrification filter that require rehabilitation in order to minimize the emergency response to problems with those tanks as well as provide the extended and useful life that is necessary to maintain adequate treatment levels.
EXHIBIT F

SCHEDULE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Final Design Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Final Design In-Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Final Design Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Bidding Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Construction Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. **Type of Legislation**
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Title of Proposed Legislation**

   A resolution making certain findings and determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (CP 8147).

3. **Purpose of Proposed Legislation**

   To make certain findings and determinations for the wastewater treatment system improvements for SCSD No. 20 – William Floyd.

4. **Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?**

   Yes _______ No _______ X _______

5. **If the answer to Item 4 is “yes,” on what will it impact?** (circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td>Sewer District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **If the answer to item 4 is “yes,” Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact**

   $3.0 million will be funded by the District residents using sewer district serial bonds, however, there is no fiscal impact due to the use of the ASRF.

7. **Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.**

8. **Proposed Source of Funding**

   Sewer District Serial Bonds and ASRF.

9. **Timing of Impact**

   2016-2035

10. **Typed Name & Title of Preparer**

    Ben Wright, P.E.
    Principal Civil Engineer
    Sanitation

11. **Signature of Preparer**

    [Signature] 12/10/15

12. **Date**

    12/20/15
## General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 Av Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Police District and District Court

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 Av Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Property Tax Levy</th>
<th>2015 Cost to Avg Taxpayer</th>
<th>2015 Av Tax Rate per $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV Tax Rate per $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. Source for number of family parcels and corresponding assessed valuation: Suffolk County Real Property, 2014.
TITLE OF BILL – Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (CP 8147).

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL – Making certain findings and determinations in relation to a public hearing as a prerequisite to requesting appropriations.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS – Provides the findings of the public hearing on an improvement project and costs for SCSD #20 – William Floyd. Meets the requirements of NYS County Law, Article 5-A.

JUSTIFICATION – The findings of the public hearing will allow appropriations to be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS – Sewer district costs are stabilized by the ASRF. The annual increase of 3% is approximately $10.00 per typical property.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
SUBJECT: A Resolution Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (CP 8147)
DATE: October 20, 2015

Attached is a draft resolution, SCIN Form 175a and 175b, and backup for the referenced capital project filed as Reso DPW CP 8147 - SD 20 – William Floyd Improvements 10-20-15 and backup filed as Backup-DPW CP 8147 - SD 20 – William Floyd Improvements 10-20-15 for the findings resolution of the increase and improvement of Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd. The improvement project includes improvements to the sewer system. The total cost associated with the project is $3.0 million which uses sewer district serial bonds.

We appreciate the resolution being laid on the table following the public hearing on November 17th such that the appropriations can be adopted in 2015.

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
Lynne Bizzarro, Esq., Chief Deputy County Attorney
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Charles Jaquin, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
CE Reso Review
ga-bw10-20-15 Backup-DPW sd20 - William Floyd Findings CP 8147 memo to JSchneider.doc
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, A RESOLUTION MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ISSUING AN ORDER IN RELATION TO THE INCREASE AND IMPROVEMENT OF FACILITIES FOR SEWER DISTRICT NO. 7 – MEDFORD (CP 8150)

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York County Law Section 268, the Suffolk County Sewer Agency has prepared and submitted to the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates pertaining to an increase and improvement to facilities at Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford; and

WHEREAS, such maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates addressed increases and improvements related to, among other things, improvements to the sewer system for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford; and

WHEREAS, these maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates accompanied Suffolk County Resolution No. 830-2015 and are attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford is $500,000; and

WHEREAS, this cost will be financed utilizing sewer district serial bonds but there will be no fiscal impact caused to the benefited properties in said District as a direct result of the cost of the increases and improvements inasmuch as, pursuant to the ASRF, all property owners in Suffolk County sewer districts experience a 3% annual increase regardless of any increases or improvements performed; and

WHEREAS, in the case of the property owners in Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford, the annual 3% ASRF increase will result in an increase of approximately $8.32 to the typical property within the District, regardless of the increase or improvement to facilities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Suffolk County Resolution No. 830-2015 and New York County Law Section 254, the Clerk of the Legislature did duly cause a Notice of Public Hearing regarding such increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford to be published at least once in each of the official newspapers of the County and proof thereof has been presented to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such Notice of Public Hearing, a public hearing was held by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk in Hauppauge, New York in said County on November 17, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., Prevailing Time; and

WHEREAS, said County Legislature has duly considered the map, plan, recommendations, and estimate of cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk
County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford, as well as evidence given at the public hearing held on November 17, 2015; now therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York, as follows:

Section 1. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs filed with the Legislature of the County of Suffolk and attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A, it is hereby found and determined that the total cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford shall be set at a maximum of $500,000, which shall be financed using sewer district serial bonds.

Section 2. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs it is hereby found and determined that the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford, as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, are necessary, convenient, and desirable.

Section 3. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, it is hereby further found and determined that the proposed work involving the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford is adequate and appropriate and the cost thereof will not constitute an undue burden on the properties in said District and that no properties in said District will be excluded from the benefit of the increases and improvements.

Section 4. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and cost estimates, it is further found and determined that it is in the public interest to expend a maximum amount of $500,000 on the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs.

2nd RESOLVED, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk as follows:

Section 1. The increase and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford, as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

Section 2. Upon the effective date of this Resolution and Order, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford are hereby authorized and directed to carry out the increases and improvements to said District as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A.

Section 3. The Clerk of this Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution and Order to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk, New York, within ten days of the effective date of this Resolution and Order in accordance with the provisions of New York County Law Section 259.
3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5 (c)(20) and (27) as the proposal involves the adoption of regulations, policies, procedures, and local legislative decisions in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management.

4th RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
Exhibit ‘A’
Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
Suffolk County Legislature
725 Veterans Memorial Hwy
Smithtown, NY 11787

RE: Proposed Increase and Improvement to the Facilities of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150)

Presiding Officer Gregory:

In connection with the above captioned matter, I herewith submit to you a report together with the recommendations relative thereto. Pursuant to Article 5-A of New York State County Law, we have requested a resolution calling for a public hearing. The project is to perform improvements to the sewer system. The total cost of this project is $500,000. Sewer District Serial Bonds are the means of financing this project, however, there is no fiscal impact due to the stabilization of rate by the ASRF.

If you wish any further information or details, please contact John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Division of Sanitation, at 852-4204.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner
Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7
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Attachment 1 – S.C.S.D. 7 Boundary Map
Introduction
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 (S.C.S.D. 7) – Medford is located in the Hamlet of Medford which is in the southwesterly quadrant of the Town of Brookhaven in Suffolk County, New York. This report includes a summary of the district formation and its intent is to provide recommendations on the necessary improvements to the sewer system of the district that serves the two service areas of S.C.S.D. 7. The district serves the properties within the district boundaries and also the contractees to the district. Contractees are those property owners who are outside the district boundary but have a contract with the Suffolk County Sewer Agency to have their waste treated at the County WWTP and are billed separately and not through town tax bills.

Formation of Sewer District
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 (S.C.S.D. 7) – Medford was established by Suffolk County Legislative Resolution No. 471-1977 effective May 25, 1977 pursuant to Section 270 of the County Law. The New York State Department of Audit and Control gave its approval for Suffolk County to acquire two separate and privately owned sewage treatment plants and collection systems from Levitt House, Inc. and Sid Farber and the combination became Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford on July 1, 1977. The reason there are (2) treatment plants in one district is due to the fact that the two developers could not reach an amicable agreement to utilize one facility. The two plants are known as, “Woodside Plant” and “Twelve Pines Plant”.

Extension of Sewer District – Extension No. 1
In June 1982, acting in his capacity of Administrative Head of S.C.S.D. 7, the Commissioner of Suffolk County Dept. of Public Works submitted a report, map, and recommendations for a proposed extension of the sewer district to the Suffolk County Legislature. Resolution No. 107 – 1985 adopting an order establishing Extension No. 1 in S.C.S.D. 7 was passed by the Suffolk County Legislature on February 26, 1985 and approved by the County Executive on February 27, 1985. Following this extension, the district encompassed approximately 1,435 acres of single and multi-family zoned properties and a small industrial parcel.

Extension of Sewer District – Extension No. 2
This extension included 262 single family homes slated to be connected to the Woodside Plant and no new connections to the Twelve Pines Plant. This extension added approximately 98 acres of single family homes. All of Extension No. 2 was contiguous to the pre-existing district. This extension was created pursuant to Section 270 of County Law. Under this extension, the resulting increased flow did not affect the operating capacity of the plant and, therefore, the NYSDEC permits did not change. The collection system associated with this extension was constructed by the developer and dedicated to the district free of liens and at no cost to S.C.S.D. 7. It did not require any capital improvements to be paid by either the original district or the Extension No. 1 and no capital costs were incurred.
Future capital costs for upgrades, replacement and reconstruction, not provided for otherwise, would be assessed and levied on an ad valorem basis against all taxable real property within the district, as extended.

**Sewer System Improvements**
The existing sewage conveyance system includes approximately 30 miles of gravity sewers and 5 pumping stations with force mains. The sewers range in size from 8” to 12” in diameter. The force mains are 4” to 10” in diameter. The pumping stations range in capacity from 35 gallons per minute to 125 gallons per minute. The majority of this system was installed 30 to 40 years ago with some of the material being asbestos cement which is more subject to deterioration than the newer materials. This project is to rehabilitate and replace portions of the gravity and force main piping that has experienced problems in the past and has led to emergency response and overtime costs. Engineering assistance is not necessary, however, a combination of bid projects with contractor assistance and in-house construction will take place.

**Environmental Approval**
A presentation was made to Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality to describe the proactive approach taken to improve the conveyance system. A determination was made that the project was a Type II and a resolution (Resolution No. 510-2012) was adopted by the County Legislature as lead agency and is attached as Exhibit ‘A’.

**District Population**
The total number of properties currently in the district is 2,648 with 
Tax exempt properties = 174 
Taxable = 2,474

The total 2,648 in district properties are broken into 3 categories:
Residential total = 2,441
Commercial total = 12
Residential & commercial that were not counted for connection information = 195

There are 36 contractees to the district,
Residential contractees = 17
Commercial contractees = 19

Total (in district 2,648) + (contractees 17 + 19) = 2,684

**Map of District**
A map showing the current District is attached to this report. Refer to Attachment No. 1.

**Cost and Payment for Recommendations**
The estimated construction cost for the upgrade described above is $500,000. The 2015 rate, typical property mode and level debt are included in Appendix ‘B’. Once funds are appropriated, all existing parcels will bear the Capital repayment and operation and maintenance expenses.
Appendix ‘C’ includes the improvement financial plan. The evaluation that took place includes the impact of the project if conventional financing using sewer district serial bonds that is contained in the adopted capital program and budget took place as compared to the stabilization of the sewer district rates by the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund. The evaluation indicates that financing with a level debt over 18 years utilizing sewer district serial bonds would increase the typical property annual fee by nearly $13.41. The use of the ASRF however, caps the annual increase to 3%. The use of the ASRF will stabilize rates and there will be no fiscal impact due to this project. Appendix ‘C’ indicates that the 2015 sewer charge is $545.94 and, therefore, a 3% increase is less than $17.00 year. The actual average increase to the typical property within the sewer district would be less than $17.00 year and, therefore, is more than the State Comptroller’s threshold of $4 per year as an increase. An application would not be necessary to the State Comptroller based on this evaluation. The average increase from 2015 to the maximum payment year of 2017 is less than $17.00/year per typical property.

**Staffing**
Currently for the operation of both WWTPs in S.C.S.D. 7, there is one licensed operator who splits his time between the two plants and one operator helper at each plant. This is a total of 3 persons total working a day shift at both plants. No change is anticipated.

**Proposed Financial Plan & Cost to Users**

1) **Existing and Proposed User Charges**
The existing users are being charged based upon the value of the typical property in the district. The existing district is billed based on assessed value during 2015. This bill includes debt service and operation and maintenance costs. Pursuant to the requirements of participation in the Suffolk County Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund, which was established by Suffolk County Charter, bills are increased by at least 3% per year in order for the participating districts to be eligible to access the Reserve Fund. Funding from the Suffolk County Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund then permits these participating districts to stabilize annual costs. The existing district parcels have experienced the 3% increase with the typical parcels bill being approximately $545.94 during 2015. The cost to the typical parcel is reached by determining the mode annual parcel cost when each individual parcel cost is listed and the most frequent value is determined. Appendix ‘B’ includes a level debt service schedule, the 2015 rate and the typical property value for the financing conditions. 2017 would have a rate of 222.76 per $1,000 of AV based on an annual 3% increase in the 2015 rate. This 3% increase is based on the use of the ASRF and results in an increase of the typical property of $16.63 averaged over 2 years as indicated in Appendix ‘C’. In this case, the ASRF increase of 3% per year exceeds the cost of repayment of the project, however, even without the project there is a 3% impact resulting in the conclusion that the project has no fiscal impact. The project schedule (Appendix ‘D’) provides that construction will be initiated during 2016 and all parcels in the existing district will continue to pay on an AV basis.

2) **Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs and Charges**
No increase to Operating and Maintenance costs due to the proposed improvements is anticipated.
Conclusions and Recommendations
As has been indicated above, the District's sewage conveyance facilities are in need of the recommended improvements. The current condition is inefficient due to the need for an emergency response to failure and the use of overtime labor or contractor service at a premium cost. Implementation of the project will reduce the cost of emergency response to failure. The rate, however, will continue to rise by 3% per year in order to have the ASRF available to stabilize the rate and, therefore, the project has no fiscal impact.

I respectfully recommend that authorization be given to proceed immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner of Public Works
and Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District
No. 7 – Medford
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2015 Rate/Property Mode/Debt Schedule

CP 8150
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Per</th>
<th>Rate/Rate/Year</th>
<th>Rate/Value</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Quarter Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Medford</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 209.9771</td>
<td>1.8948</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>220.46</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 209.9771</td>
<td>1.8948</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>220.46</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Strathmore Ridge</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 756.5736</td>
<td>7.1874</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 756.5736</td>
<td>7.1874</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 171.4938</td>
<td>1.8286</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>180.07</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 171.4938</td>
<td>1.8286</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>180.07</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Stony Brook</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 179.0645</td>
<td>1.7011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 179.0645</td>
<td>1.7011</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stony Brook (Constr. only)</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Selden (Zone A)</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 162.0889</td>
<td>1.4447</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 162.0889</td>
<td>1.4447</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>159.07</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selden (Zone B)</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 152.0888</td>
<td>1.4447</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 152.0888</td>
<td>1.4447</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>159.07</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selden (Constr. only)</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Birchwood/Holbrook</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D 88.2632</td>
<td>0.8384</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T 88.2632</td>
<td>0.8384</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>92.67</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Windwatch</td>
<td>13.20%</td>
<td>% of Use</td>
<td>D N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>593.00</td>
<td>155.68</td>
<td>$ 148.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>311 - Residential Vacant Land</td>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>3195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>3410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2835</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2835</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCHOGUE</td>
<td>11772</td>
<td>411 - Apartments other than Condo &amp; Co-ops</td>
<td>203550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCHOGUE</td>
<td>11772</td>
<td>330 - Commercial Vacant Land</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCHOGUE</td>
<td>11772</td>
<td>311 - Residential Vacant Land</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDFORD</td>
<td>11763</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLPORT</td>
<td>11713</td>
<td>210 - One Family Year-Round Residence</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode = 2600
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2017</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$19,913.27</td>
<td>$18,846.15</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2018</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$20,663.84</td>
<td>$9,047.79</td>
<td>$29,711.63</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2019</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$21,442.71</td>
<td>$8,658.35</td>
<td>$28,851.75</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2020</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$22,250.94</td>
<td>$8,254.24</td>
<td>$29,654.67</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2021</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$23,089.63</td>
<td>$7,834.90</td>
<td>$19,414.62</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$23,959.93</td>
<td>$7,399.75</td>
<td>$30,737.55</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2023</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$24,883.03</td>
<td>$6,948.19</td>
<td>$19,437.47</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2024</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$25,800.18</td>
<td>$6,479.62</td>
<td>$32,180.45</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2025</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$26,772.65</td>
<td>$6,993.39</td>
<td>$33,271.26</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2026</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$27,781.77</td>
<td>$5,488.83</td>
<td>$32,380.60</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2027</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$28,828.93</td>
<td>$4,965.25</td>
<td>$33,794.18</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2028</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$29,915.56</td>
<td>$4,421.93</td>
<td>$34,337.49</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2029</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$31,043.14</td>
<td>$3,858.14</td>
<td>$34,901.28</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2030</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$32,213.23</td>
<td>$3,273.09</td>
<td>$35,486.33</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2031</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$33,427.42</td>
<td>$2,666.00</td>
<td>$36,093.42</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2032</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$34,687.38</td>
<td>$2,036.02</td>
<td>$36,723.40</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2033</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$35,994.83</td>
<td>$1,382.30</td>
<td>$37,377.12</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2034</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$37,351.56</td>
<td>$703.93</td>
<td>$38,055.49</td>
<td>$38,759.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Amount to Bond: | $500,000.00 | $197,669.59 | $697,669.59 | $697,669.59 |
Appendix ‘C’

Improvement Financial Plan

CP 8150
Improvement Financial Plan

The operating budget establishes the charges within Sewer District No. 7. This information and the cost estimates and debt repayment schedules allow a full value rate and rate increase to be established.

**Infrastructure Improvements CP 8150**

2015 funds
Financed at 4% and 18 years
Total District AV

= $0.5 million
= $7,514,032

Mode Value of parcels

= $2,600

2015 Rate per $1,000 Assessed Value
2015 payment per typical property
Projected 2017 rate at 3% increase per year

= $209.9771
= $545.94
= $222.76/$1,000 AV

Annual Payment in 2017 with unchanged mode
@ 3% per year

= $222.76 x $2.6
= $579.19

Payment for CP 8150 without ASRF in 2017
Annual Increase without ASRF
Increase per year with ASRF subsidy over 2 year period

= $38,759/year
= $13.41
= $16.63
Appendix 'D'

Improvement Timeline

CP 8150
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Final Design Phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Final Design In-Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Final Design Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Financial Approval on Final Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Construction Phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Advertise &amp; Construction Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SD 7 – Boundary Map
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution X Local Law  Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   A resolution making certain findings and determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150).

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To make certain findings and determinations for the wastewater treatment system improvements for SCSD No. 7 – Medford.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?
   Yes _______ No _____

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)
   County  Town  Economic Impact
   Village  School District  Other (Specify):
   Library District  Fire District  Sewer District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   $500,000 will be funded by the District residents using sewer district serial bonds, however, there will be no fiscal impact due to the use of the ASRF.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   Sewer District Serial Bonds supported by the ASRF

9. Timing of Impact
   2016-2035

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Ben Wright, P.E.
    Principal Civil Engineer
    Sanitation

11. Signature of Preparer
    [Signature]

12. Date
    10/20/15

SCIN FORM 175B (10/95)
ga-bw10-20-15 Backup-DPW 175B sd7 Medford Findings CP 8150
## GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL – Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150).

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL – Making certain findings and determinations in relation to a public hearing as a prerequisite to requesting appropriations.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS – Provides the findings of the public hearing on an improvement project and costs for SCSD #7 – Medford. Meets the requirements of NYS County Law, Article 5-A.

JUSTIFICATION – The findings of the public hearing will allow appropriations to be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS – Sewer district costs are stabilized by the ASRF. The annual increase of 3% is approximately $8.32 per typical property.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
SUBJECT: A Resolution Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150)

DATE: October 20, 2015

Attached is a draft resolution, SCIN Form 175a and 175b, and backup for the referenced capital project filed as Reso DPW CP 8150 - SD 7 – Medford Improvements 10-20-15 and backup filed as Backup-DPW CP 8150 - SD 7 – Medford Improvements 10-20-15 for the findings resolution of the increase and improvement of Sewer District No. 7 – Medford. The improvement project includes improvements to the sewer system. The total cost associated with the project is $500,000 which uses sewer district serial bonds.

We appreciate the resolution being laid on the table following the public hearing on November 17th such that the appropriations can be adopted in 2015.

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
    Lynne Bizzarro, Esq., Chief Deputy County Attorney
    Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
    Charles Jaquin, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
    Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
    John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
    Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
    CE Reso Review
    ga-bw10-20-15 Backup-DPW sd7 - Medford Findings CP 8150 memo to JSchneider.doc
RESOLUTION NO. 510-2012, MAKING A SEQRA DETERMINATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS TO SEWER DISTRICT NO. 7 - MEDFORD (CP 8150), TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has reviewed a project designated as the "Proposed Improvements to Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150), Town of Brookhaven", pursuant to Section 6 of Local Law No. 22-1985 which project involves replacing the portions of the sewage conveyance system prior to emergency response or failure; and

WHEREAS, at its May 16, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works in connection with this project; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ recommended that the above activity be considered a Type II action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20) and (27) and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ has advised the County Legislature and the County Executive by memo dated May 16, 2012 of said recommendations; and

WHEREAS, Section 450-5(H) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE requires the Presiding Officer to introduce legislation for an appropriate SEQRA determination; and

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Legislature has reviewed the EAF and the CEQ recommendations; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby determines that the Proposed Improvements to Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150), Town of Brookhaven constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20) and (27) and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, as the project involves the repair and/or replacement of facilities in-kind; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution shall be filed with the Suffolk County Clerk, the initiating unit of said project, and with the CEQ; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section C1-4(1)(d) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER and Section 450-5(C)(4) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE, the CEQ is hereby directed to prepare and circulate a SEQRA notice of determination of non-significance in accordance with this Resolution.

DATED: JUN 19 2012

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: 6-28-2012
This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on June 19, 2012 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.

Tim Laube
Clerk of the Legislature
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, A RESOLUTION MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ISSUING AN ORDER IN RELATION TO THE INCREASE AND IMPROVEMENT OF FACILITIES FOR SEWER DISTRICT NO. 16 – YAPHANK (CP 8158)

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York County Law Section 268, the Suffolk County Sewer Agency has prepared and submitted to the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates pertaining to an increase and improvement to facilities at Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank; and

WHEREAS, such maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates addressed increases and improvements related to, among other things, improvements to the sewer system for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank; and

WHEREAS, these maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates accompanied Suffolk County Resolution No. 843-2015 and are attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank is $250,000 per year from 2015 to 2017; and

WHEREAS, all connections within the sewer district are County owned buildings; and

WHEREAS, this cost will be financed utilizing sewer district serial bonds but there will be no fiscal impact caused to the benefited properties in said District as a direct result of the cost of the increases and improvements inasmuch as, pursuant to the ASRF, all property owners in Suffolk County sewer districts experience a 3% annual increase regardless of any increases or improvements performed; and

WHEREAS, in the case of the property owners in Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank, the annual 3% ASRF increase will result in an increase of approximately $0.09 per gallon per day within the District, regardless of the increase or improvement to facilities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Suffolk County Resolution No. 843-2015 and New York County Law Section 254, the Clerk of the Legislature did duly cause a Notice of Public Hearing regarding such increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank to be published at least once in each of the official newspapers of the County and proof thereof has been presented to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such Notice of Public Hearing, a public hearing was held by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk in Hauppauge, New York in said County on November 17, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., Prevailing Time; and
WHEREAS, said County Legislature has duly considered the map, plan, recommendations, and estimate of cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank, as well as evidence given at the public hearing held on November 17, 2015; now therefore be it

1st  RESOLVED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York, as follows:

Section 1. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs filed with the Legislature of the County of Suffolk and attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A, it is hereby found and determined that the total cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank shall be set at a maximum of $250,000 for each year including 2015, 2016, and 2017, which shall be financed using sewer district serial bonds supported by the ASRF.

Section 2. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs it is hereby found and determined that the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank, as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, are necessary, convenient, and desirable.

Section 3. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, it is hereby further found and determined that the proposed work involving the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank is adequate and appropriate and the cost thereof will not constitute an undue burden on the properties in said District and that no properties in said District will be excluded from the benefit of the increases and improvements.

Section 4. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and cost estimates, it is further found and determined that it is in the public interest to expend a maximum amount of $250,000 in each year including 2015 through 2017 on the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs. The district only includes County buildings with the cost basis being based on water use. The 2015 rate of $3.00 per gallon per day will be increased by 3% per year or $0.09 per gallon per day.

2nd  RESOLVED, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk as follows:

Section 1. The increase and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank, as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

Section 2. Upon the effective date of this Resolution and Order, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank are hereby authorized and directed to carry out the increases
and improvements to said District as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A.

Section 3. The Clerk of this Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution and Order to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk, New York, within ten days of the effective date of this Resolution and Order in accordance with the provisions of New York County Law Section 259.

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5 (c)(20) and (27) as the proposal involves the adoption of regulations, policies, procedures, and local legislative decisions in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management.

4th RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
Exhibit 'A'
Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
Suffolk County Legislature
725 Veterans Memorial Hwy
Smithtown, NY 11787

RE: Proposed Increase and Improvement to the Facilities of Suffolk County Sewer District
No. 16 – Yaphank (CP 8158)

Presiding Officer Gregory:

In connection with the above captioned matter, I herewith submit to you a report together with the recommendations relative thereto. Pursuant to Article 5-A of New York State County Law, we have requested a resolution calling for a public hearing. The total cost of this project is $750,000 with $250,000 per year between 2015 and 2017. Sewer District Serial Bonds are the means of financing this project. The Sewer District Serial Bonds would be appropriated in 2015. Due to the potential to use the ASRF, the impact could be reduced to 3% level. We conclude that due to the municipal district, there is no typical property and the notification to the State Comptroller is all that is required.

If you wish any further information or details, please contact John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Division of Sanitation, at 852-4204.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner
Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
Peter Scully, Deputy County Executive
Joanne Minieri, Commissioner of Economic Development
Debra Kolker, Principal Financial Analyst
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Robert Braun, Esq., Deputy Bureau Chief
Suffolk County Legislators
Philip Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, Public Works
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
ga-bw8-16-15 ad16-Yaphank CP 8158 Ltr to SC Leg DGregory
County of Suffolk
Department of Public Works

Suffolk County Sewer Agency
Report, Map, and Recommendations for
Proposed Rehabilitation and Improvement
of
SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 16 - Yaphank Municipal
SEWER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS
CP 8158

AUGUST 2015
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INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Chapter 254 of the County Law and by Resolutions No. 494-1965 and No. 518-1965, the Suffolk County Board of Supervisors formed the Suffolk County Sewer Agency in September 1965. The County Legislature, as the successors to the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 407-1970, authorized the Chairman of the Agency to execute agreements with subdividers and developers. Thereafter, by Resolution No. 212-1973, the County Legislature again directed the Agency, with the assistance of the Department of Environmental Control acting as its staff, to prepare the necessary maps, plans, specifications and other relevant material for the formation, extension or improvement of County sewer districts.

Therefore, in accordance with those resolutions and pursuant to Article 5A of the County Law, the Agency has caused the Department of Public Works, as the successors to the Department of Environmental Control, to prepare and submit the necessary information and data relating to the proposed improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank-Municipal

DISTRICT POPULATION

Since the district only contains the existing treatment plant within its boundary, there will be no residents in the district, with only County buildings being served.

GENERAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

The district is situated in the south central portion of the Town of Brookhaven in the hamlet of Yaphank. It is bounded on the north, west and south by vacant land, and on the east by County buildings. Refer to Figure No. 1.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

The wastewater treatment plant, which has been operated and maintained by DPW since it was built in 1974 and has an average monthly flow of 98,000 gpd and a twelve month peak flow of 160,000 gpd. The wastewater treatment plant was designed and constructed to accommodate 250,000 gpd. The 15.± acre site is sufficient to accommodate future flows of 1.0 MGD. An additional 10 Acres will be set aside for future expansion.

SEWER SYSTEM

The existing sewage conveyance system includes approximately 3 miles of gravity sewers leading to a main pumping station on the treatment plant site. The sewers range in size from 8”- 30” in diameter being consistent with the future plant flow of up to one million gallons per day. The system was installed during the mid-1970’s with some deterioration taking place and requiring rehabilitation. This project is to rehabilitate portions of the gravity system that have experienced these problems and have led to
emergency response and overtime costs in the past. The project is expected to span three years with funding available during 2015 through 2017. Engineering assistance is not necessary, however, a combination of bid projects with contractor assistance and in-house construction will take place.

CAPITAL COSTS

$250,000 has been included in each year (2015-2017). The total of $750,000 is to repair and renovate the collection system piping, manholes, and other appurtenances in order to extend the useful life of the system and meet all regulatory requirements.

ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVAL

The project involves rehabilitating the sewer system is place and, therefore, pursuant to NYCR 617.5(c)(2) projects that are “replacement, rehabilitation, or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in-kind, on the same site” are Type II Actions under SEQRA.

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND FUNDING

No grants have been awarded for this project nor applications made for low interest loans due to the minor cost associated with implementation.

PROPOSED FINANCIAL PLAN & COST TO USERS

1) Existing and Proposed User Charges
Pursuant to the requirements of participation in the Suffolk County Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund, which was established by Suffolk County Charter, bills are increased by at least 3% per year in order for the participating districts to be eligible to access the Reserve Fund. Funding from the Suffolk County Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund then permits these participating districts to stabilize annual costs. When the existing district experiences the 3% increase, then the benefit charge can be kept at 3%/year. The maximum payment year for the SD #16 project is 2019 and would have a rate in 2019 of $3.38/gpd with use of the ASRF. The annual increase would be $0.09 per gallon per day in 2016 & 2017 and $0.10 per gallon per day in 2018 & 2019. This 3% increase is based on the use of the ASRF as indicated in Exhibit ‘B’. Exhibit ‘C’ includes a debt service schedule for the financing conditions referred to above and budget information. It is anticipated that during the first year of the composite project that the payment will be made in November of that year which is anticipated to be 2018 and the maximum year payment which is 2019 would include interest and principal payments for a total amount of $58,159. The project schedule (Exhibit ‘D’) provides that construction will be initiated during 2016.

2) Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs and Charges
No increase to Operating and Maintenance costs due to the proposed improvements is anticipated.
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
As has been indicated above, the District's sewage conveyance facilities are in need of the recommended improvements. The current condition is inefficient due to the need for an emergency response to failure and the use of overtime labor or contractor service at a premium cost. Implementation of the project will reduce the cost of emergency response to failure.

I respectfully recommend that authorization be given to proceed immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner of Public Works
and Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District
No. 16 – Yaphank
EXHIBIT A

Current Charges - Budget
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplies, Materials and Other Expenses</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Equipment</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$120,000 $300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Flow (gallons per day)</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per gpd</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT B

Level Debt Summary
# Level Debt Summary

## Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Fiscal Debt Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$19,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$38,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$58,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$58,140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Suffolk County

**SD# 16 - Yaphank Municipal**

**Level Debt**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2017</td>
<td>11,979.96</td>
<td>$9,956.63</td>
<td>$4,523.99</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2018</td>
<td>12,431.52</td>
<td>$10,331.92</td>
<td>$4,523.89</td>
<td>$14,855.82</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2019</td>
<td>12,900.09</td>
<td>$10,721.36</td>
<td>$4,329.18</td>
<td>$15,050.53</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2020</td>
<td>11,544.81</td>
<td>$11,125.47</td>
<td>$4,127.12</td>
<td>$15,252.59</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2021</td>
<td>11,979.96</td>
<td>$11,544.81</td>
<td>$3,917.45</td>
<td>$15,462.26</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>12,900.09</td>
<td>$11,979.96</td>
<td>$3,699.87</td>
<td>$15,679.84</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2023</td>
<td>12,431.52</td>
<td>$12,431.52</td>
<td>$3,474.10</td>
<td>$15,905.61</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2024</td>
<td>12,900.09</td>
<td>$12,900.09</td>
<td>$3,239.81</td>
<td>$16,139.90</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2025</td>
<td>13,386.32</td>
<td>$12,900.09</td>
<td>$2,996.69</td>
<td>$16,383.02</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2026</td>
<td>13,890.89</td>
<td>$13,386.32</td>
<td>$2,744.41</td>
<td>$16,134.73</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2027</td>
<td>14,414.46</td>
<td>$13,890.89</td>
<td>$2,482.62</td>
<td>$16,635.51</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2028</td>
<td>14,957.78</td>
<td>$14,414.46</td>
<td>$2,210.97</td>
<td>$17,168.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2029</td>
<td>15,521.57</td>
<td>$14,957.78</td>
<td>$2,109.07</td>
<td>$17,156.84</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2030</td>
<td>16,106.62</td>
<td>$15,521.57</td>
<td>$1,929.07</td>
<td>$17,450.64</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2031</td>
<td>16,713.71</td>
<td>$16,106.62</td>
<td>$1,636.55</td>
<td>$17,743.16</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2032</td>
<td>17,343.69</td>
<td>$16,713.71</td>
<td>$1,333.00</td>
<td>$18,046.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2033</td>
<td>17,997.41</td>
<td>$17,343.69</td>
<td>$1,018.01</td>
<td>$18,361.70</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2034</td>
<td>18,675.78</td>
<td>$17,997.41</td>
<td>$691.15</td>
<td>$18,688.56</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term of Bonds</th>
<th>Amount to Bond:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total | $98,834.80 | $348,834.80 | $348,834.80 |
### Suffolk County
SD# 16 Yaphank
Level Debt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2018</td>
<td>$9,956.63</td>
<td>$9,423.08</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>$10,331.92</td>
<td>$4,523.89</td>
<td>$14,855.82</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>$10,721.36</td>
<td>$4,329.18</td>
<td>$15,050.53</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>$11,125.47</td>
<td>$4,127.12</td>
<td>$15,252.59</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td>$11,544.81</td>
<td>$3,917.45</td>
<td>$15,462.26</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td>$11,979.96</td>
<td>$3,699.87</td>
<td>$15,679.84</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>$12,431.52</td>
<td>$3,474.10</td>
<td>$15,905.61</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td>$12,900.09</td>
<td>$3,239.81</td>
<td>$16,139.90</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td>$13,386.32</td>
<td>$2,996.69</td>
<td>$16,383.02</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td>$13,890.89</td>
<td>$2,744.41</td>
<td>$16,635.30</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2028</td>
<td>$14,414.46</td>
<td>$2,482.62</td>
<td>$16,897.09</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2029</td>
<td>$14,957.78</td>
<td>$2,210.97</td>
<td>$17,168.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2030</td>
<td>$15,521.57</td>
<td>$1,929.07</td>
<td>$17,450.64</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2031</td>
<td>$16,106.62</td>
<td>$1,636.55</td>
<td>$17,743.16</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2032</td>
<td>$16,713.71</td>
<td>$1,333.00</td>
<td>$18,046.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2033</td>
<td>$17,343.69</td>
<td>$1,018.01</td>
<td>$18,361.70</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2034</td>
<td>$17,997.41</td>
<td>$691.15</td>
<td>$18,688.56</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2035</td>
<td>$18,675.78</td>
<td>$351.97</td>
<td>$19,027.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| $250,000.00 | $98,834.80 | $348,834.80 | $348,834.80 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>$9,956.63</td>
<td>$9,423.08</td>
<td>$4,523.89</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>$10,331.92</td>
<td>$4,523.89</td>
<td>$4,329.18</td>
<td>$15,050.53</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>$10,721.36</td>
<td>$4,329.18</td>
<td>$4,127.12</td>
<td>$3,917.45</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td>$11,125.47</td>
<td>$4,127.12</td>
<td>$3,917.45</td>
<td>$15,262.59</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td>$11,544.81</td>
<td>$3,917.45</td>
<td>$3,699.87</td>
<td>$15,462.26</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>$11,979.96</td>
<td>$3,699.87</td>
<td>$3,474.10</td>
<td>$15,679.84</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td>$12,431.52</td>
<td>$3,474.10</td>
<td>$3,239.81</td>
<td>$15,905.61</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td>$12,900.09</td>
<td>$3,239.81</td>
<td>$3,096.69</td>
<td>$16,139.90</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td>$13,386.32</td>
<td>$2,996.69</td>
<td>$2,744.41</td>
<td>$16,383.02</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2028</td>
<td>$13,890.89</td>
<td>$2,744.41</td>
<td>$2,482.62</td>
<td>$16,635.30</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2029</td>
<td>$14,414.46</td>
<td>$2,482.62</td>
<td>$2,210.97</td>
<td>$16,979.09</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2030</td>
<td>$14,957.78</td>
<td>$2,210.97</td>
<td>$2,198.07</td>
<td>$17,168.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2031</td>
<td>$15,521.57</td>
<td>$1,929.07</td>
<td>$1,929.07</td>
<td>$17,409.54</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2032</td>
<td>$16,106.62</td>
<td>$1,636.55</td>
<td>$1,636.55</td>
<td>$17,433.16</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2033</td>
<td>$16,713.71</td>
<td>$1,333.00</td>
<td>$1,333.00</td>
<td>$18,046.71</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2034</td>
<td>$17,343.69</td>
<td>$1,018.01</td>
<td>$1,018.01</td>
<td>$18,361.70</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2035</td>
<td>$17,997.41</td>
<td>$691.15</td>
<td>$691.15</td>
<td>$18,688.56</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2036</td>
<td>$18,675.78</td>
<td>$351.97</td>
<td>$351.97</td>
<td>$19,027.74</td>
<td>$19,379.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$250,000.00 $98,834.80 $348,834.80 $348,834.80
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2019</td>
<td>$29,869.90</td>
<td>$28,269.23</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2020</td>
<td>$30,995.77</td>
<td>$13,571.68</td>
<td>$44,567.45</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2021</td>
<td>$32,164.07</td>
<td>$12,987.53</td>
<td>$45,151.60</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td>$33,376.41</td>
<td>$12,381.36</td>
<td>$45,757.77</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2023</td>
<td>$34,634.44</td>
<td>$11,752.35</td>
<td>$46,386.79</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2024</td>
<td>$35,939.89</td>
<td>$11,099.62</td>
<td>$47,039.51</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2025</td>
<td>$37,294.55</td>
<td>$10,422.29</td>
<td>$47,716.84</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2026</td>
<td>$38,700.27</td>
<td>$9,719.43</td>
<td>$48,419.70</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2027</td>
<td>$40,158.97</td>
<td>$8,990.06</td>
<td>$49,149.05</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2028</td>
<td>$41,672.66</td>
<td>$8,233.24</td>
<td>$49,905.89</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2029</td>
<td>$43,243.39</td>
<td>$7,447.87</td>
<td>$50,691.26</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2030</td>
<td>$44,873.34</td>
<td>$6,632.90</td>
<td>$51,506.23</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2031</td>
<td>$46,564.72</td>
<td>$5,787.21</td>
<td>$52,351.92</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2032</td>
<td>$48,319.85</td>
<td>$4,909.64</td>
<td>$53,229.49</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2033</td>
<td>$50,141.13</td>
<td>$3,999.00</td>
<td>$54,140.13</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2034</td>
<td>$52,031.07</td>
<td>$3,054.03</td>
<td>$55,085.10</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2035</td>
<td>$53,992.24</td>
<td>$2,073.45</td>
<td>$56,065.69</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2036</td>
<td>$56,027.33</td>
<td>$1,055.90</td>
<td>$57,083.23</td>
<td>$58,139.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$750,000.00 $296,504.39 $1,046,504.39 $1,046,504.39
EXHIBIT C

Financial Plan
Improvement Financial Plan

The operating budget establishes the charges within Sewer District No. 16. This information and the cost estimates and debt repayment schedules allow a rate increase to be established.

**Infrastructure Improvements CP 8158**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015 funds</td>
<td>$0.25 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 funds</td>
<td>$0.25 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 funds</td>
<td>$0.25 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financed at 4% and 18 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum Repayment Year (Refer to Exhibit ‘B’)

2019 $58,159

2015 Rate per gallon per day (gpd) = $3.00

Projected 2019 rate at 3% increase per year/gpd with ASRF = $3.38

Projected Rate without ASRF in 2019 = $3.59/gpd*

*$58,159/year/98,000 gpd = $0.59/gpd + current rate of $3.00/gpd
Figure No. 1

Boundary Map
Figure No. 2

Sewer System
Figure No. 3

Schedule
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Final Design Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Final Design Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Final Design In-Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Financial Approval on Final Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Construction Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Advertise &amp; Construction Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution  X  Local Law  Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   A resolution making certain findings and determinations and issuing an order in relation to
   the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank (CP 8158).

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To make certain findings and determinations for the wastewater treatment system improvements for
   SCSID No. 16 – Yaphank.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  Yes  No  X

5. If the answer to Item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact?  (circle appropriate category)
   County  Town  Economic Impact
   Village  School District  Other (Specify): Sewer District
   Library District  Fire District

6. If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   $250,000 will be funded by the District residents using sewer district serial bonds each year from
   2015 through 2017, however, there is no fiscal impact due to the use of the ASRF.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.
   No fiscal impact due to ASRF

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   Sewer District Serial Bonds and ASRF.

9. Timing of Impact
   2015-2034

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Ben Wright, P.E.
    Principal Civil Engineer
    Sanitation

11. Signature of Preparer
    Ben Wright

12. Date
    10/20/15
### FINANCIAL IMPACT
2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

#### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL – Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank (CP 8158).

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL – Making certain findings and determinations in relation to a public hearing as a prerequisite to requesting appropriations.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS – Provides the findings of the public hearing on an improvement project and costs for SCSD #16 – Yaphank. Meets the requirements of NYS County Law, Article 5-A.

JUSTIFICATION – The findings of the public hearing will allow appropriations to be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS – Sewer district costs are stabilized by the ASRF. The annual increase is approximately $0.09 per gallon per day.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
SUBJECT: A Resolution Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank (CP 8158)
DATE: October 20, 2015

Attached is a draft resolution, SCIN Form 175a and 175b, and backup for the referenced capital project filed as Reso DPW CP 8158 - SD 16 – Yaphank Improvements 10-20-15 and backup filed as Backup-DPW CP 8158 - SD 16 – Yaphank Improvements 10-20-15 for the findings resolution of the increase and improvement of Sewer District No. 16 – Yaphank. The improvement project includes improvements to the sewer system. Due to the fact that only County buildings are within the district, the costs are presented based on benefit or sewage flows. The total cost associated with the project is $250,000 each year in 2015, 2016, and 2017 which uses sewer district serial bonds supported by the ASRF.

We appreciate the resolution being laid on the table following the public hearing on November 17th such that the appropriations can be adopted in 2015.

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
Lynne Bizzarro, Esq., Chief Deputy County Attorney
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Charles Jaquin, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
CE Reso Review
ga-bwr10-20-15 Backup-DPW sd16 - Yaphank Findings CP 8158 memo to JSchneider.doc
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, A RESOLUTION MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ISSUING AN ORDER IN RELATION TO THE INCREASE AND IMPROVEMENT OF FACILITIES FOR SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1 – PORT JEFFERSON (CP 8169)

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York County Law Section 268, the Suffolk County Sewer Agency has prepared and submitted to the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates pertaining to an increase and improvement to facilities at Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson; and

WHEREAS, such maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates addressed increases and improvements related to, among other things, improvements to the sewer system for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson; and

WHEREAS, these maps, plans, recommendations and cost estimates accompanied Suffolk County Resolution No. 835-2015 and are attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson is $1.0 million; and

WHEREAS, this cost will be financed utilizing sewer district serial bonds but there will be no fiscal impact caused to the benefited properties in said District as a direct result of the cost of the increases and improvements inasmuch as, pursuant to the ASRF, all property owners in Suffolk County sewer districts experience a 3% annual increase regardless of any increases or improvements performed; and

WHEREAS, in the case of the property owners in Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson, the annual 3% ASRF increase will result in an increase of approximately $6.00 to the typical property within the District, regardless of the increase or improvement to facilities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Suffolk County Resolution No. 835-2015 and New York County Law Section 254, the Clerk of the Legislature did duly cause a Notice of Public Hearing regarding such increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson to be published at least once in each of the official newspapers of the County and proof thereof has been presented to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such Notice of Public Hearing, a public hearing was held by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk in Hauppauge, New York in said County on November 17, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., Prevailing Time; and

WHEREAS, said County Legislature has duly considered the map, plan, recommendations, and estimate of cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County.
County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson, as well as evidence given at the public hearing held on November 17, 2015; now therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk, New York, as follows:

Section 1. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs filed with the Legislature of the County of Suffolk and attached to this Resolution and Order as Exhibit A, it is hereby found and determined that the total cost for the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson shall be set at a maximum of $1.0 million, which shall be financed using sewer district serial bonds.

Section 2. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs it is hereby found and determined that the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson, as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, are necessary, convenient, and desirable.

Section 3. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs, it is hereby further found and determined that the proposed work involving the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson is adequate and appropriate and the cost thereof will not constitute an undue burden on the properties in said District and that no properties in said District will be excluded from the benefit of the increases and improvements.

Section 4. Upon evidence presented at the aforesaid public hearing and after due consideration of the aforesaid maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and cost estimates, it is further found and determined that it is in the public interest to expend a maximum amount of $1.0 million on the increases and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson as set forth in such maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs.

2nd RESOLVED, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Legislature of the County of Suffolk as follows:

Section 1. The increase and improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson, as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations, and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

Section 2. Upon the effective date of this Resolution and Order, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson are hereby authorized and directed to carry out the increases and improvements to said District as more particularly described in the maps, plans, reports, recommendations and estimate of costs attached as Exhibit A.

Section 3. The Clerk of this Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution and Order to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Suffolk, New York, within ten days of the effective date of this
Resolution and Order in accordance with the provisions of New York County Law Section 259.

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") Lead Agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5 (c)(20) and (27) as the proposal involves the adoption of regulations, policies, procedures, and local legislative decisions in connection with routine or continuing agency administration and management.

4th RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

_________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
County of Suffolk

Department of Public Works

Report and Recommendations

for the

Proposed Improvements to Facilities of

SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1 – PORT JEFFERSON

SEWER SYSTEM

(CP 8169 – IMPROVEMENTS)

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E.
COMMISSIONER

August 2015
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Summary

An essential process system at the Port Jefferson Wastewater Treatment Facility is the influent Headworks. The Headworks receives all sewage conveyed from the sewer district main pumping station located in the Village of Port Jefferson. The existing Headworks Building consists of screening devices which remove larger materials prior to and protecting the more sophisticated treatment process. Additional screening to satisfy high sewage flows and redundancy with updated equipment will be provided by this capital project. One ($1.0) million dollars is included in the 2015 Adopted Capital Program and Budget with the financial evaluation based on the use of the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund and stabilized rates. The increase in the typical property charge for 2015 would be approximately $6 regardless of this or any other capital projects and, therefore, there is no fiscal impact due to this project.

Introduction

In accordance with Article 5-A of New York State County Law and by Resolution Nos. 494-1965 and 518-1965, the Suffolk County Board of Supervisors formed the Suffolk County Sewer Agency in September 1965. The County Legislature, as the successors to the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 407-1970, authorized the Chairman of the Agency to execute agreements with developers. Thereafter, by Resolution No. 212-1973, the County Legislature again directed the Agency, with the assistance of the Department of Environmental Control acting as its staff, to prepare the necessary maps, plans, specifications, and other relevant material for the formation, extension or improvement of County Sewer Districts.

Therefore, in accordance with those resolutions and pursuant to Article 5A of the County Law, the Agency has caused the Department of Public Works, as the successors to the Department of Environmental Control, to prepare and herewith respectfully submit the necessary information and
data relating to the proposed improvements to the Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1 - Port Jefferson.

On January 1, 1970 the Port Jefferson Sewer District became Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1. The wastewater treatment facility is located on Beach Street at an elevated height and, therefore, all sewage is conveyed to that wastewater treatment facility by Pumping Station No. 1 which is located in the Village Park off Barnum Avenue.

**District Population**

The district’s sewage treatment plant receives an average daily flow of 0.75 million gallons per day (mgd). Using the standard average equivalent of 75 gallons per day per capita, this equates to a population of 10,000 people. This district is one established prior to December 27, 1977, when the EPA changed the manner of assessing district residents for their services. This district is paid for from ad valorem property tax assessments of the District residents and contractees to the District. This is discussed in greater detail below.

**General Boundary Description**

The District boundaries are shown on Figure No. 1. The District boundaries do not show the locations of any connectees to the district, but any additional rate increases to the District residents would also be assessed to any contractees/connectees. The District is located in the northwestern part of the Town of Brookhaven and within portions of the Village of Port Jefferson.

Since the time of the original construction, contractees to the District have constructed sewer extensions to service a wide array of locations outside of the district boundaries. In addition to the in-district connections, there have been approximately 260 additional out-of-district connections, the majority being a residential community. The largest flow contributors are the St. Charles and Mather Hospitals. This gallonage and equivalent population is included in the above-mentioned District Population and these contractees pay the District at the same rate as the in-district connections with an additional 5% charge for administrative fees.
Wastewater Treatment Facilities

The general wastewater treatment flow schematic includes the influent wastewater being pumped to the treatment plant site and going through a Headworks building where screens remove coarse materials followed by an equalization tank, the sequencing batch reactor process, post equalization and ultraviolet disinfection with discharge to Port Jefferson Harbor. The residuals or sludge are held in an aerated tank and processed by a gravity thickener which leads to the thickened sludge being transported to another facility for further treatment and disposal.

Technical & Environmental Considerations & Project Status

Refer to Exhibit ‘A’ for a capital project summary. Due to elevated sewage flows and the lack of redundancy in the Headworks Building, a new screen building is being proposed. The building will house two new screening devices which will remove the screening material and will be compacted and disposed of offsite. Exhibit ‘B’ includes the location of the 14’ x 25’ building between the existing Headworks Building and a primary clarifier and the south elevator. A photograph within that exhibit indicates the location where the building will be constructed. The general construction will include the concrete foundation with the building having exterior polyurethane panels old schoolhouse brick pattern along with the necessary HVAC and odor control devices. The system is being designed by Suffolk County DPW staff. Plans and specifications will be available in the near future and once financial approvals are gained, bidding can take place in accordance with General Municipal Law. Exhibit ‘C’ contains the application to the Council on Environmental Quality for SEQRA approval along with the CEQ’s determination of an Unlisted Action.

Project Schedule

The project has a schedule impacted by financing, engineering design, and regulatory approvals. A schedule is attached (Figure No. 2) indicating that the project will require 28 months to complete once the design is complete.

Improvement Costs

The project is estimated to cost one ($1.0) million dollars. The project elements include the items discussed
above and in Exhibit 'A' with approximately 45% of the cost related to the building and 55% to equipment.

**Plan Implementation and Funding**

There is not expectation of receipt of any subsidy funds from the federal or state government for this capital improvement. The annual operation and maintenance cost and charges and the operation and maintenance budget for the facility will not be changed due to the construction of the new screen facility. Conventional financing with subsidy from the ASRF is to be used.

**Proposed Financial Plan and Cost to Homeowner**

The estimated construction cost for the rehabilitation project is $1.0 million. The project will be subsidized by the ASRF for any funding above an annual 3% increase to the service area users. Exhibit 'D' includes the district value, typical property (mode) and existing rate. Exhibit 'E' is the level debt and summary of increased rate. The evaluation that took place (See Exhibit 'E') includes the impact of the project if conventional financing using district serial bonds that is contained in the Adopted Capital Program and Budget took place as compared to the stabilization of the sewer district rates by the Assessment Stabilization Reserve Fund. The evaluation indicates that financing is at 4% over 18 years using district serial bonds which would increase the typical property annual charge for approximately $19. The use of the ASRF, however, caps the annual increase to 3% and indicates that the cost per typical property will be increased by approximately $6.00 each year. The 2015 rate is $200 and that rate would increase to $206 by 2016.

**Recommendations, Comments and Findings**

This project should be implemented due to the reliability and efficiency that is necessary to process improvements at the Port Jefferson WWTP. It is expected that operation and maintenance costs could be reduced by the project but cannot be quantified while redundancy and improved protection of processes downstream of the influent Headworks will take place. Therefore, I respectfully request the recommended project receive authorization immediately.
Respectfully submitted,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner of the Suffolk County
Department of Public Works, Chairman of the Suffolk County Sewer Agency and Administrative Head of All Suffolk County Sewer Districts
EXHIBIT A

CP 8169 Summary
The existing wastewater treatment facility for Sewer District No. 1 - Port Jefferson includes a Headworks Building that provides a screening system to remove coarse materials. Prior to entering the more sophisticated treatment process, it is important that these materials be removed such that damage and interference with the biological treatment process does not take place. Due to elevated sewage flows and the lack of redundancy, a project is proposed to construct an additional screen building with two screening devices. The new system would provide redundancy and a capacity necessary to screen all flows entering the facility.

The new building is 14' x 25' and will be placed between an existing Headworks Building and a primary clarifier. The access to the new building will be from the existing Headworks Building along with a rollup door for the removal of screening materials. Due to the influent sewage, potential for odors, the heating ventilation and air conditioning system along with odor control will be provided with the new building. The building will be constructed in a fashion that will blend in with the existing buildings having the same pattern of brick facing and height similar to the existing building.
EXHIBIT B

Influent Screen Building
EXHIBIT C

SEQRA
Exhibit 'C'
SEQRA

Under the State Environmental Quality Review Act Environmental Conservational Law Article 8, "Unlisted action" means all actions not identified as a Type I or Type II action. The construction of the Screen Building structure on the WWTP site was not determined to be a Type I or Type II Action. The attached Council of Environmental Quality memorandum recommends this project be an Unlisted action with a negative declaration.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive
    Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer

FROM: Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE: August 24, 2015

RE: CEQ Review of the Proposed Improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District #1 – Port Jefferson – CP8169, Town of Brookhaven, Village of Port Jefferson

At its August 19, 2015 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Ben Wright, Principal Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 32-2015, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered an Unlisted Action under SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment.

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment (negative declaration). However, if the Legislature has further environmental concerns regarding this project and needs additional information, the Presiding Officer should remand the case back to the initiating unit for the necessary changes to the project and EAF or submit a resolution authorizing the initiating unit to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (positive declaration).

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 32-2015 which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators
    Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature
    George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature
    Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning, Department of Economic Development and Planning
    Andrew Frelen, Chief Planner, Department of Economic Development and Planning
    Dennis Brown, Suffolk County Attorney
CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 32-2015, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A
SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF
CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE PURPOSED
IMPROVEMENTS TO SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT #1 – PORT
JEFFERSON – CP8169, TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN, VILLAGE OF PORT
JEFFERSON

WHEREAS, at its August 19, 2015 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on
Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by the
Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Ben
Wright, Principal Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the proposed action is for the installation of additional screening to be
contained within a new approximately 14 foot by 25 foot building located between an existing
Headworks Building and a settling tank at the Suffolk County Sewer District # 1 wastewater
treatment facility in Port Jefferson; and

WHEREAS, the additional screening will satisfy high sewage flows and provide
redundancy at the wastewater treatment facility; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the
CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the
proposed project be classified as an Unlisted Action under the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part
617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and, be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that based on the information received, a quorum of the CEQ
recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Title 6
NYCRR Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the proposed action will not
have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

1. The proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria in 6 NYCRR, Section 617.7,
which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment as
demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;

2. The proposal does not significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable
environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental
Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter and Code;

3. The parcel does not appear to suffer from any severe environmental development
constraints (limiting soil properties, high groundwater or unmanageable slopes);

4. the proposal will provide the wastewater treatment plant with increased redundancy
and ability to handle high sewage flows;

5. the proposed building will be similar in height and will be built with similar
construction materials to the existing facilities at the wastewater treatment facility;
3rd RESOLVED, that it is the recommendation of the Council that the Legislature and County Executive adopt a SEQRA determination of non-significance (negative declaration).

DATED: 8/19/2015
RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS</th>
<th>AYE</th>
<th>NAY</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
<th>NOT PRESENT</th>
<th>RECUSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James Bagg</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva Growney</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas C. Gulbransen</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Kara Hahn</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Kaufman</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Pichney</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria G. Russo</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Ann Spencer</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Swanson</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAC REPRESENTATIVES

| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

Recommendation: Untitled Action, Negative Declaration

Motion: Mr. Kaufman
Second: Mr. Gulbransen

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner
Council on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 6100
Hauppauge, New York 11788
Tel: (631) 853-5191
TO: Gloria Russo, Chairperson  
       Council on Environmental Quality
FROM: Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer
SUBJECT: SD #1 – Port Jefferson, CP 8169
DATE: July 21, 2015

Attached is a short EAF for the referenced sewer district. The wastewater treatment facility located on Beach Street in Port Jefferson has an influent Headworks Building consisting of screening. Additional screening to satisfy high sewage flows and redundancy with updated equipment is to be provided by this capital project. A building approximately 14’x 25’ will be situated between an existing Headworks Building and a settling tank. The building will contain two cylindrical screening devices. The building will have a foundation and will be similar construction materials as the existing facilities. The available funds in the 2015 Capital Program and Budget are $1 million and once a public hearing is held and SEQRA is approved, those appropriations can be made available.

We appreciate the project being placed on your upcoming CEQ meeting.

BW:mi
Attachment
cc: John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer
    Ron Warren, Director of Operation & Maintenance
    John Corral, Planner

bw7-21-15 sd1 Port Jefferson (CP 8169) CEQ memo to GRusso
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL – Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson (CP 8169).

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL – Making certain findings and determinations in relation to a public hearing as a prerequisite to requesting appropriations.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS – Provides the findings of the public hearing on an improvement project and costs for SCSD #1 – Port Jefferson. Meets the requirements of NYS County Law, Article 5-A.

JUSTIFICATION – The findings of the public hearing will allow appropriations to be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS – Sewer district costs are stabilized by the ASRF. The annual increase of 3% is approximately $6.00 per typical property.
**SUFFOLK COUNTY**
**SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM**
6 NYCRR Part 617
State Environmental Quality Review

Instructions: The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current available information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

### Part 1 – Project and Sponsor Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Action/Project:</th>
<th>CP 8169 - SD 1 - Port Jefferson - Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Location (include map):</td>
<td>SCSD # 1 - Port Jefferson WWTP, Beach Street, Port Jefferson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose, intent and the environmental resources that may be affected):</td>
<td>Construct screen building (14’ x 25’) between treatment processes. See picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Applicant/Project Sponsor:</td>
<td>Suffolk County Department of Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ben.wright@suffolkcountyny.gov">ben.wright@suffolkcountyny.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone #:</td>
<td>(631) 852-4184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>335 Yaphank Avenue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| City/P.O.: | Yaphank | State: | NY | Zip Code: | 11980 |

1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule or regulation?  
   If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If No, continue to question 2.  
   | Yes ☐| No ☒ |

2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental agency?  
   If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:  
   Received SPDES Permit from NYSDEC.  
   | Yes ☒| No ☐ |

3a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action: 5.47

3b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed: .008

3c. Total acreage (project site and contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor: 5.47

4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action:  
   ☒ Industrial  
   ☐ Urban  
   ☐ Forest  
   ☐ Parkland  
   ☐ Agriculture  
   ☐ Rural (non-agriculture)  
   ☐ Aquatic  
   ☐ Commercial  
   ☒ Residential (suburban)  
   ☒ Other: wastewater treatment facility
5a. Is the proposed action a permitted use under the zoning regulations? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
5b. Is the proposed action consistent with an adopted comprehensive plan? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

Is the site of the proposed action located in, or adjoining a state listed Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, identify CEA: ___

8a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels? Yes ☒ No ☐
8b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action? Yes ☒ No ☐
8c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action? Yes ☒ No ☐

9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: ___

10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

If Yes, does the existing system have capacity to provide service? Yes ☐ No ☒

If No, describe method for providing potable water: Project is on site connected to water supply

11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

If Yes, does the existing system have capacity to provide service? Yes ☐ No ☒

If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: Project is part of a wastewater treatment plant.

12a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places or dedicated to the Suffolk County Historic Trust? Yes ☒ No ☐

12b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area? Yes ☒ No ☐

13a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? Yes ☒ No ☐
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13b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?  

Yes ☐ No ☑

If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site (check all that apply):

☐ Shoreline  ☐ Forest  ☐ Agricultural/grasslands  ☐ Early/mid-successional

☐ Wetland  ☒ Urban  ☐ Suburban

15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?  

Yes ☐ No ☑

16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?  

Yes ☐ No ☑

17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?  

If Yes, 

a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?  

Yes ☐ No ☐

b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?  

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, describe:

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?  

Yes ☐ No ☑

If Yes, explain size and purpose:

19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?  

Yes ☐ No ☑

If Yes, describe:

20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?  

Yes ☐ No ☑

If Yes, describe:

I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Applicant/Sponsor Name: Ben Wright  

Date: 07/21/2015

Signature: [Signature]
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### Part 2 – Impact Assessment (To be completed by Lead Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and fail to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Will the proposed action impact existing public/private water supplies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Will the proposed action impact existing public/private wastewater treatment utilities?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 3 – Determination of Significance
The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts. Attach additional pages as necessary.

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required. (Positive Declaration)

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts. (Negative Declaration)

Name of Lead Agency

Date

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Title of Responsible Officer

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)
EXHIBIT D

Full Value - District, Mode and Existing Rate
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5200</td>
<td>SET29 - PT JEFF 1977A EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>SET29 - PT JEFF 1977A EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4025</td>
<td>SET29 - PT JEFF 1977A EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3425</td>
<td>SET29 - PT JEFF 1977A EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4750</td>
<td>SET29 - PT JEFF 1977A EXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3755</td>
<td>SET29 - PT JEFF 1977A EXT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14831322  Total AV

3500  Mode Value
## SEWER DISTRICT RATES - 2015 ADOPTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Per 1000</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Per 1000</th>
<th>PER 1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Port Jefferson</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67,106</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>67,106</td>
<td>0.5424</td>
<td>0.5424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SCRAMGTY HILL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67,106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Jefferson (Constr. only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,0880</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>3,0880</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallmadge Woods</td>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>602.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>632,1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>158.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest - Ileip</td>
<td>13.20%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,2800</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>14,2800</td>
<td>1.9100</td>
<td>1.9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest - Babylon</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>1.9100</td>
<td>1.9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest - Per Parcel Charge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>35.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest - User Fee</td>
<td>Per SFE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>155.40</td>
<td>38.85</td>
<td>$ 37.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>719.25</td>
<td>179.81</td>
<td>171.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smithtown Galleria</td>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>Per SFE</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>665.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Strath (Zone A)</td>
<td>86.00%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>182,7027</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>182,7027</td>
<td>1.6281</td>
<td>1.6281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Strath (Zone B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings Park</td>
<td>1.37%</td>
<td>Per 1000</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,5989</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>10,5989</td>
<td>0.1452</td>
<td>0.1452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 real contractees=30.77/ptr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 comm contractees=123.07/yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,5989</td>
<td>0.1452</td>
<td>126.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT E

Level Debt ($1.0 million) and Summary of Increased Rate
Level Debt ($1.0 million) and Summary of Increased Rate

The existing rate information and the cost estimates and level debt repayment schedules could allow a full value rate and rate increase to be established. Due to the use of the ASRF, the rates are stabilized at 3% per year. The estimated rate for the project duration is included below.

**CP 8169**

2015 SD 1 Rate Per Typical (Mode) Parcel (AV)

**AV Charge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of parcels</th>
<th>Rate per $1,000 assessed value</th>
<th>$3,500 x $57.1005/$1,000 =</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$199.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Annual Charge Per Typical Parcel**

| 2015 | $199.85 |

**Projected Future Charge w/ASRF Stabilization @ 3%/year**

<p>| Annual Level Debt | $77,519 |
| Sum of AV         | $14,831,322 |
| Rate per $1,000 AV | $77,519/$14,831,322 = | $5.227 |
| Project Increase  | $5.227 x $3,500/$1,000 AV = | $18.29 |
| 2016 Charge w/out ASRF | $199.85 + $18.29 = | $218.14 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coupon</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
<th>Fiscal Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2017</td>
<td>$39,826.54</td>
<td>$37,692.31</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2018</td>
<td>$41,327.69</td>
<td>$39,956.58</td>
<td>$69,243.27</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2019</td>
<td>$42,885.43</td>
<td>$41,316.71</td>
<td>$70,202.13</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2020</td>
<td>$44,501.88</td>
<td>$42,508.48</td>
<td>$71,508.36</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2021</td>
<td>$46,179.25</td>
<td>$43,669.79</td>
<td>$72,849.05</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2022</td>
<td>$47,919.86</td>
<td>$44,799.49</td>
<td>$74,719.35</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2023</td>
<td>$49,726.07</td>
<td>$45,896.39</td>
<td>$76,622.46</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2024</td>
<td>$51,600.36</td>
<td>$47,959.24</td>
<td>$78,559.60</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2025</td>
<td>$53,545.29</td>
<td>$49,986.77</td>
<td>$80,532.07</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2026</td>
<td>$55,563.54</td>
<td>$51,977.65</td>
<td>$82,541.19</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2027</td>
<td>$57,657.86</td>
<td>$53,930.49</td>
<td>$84,588.35</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2028</td>
<td>$59,831.12</td>
<td>$55,843.86</td>
<td>$86,674.98</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2029</td>
<td>$62,086.29</td>
<td>$57,716.28</td>
<td>$88,802.57</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2030</td>
<td>$64,426.46</td>
<td>$59,546.19</td>
<td>$90,972.65</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2031</td>
<td>$66,854.85</td>
<td>$61,332.00</td>
<td>$93,186.84</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2032</td>
<td>$69,374.76</td>
<td>$63,072.04</td>
<td>$95,446.80</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2033</td>
<td>$71,989.65</td>
<td>$64,764.59</td>
<td>$97,754.25</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2034</td>
<td>$74,703.11</td>
<td>$66,407.87</td>
<td>$99,110.98</td>
<td>$77,518.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$1,000,000.00 $395,339.18 $1,395,339.18 $1,395,339.18
Figures
## PORT JEFFERSON INFLENT SCREEN BUILDING
### CP 8169

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prepare Advertisements for Bids</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertise for Bids</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Opening</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Bid Submittals</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend Low Bidder</td>
<td>8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Execution</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold Pre-Construction Conference</td>
<td>11, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate Construction Contract</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start Up Systems</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare Final Punch List</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Certificate of Substantial Completion</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure No. 2**
**STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT**
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. **Type of Legislation**
   - Resolution [X]
   - Local Law [ ]
   - Charter Law [ ]

2. **Title of Proposed Legislation**
   A resolution making certain findings and determinations and issuing an order in relation to the increase and improvement of facilities for Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson (CP 8169).

3. **Purpose of Proposed Legislation**
   To make certain findings and determinations for the wastewater treatment system improvements for SCSD No. 1 – Port Jefferson.

4. **Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?**
   - Yes [ ]
   - No [X]

5. **If the answer to Item 4 is "yes," on what will it impact?** (circle appropriate category)
   - County
   - Town
   - Economic Impact
   - Village
   - School District
   - Other (Specify):
   - Library District
   - Fire District
   - Sewer District

6. **If the answer to item 4 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact**
   $1.0 million will be funded by the District residents using sewer district serial bonds, however, there is no fiscal impact due to the use of the ASRF.

7. **Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.**
   No fiscal impact due to ASRF

8. **Proposed Source of Funding**
   Sewer District Serial Bonds and ASRF.

9. **Timing of Impact**
   2016-2035

10. **Typed Name & Title of Preparer**
   Ben Wright, P.E.
   Principal Civil Engineer
   Sanitation

11. **Signature of Preparer**
   [Signature]

12. **Date**
   10/2015

**SCIN FORM 175B (10/95)**
ga-bw10-20-15 Backup-DPW 175B sd1 Port Jefferson Findings CP 8169
**FINANCIAL IMPACT**
**2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY**
**COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMBINED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.

Page 2 of 2

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

SUBJECT: A Resolution Making Certain Findings and Determinations and Issuing an Order in Relation to the Increase and Improvement of Facilities for Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson (CP 8169)

DATE: October 20, 2015

Attached is a draft resolution, SCIN Form 175a and 175b, and backup for the referenced capital project filed as Reso DPW CP 8169 - SD 1 – Port Jefferson Improvements 10-20-15 and backup filed as Backup-DPW CP 8169 - SD 1 – Port Jefferson Improvements 10-20-15 for the findings resolution of the increase and improvement of Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson. The improvement project includes improvements to the sewer system. The total cost associated with the project is $1.0 million which uses sewer district serial bonds.

We appreciate the resolution being laid on the table following the public hearing on November 17th such that the appropriations can be adopted in 2015.

GA:BW:ni
Attachment
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
Lynne Bizzarro, Esq., Chief Deputy County Attorney
Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
Charles Jaquin, Executive Assistant for Finance & Administration
Nick Paglia, Assistant Executive Analyst
John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
CE Reso Review
gp-bw10-20-15 Backup-DPW sd1 - Port Jefferson Findings CP 8169 memo to JSchneider.doc
Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
Suffolk County Legislature
725 Veterans Memorial Hwy
Smithtown, NY 11787

RE: Proposed Increase and Improvement to the Facilities of Suffolk County
Sewer District No. 1 – Port Jefferson (CP 8169)

Presiding Officer Gregory:

In connection with the above captioned matter, I herewith submit to you a report together with the recommendations relative thereto. Pursuant to Article 5-A of New York State County Law, we have requested a resolution calling for a public hearing. The project is to construct a new influent screen building. The total cost of this request is $1.0 million. Sewer District Serial Bonds are the means of financing this project, however, the use of the ASRF will result in no fiscal impact to the benefited properties.

If you wish any further information or details, please contact Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Division of Sanitation, at 631-852-4184.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Anderson, P.E.
Commissioner
Administrative Head of
Suffolk County Sewer District No. 1

Attachment
GA:BW:nl
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
    Peter Scully, Deputy County Executive
    Joanne Minieri, Commissioner of Economic Development
    Debra Kolyer, Principal Financial Analyst
    Patricia Rouse, Esq., Assistant County Attorney
    Robert Braun, Esq., Deputy Bureau Chief
    Suffolk County Legislators
    Philip Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, Public Works
    John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer, Sanitation
    Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Sanitation
    ga-bw9-21-15 ed1-Port Jefferson CP 8169 Ltr to SC Leg DGregory

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

335 YAPHANK AVENUE ■ YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980 ■ (631) 852-4010
FAX (631) 852-4150
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING THE RENEWAL OF THE LEASE OF PREMISES LOCATED AT 365 EAST MAIN STREET, PATCHOGUE, NY FOR USE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

WHEREAS, the Department of Health Services has operated the SW Brookhaven Health Center from premises located at 365 East Main Street, Patchogue, NY and is desirous of continuing use of that location; and

WHEREAS, the County entered into an extension of the original lease with the Landlord Patchogue East Main Realty LLC on July 1, 2010 which extension has expired; and

WHEREAS, through a service agreement with Hudson River Healthcare, the County's Department of Health Services utilizes this facility to provide clinical services to the constituents in and around the central Suffolk region; and

WHEREAS, after extensive negotiations with the Landlord, the Landlord has expressed its willingness to extend the lease that expired June 30th 2015, effective retroactively to July 1, 2015 for an addition term of three (3) years; and

WHEREAS, annual rent for the leased premises in the first year of the term will be $525,000; and

WHEREAS, the Space Management Steering Committee recommended the approval of the lease extension at its October 15, 2015 meeting; and

WHEREAS, sufficient funds are included in the 2015 Operating Budget for lease payments to be made in connection with the premises; now, therefore, be it

1ST RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this law constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.59(c)(20) and (27) of Title 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-109 of the New York Environmental Conservation Law as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection. Furthermore, in accordance with Section 1-4(A)(1)(d) of the Suffolk County Charter and Section 279-5(C)(4) of the Suffolk County Code, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality is directed to prepare and circulate all appropriate notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this law; and be it further

2ND RESOLVED, that the County Executive be and hereby is authorized to execute a Lease for three (3) years in accordance with the terms and conditions of this resolution and in substantial conformance with the form annexed.
DATED:

County Executive of Suffolk County
Date of Approval:
1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution  **X**  Local Law  ____  Charter Law  ____

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   AUTHORIZING THE RENEWAL OF THE LEASE OF PREMISES LOCATED AT 365 EAST MAIN STREET, PATCHOGUE, NY FOR USE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   See No. 2 above.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  Yes  **X**  No  ____

5. If the Answer to Item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (Circle the appropriate category)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the Answer to Item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact.
   THE RENT AT THIS LOCATION WILL BE INCREASING BY 12%, BUT THIS IS A SHORT TERM LEASE TO ALLOW FOR FUTURE OPTIONS

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   Operating Budget

9. Timing of Impact
   7/1/15 THRU 6/30/18

10. Typed Name and Title of Preparer
    Theresa Lollo  
    Budget Office

11. Signature of Preparer
    [Signature]

12. Date
    10/26/15
### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**


3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: TENTATIVE 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
SPACE SELECTION REPORT

BUILDING # R0372

Summary:
The health center is located in a building that was originally constructed in the mid-1950's. It has been at this location since the 1990's and while it is working fairly well for the user group and the community, the center is showing its age and is inefficient by today's standards. An RFP had been issued in 2011 to seek out a newer facility, but this facility proved to be the most economical and conveniently located within the catchment area. The lease expired June 30th and the County has negotiated with the landlord to remain at this well established location.

Address
South Brookhaven Health Center West
365 East Main Street
Patchogue, NY 11772

User Group(s)
Dept. of Health Services

Proposal Information
1. Lease Term: 3 (Three) Years.
2. Renewal Date: From 7/1/2015 To 6/30/2018
3. Square Footage: 21,540 SF, with a 4,000 SF cellar.
4. Type of Tenancy Proposed
   ___ Sole Tenant
   ___ Multi-Tenant

Building Documents
1. Advertisement:
   ___ Yes
   ___ No w/explanation

2. Lease Term Sheet:
   ___ Yes
   ___ No w/explanation

3. Rent Analysis:
   ___ Yes
   ___ No w/explanation

4. Site Candidates:
   ___ Yes
   ___ No w/explanation

5. Comparison Chart:
   ___ Yes
   ___ No w/explanation

6. County Space:
   ___ Yes
   ___ No w/explanation

   No suitable County owned space was available in this area.
This building is 50 years old and has been occupied by the County as a Health Center for twenty years. The Health Center has outgrown this facility and is seeking a renewal of lease for a short term while its long term needs are examined.

ADDRESS

SW Brookhaven Health Center
365 East Main Street
Patchogue, NY 11772

USER GROUP(S)

1. Health Services

PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1. Lease Term: 3 (Three) Years
2. Term Date: From 7/1/2015 To 6/30/2018
3. Square Footage: * 21,540  First floor 4,000 Cellar
4. Type of Lease Space Proposed

   X Single Tenant

   _ Multi-Tenant

Comments: Lease buildout includes:

   * Field measured at 21,642 SF (+102 SF)
1. S.C.T.M. # 0200 - 775 - 4 - 27  
2. Site Area: 74,720 Sq. Ft. 1.715 Acres  
3. Zoning  
4. # Parking Stalls: Total Handicapped Requested -0-  
5. Utilities: X Gas X Water X Oil X Telephone X Cable X Sewer  

**COST EVALUATION CRITERIA**  

Current Annual Base Cost: $465,976.22 Total $21.63 /S.F.  
Area Rent Survey: Date: 9/24/09 $16.00 to $22.00 /S.F.  

1. (Proposed) Annual Base Cost: $525,000.00 Total $24.37 /S.F.  
2. Annual Escalation Rate: 3.0%  
3. Current Taxes: $95,303.79 Base Year, $4.42 /S.F. Contribution 100%  
4. Build-out Cost: Included Total, (N/A) /S.F.  
5. Custodial Charges: n/a /S.F. Base Year  
6. CAM Charges: n/a /S.F. Base Year /S.F. Base Year N/A  
7. Landlord Responsibilities: (See Attached Sheet)  

**PROPOSED ANNUAL BASE RENT**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lease Year</th>
<th>Base Rent (PSF)</th>
<th>Annual Base Rent</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>$24.37</td>
<td>$525,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>$24.86</td>
<td>$540,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>$25.36</td>
<td>$556,972.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
# LESSOR INFORMATION

1. PROPERTY OWNER:
   - **Company:** Patchogue East Main Realty LLC
   - **Contact Person:**
   - **Email Address:**
   - **Address:** 853 Broadway, Suite 2014
   - **City, State, Zip:** New York, NY 10003
   - **Phone / Fax:**

2. PROPERTY MANAGER:
   - **Company:** Patchogue East Main Realty LLC
   - **Contact Person:** Robert Frost, President
   - **Email Address:** frost@signaturepartners.com
   - **Address:** 853 Broadway, Suite 2014
   - **City, State, Zip:** New York, NY 10003
   - **Phone / Fax:** 212 813-3575/212 989-2909

3. BROKER: (Not Used)
   - **Company:**
   - **Contact Person:**
   - **Email Address:**
   - **Address:**
   - **City, State, Zip**
   - **Phone / Fax:** 212 633-7500

4. ATTORNEY (Unknown)
   - **Company:**
   - **Contact Person:**
   - **Email Address:**
   - **Address:**
   - **City, State, Zip**
   - **Phone / Fax:**
COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

STEVEN BELLONE
SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

PHILIP A. BERDOLT
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E.
COMMISSIONER

DARNELL TYSON, P.E.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM: Gilbert Anderson, P. E., Commissioner

DATE: October 9, 2015

RE: R0372 – Patchogue Health Center Lease Renewal

Attached for your review is a draft resolution authorizing the renewal of the lease for South Brookhaven Health Center West, located at 365 East Main Street, Patchogue, New York.

This action is considered a Type II action pursuant to Sections 617.5(1), (20) and (27) of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) and Section 8-109 of the New York Environmental Conservation Law.

An e-mail copy of the resolution has been sent to CE RESO Review sent under the title, Reso – DPW Patchogue Health Center Lease Renewal – 2015 Authorizing the Renewal of the Lease of Premises Located at 365 East Main Street, Patchogue, NY For Use By the Department of Health Services.

GA:ba
Attachments
cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Tom Vaughn, Director, Intergovernmental Relations
    Michael J. Monaghan, P.E., Chief Engineer
    James J. Ingenito, R.A., County Architect
    Charles Jaquin, General Services Manager
    CE RESO Review (e-mail)
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF SURPLUS MOBILE RADIOS TO THE CENTEREACH FIRE DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number mobile radios surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Centereach Fire Department has requested the donation of four (4) mobile radios from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and transportation this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE:

TO:  
Centereach Fire Department  
9 S. Washington Avenue  
Centereach, NY 11720  
Contact Person: Chief Henry Borkowski  
631-588-8652

_SERIAL NUMBER:_

722AAG0698  
722ACN0330  
722ABE3264  
722AYQ1425

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF SURPLUS MOBILE RADIOS TO THE CORAM FIRE DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number mobile radios surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Coram Fire Department has requested the donation of four (4) mobile radios from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and transportation this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE:

TO:
Coram Fire Department
303 Middle Country Road
Coram, NY 11727
Contact Person: Chief Michael Fields
631-732-5733 x 303

SERIAL NUMBER:
722CEG1283
722ABE3265
722ACN0337
722AYQ1422

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF SURPLUS MOBILE RADIOS TO THE FARMINGVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number mobile radios surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Farmingville Fire Department has requested the donation of four (4) mobile radios from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and transportation this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE:

TO: 
Farmingville Fire Department
780 Horseblock Road
Farmingville, NY 11738
Contact Person: Chief Allen Licata
631-732-6611 x119

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

________________________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF SURPLUS MOBILE RADIOS TO THE RONKONKOMA FIRE DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number of mobile radios surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Ronkonkoma Fire Department has requested the donation of four (4) mobile radios from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE:

TO: Ronkonkoma Fire Department 722AAG0703 177 Portion Road 722ABE3255 Ronkonkoma, NY 11779 722AAG0688 Contact Person: Chief Jonathan Santoli 722AAG0689 631-588-0689

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF
SURPLUS MOBILE RADIOS TO THE SELDEN FIRE
DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number
mobile radios surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Selden Fire Department has requested the donation of four (4)
mobile radios from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and
transportation this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby
authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the
organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE
CODE:

TO: Selden Fire Department
44 Woodmere Place
Selden, NY 11784
Contact Person: Chief Michael Matteo, Jr.
631-732-1234

SERIAL NUMBER:
722AYQ1427
722AAG0697
722ABE3256
722AAG0687

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the
removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review
Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II
action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF
RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW
YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies,
procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration,
management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality
(CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-
applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF SURPLUS CELLPHONES TO SEPA MUJER, INC.

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number cellphones surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, SEPA Mujer, Inc., has requested the donation of three (3) cellphones from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and transportation this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE:

TO:  
SEPA Mujer, Inc.  
185 Oval Drive  
Islandia, NY 11749  
Contact Person: Martha Maffei  
631-980-2555

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:  

APPROVED BY:  

County Executive of Suffolk County  

Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF SURPLUS CELLPHONES TO THE RETREAT

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Public Works has declared a number of cellphones surplus to the needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, The Retreat has requested the donation of three (3) cellphones from the County; and

WHEREAS, this organization is willing to assume responsibility for the removal and transportation this equipment; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, to transfer the following surplus equipment to the organization listed, pursuant to Section A8-10(B)(3) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE:

TO: The Retreat
13 Goodfriend Drive
East Hampton, NY 11937
Contact Person: Loretta K. Davis
631-329-2944

SERIAL NUMBER:
Blackberry Curve 9350 (Item 4)
Blackberry Curve 9350 (Item 5)
Blackberry Curve 9350 (Item 6)

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the above named organization shall assume responsibility for the removal and transportation of said equipment; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this resolution constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617.5(c)(20), (21), and (27) of Title 6 of the NEW YORK CODE OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of Section 8-0109(2) of the NEW YORK ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LAW as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection, and the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is hereby directed to circulate any appropriate SEQRA notices of determination of non-applicability or non-significance in accordance with this resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County
Date:
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AUTHORIZING FUNDING OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND OVERSIGHT OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE SUFFOLK COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM (COPIAGUE COMMONS)

WHEREAS, Local Law No. 13-2000 as amended in its entirety by Local Law No. 17-2004, known as Suffolk County Administrative Code ("SCAC") Article XXXVI, as amended, found and determined that there was a need for the County to provide assistance in creating affordable housing and established the Suffolk County Affordable Housing Opportunities Program; and

WHEREAS, SCAC § A-36-2 provides a statutory framework for land to be acquired and infrastructure improvements for the development of affordable housing through the use of capital bond proceeds; and

WHEREAS, the County Department of Economic Development and Planning, the Town of Babylon together with the Community Development Corporation of Long Island, a New York not-for-profit corporation and Conifer, LLC (the "Developers") have identified a site in the County of Suffolk which would be appropriate for development as affordable housing and is identified by Suffolk County Tax Map Numbers: 0100-178.00-01.00-030.000, 0100-178.00-01.00-042.001, 0100-178.00-01.00-042.002 and 0100-178.00-01.00-029.001 (the "Subject Premises"); and

WHEREAS, the affordable housing development will be known as Copiague Commons and contemplates the construction of 90 affordable rental units, as more particularly described in the Development Plan attached hereto as Exhibit 1; and

WHEREAS, the Subject Premises will require infrastructure improvements to facilitate the construction of the affordable housing development and, in connection therewith, the Developers have requested infrastructure funding from the County in the amount of up to Seven Hundred and Fifty Thousand ($750,000) Dollars; and

WHEREAS, the Developers and the County are negotiating the terms of a Development Agreement, a copy of which in substantially final form is attached hereto as Exhibit 2, which shall be executed; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 950-2014, appropriated the proceeds of $2,500,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds to fund the infrastructure improvements in connection with the properties acquired, funded, constructed, reconstructed or rehabilitated in connection with the Affordable Housing Opportunities Program subject to further Legislative approval of a resolution authorizing the funding of specific infrastructure improvements to be made in connection with such affordable housing developments; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Suffolk County Legislature, on behalf of the County, hereby finds and determines that the development of Copiague Commons meets the requirements of
the Suffolk County Affordable Housing Opportunities Program and the need to fill the critical shortage of affordable housing in the County and, accordingly, authorizes the development of Copiague Commons and the requested funding thereof in the amount of up to Seven Hundred and Fifty Thousand ($750,000.00) Dollars for infrastructure improvements in accordance with the Development Plan; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the Development Agreement is hereby approved in substantially the form as attached hereto; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the County Executive, the Department of Economic Development and Planning, its Commissioner, its Director of Real Estate and the County Attorney are authorized, empowered and directed to negotiate, execute and deliver the Development Agreement, and each other document contemplated to be executed and delivered in connection therewith, with such changes thereto as may be necessary or desirable, consistent with the Development Plan, in order to effectuate this overall affordable housing project and transactions contemplated hereby; and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that the County Executive, the Department of Economic Development and Planning, its Commissioner, its Director of Real Estate and the County Attorney are authorized, empowered and directed to take such further actions and execute such additional documents as may be necessary or desirable, consistent with the Development Plan, in order to effectuate the purposes and intent of the foregoing resolution, including, but not limited to, payment of expenses such as costs associated with surveys, appraisals, environmental audits, title reports and insurance; and be it further

5th RESOLVED, that, subject to the terms of the fully executed Development Agreement, the County Comptroller and County Treasurer are hereby authorized to reserve and pay up to Seven Hundred and Fifty Thousand ($750,000.00) Dollars in connection with infrastructure costs associated with the development of Copiague Commons from previously appropriated funds in Capital Project No. 525-CAP-6411.313; and be it further

6th RESOLVED, the Planning Board of the Town Board of Babylon, as lead agency, on September 21, 2015, issued a negative SEQRA declaration which completed the environmental review; and further the negative declaration issued by the Planning Board of the Town of Babylon is binding on the County, as an involved agency, pursuant to Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) § 617.6 (b) (3) (iii) and, therefore, SEQRA is complete.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EXHIBIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUNICIPALITY:</td>
<td>Town of Babylon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| PROJECT ADDRESS:            | 54 Railroad Avenue, Copiague, NY 11726  
See attached existing conditions survey.                                       | A       |
| SITE DESCRIPTION:           | The site consists of 1.906 acres.  
The property is located within the Downtown Copiague (DC) Zoning District which permits multifamily housing as-of-right. Zoning incentives which included increased building height and increased density have been approved by the Town Board. No other zoning relief is required.  
See attached site plan.                                             | B       |
| OWNER/DEVELOPER:            | Owner: Copiague Commons, LLC  
Co-Developers: Conifer, LLC and Community Development Corporation of Long Island, Inc.  
See attached organizational chart.                                   | C       |
<p>| SUFFOLK COUNTY TAX MAP NUMBERS: | Suffolk County Tax Map No. 0100-178-01-030, 042.001, 042.002 &amp; 029.001                                                                 |         |
| LAND PURCHASE:              | Copiague Commons, LLC is the contract vendee. After title transfer, Copiague Commons, LLC will enter into a lease/leaseback with the Town of Babylon Industrial Development Agency. |         |
| INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS:       | Suffolk County will subsidize up to $750,000 of the infrastructure costs associated with this development. The funds will be utilized to offset the costs of infrastructure improvements, including but not limited to, site prep., residential parking, curbs, sidewalks, lighting, drainage, utilities, sanitary systems, and landscaping. The owner/developer will finance the infrastructure funds and the funds shall only be paid upon completion of the project. |         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EXHIBIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</td>
<td>Copiague Commons is a community revitalization project located in Downtown Copiague. The project will replace an existing industrial building with two, four-story multifamily apartments buildings containing a total of 90 units. These rental apartments will be a mix of one- and two-bedroom units. Approximately 80% of the units will be affordable to households earning up to 60% of AMI. The remaining units will be affordable to households earning up to 130% AMI. 100% of the units will be income restricted. See attached schematic floor plans.</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUFFOLK COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT SUBSIDY (LDS):</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUFFOLK COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT SUBSIDY (IDS):</td>
<td>$750,000/71 workforce housing units ($10,563.38 per unit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The IDS shall be evidenced by a deferred note and lien. The note shall be non-interest bearing unless and until there is an event of default at which time interest shall accrue at 6%. The note and lien may be subordinated to bank financing or other funding sources as reasonably acceptable to the County.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS and LDS REPAYMENT:</td>
<td>Repayable after 30 years or upon covenant violation. Units shall remain affordable for the term of the IDS loan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMEOWNER UNIT PURCHASE PRICES:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMEOWNERSHIP OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENT:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EXHIBIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME ELIGIBILITY:</td>
<td>71 units (79%) will be affordable to households earning up to 60% HUD AMI. 18 units (20%) will be affordable to households earning up to 130% HUD AMI. Rents are based on 2015 projections. Rents will not exceed the fair market rents established by HUD. Covenants &amp; Restrictions shall be recorded evidencing same. See attached Rental Spreadsheet (with rents per unit type).</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENTER SELECTION PROCESS/MARKETING PLAN:</td>
<td>Conifer Management will market the development and perform the applicant qualification process in accordance with County requirements and the Fair Housing Laws. The developer will provide the County with a copy of its Renter Selection Process and Marketing Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTICIPATED FUNDING SOURCES/FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE</td>
<td>Suffolk County IDS: $750,000  NYS HFA Tax-Exempt Bonds: $17,380,000 construction period bonds $8,410,000 permanent bonds  Citibank Subordinate Loan: $1,800,000 construction/permanent loan  CDBG-DR: $8,284,168 available during construction $8,750,000 permanent loan amount  CDCLI Funding Corp: $350,000 construction/permanent loan  NYS HFA MIHP $3,150,000 permanent loan  Federal Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Equity: $1,382,592 available during construction $6,912,959 permanent amount  Deferred Developer Fee: $2,808,935 deferred during construction $1,882,735 deferred at permanent financing</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See attached Sources and Uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EXHIBIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| PERMITTED ENCUMBRANCES: | A subordinated lien in favor of Suffolk County in the amount of the IDS to be repaid upon such terms as may be reasonably acceptable to the County and as shall be contained in a Mortgage and/or Easement to be executed between the parties.  
1. All necessary utility easements  
2. A lien or liens in favor of NYS HFA  
3. A lien in favor of Citibank  
All as more particularly described in Schedule B to the Mortgage. | |
| DEVELOPMENT TEAM MEMBERS: | Co-Developers: Conifer, LLC & Community Development Corporation of Long Island, Inc.  
Property Manager: Conifer Management, LLC  
Builder: Conifer-LeChase Construction, LLC  
Attorney: Susan Jennings, General Counsel - Conifer Realty, LLC  
Daniel Deegan & Kathleen Deegan Dickson - Forchelli, Curto, Deegan, Schwartz, Mineo & Terrana, LLP  
Engineer: Cameron Engineering & Associates, LLP  
Architect: Beatty Harvey Coco Architects, LLP | |
| CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT EXECUTION/FUNDING/CLOSING: | 1. Satisfactory evidence of financing sources  
2. Building permits and completion of construction, certificate of occupancy  
3. Suffolk County and municipal approvals, as applicable, including Health Department  
4. Execution of a Development Agreement and such other documents in connection therewith as the County may reasonably require.  
5. Completion of SEQRA  
6. Environmental review satisfactory to the County  
7. Such other conditions as the County may reasonably require and as shall be set forth in the Development Agreement. | |
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

RESOLUTION NO. ___-2015, AUTHORIZING FUNDING OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND OVERSIGHT OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE SUFFOLK COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM (COPIAGUE COMMONS)

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

SEE NO. 2 ABOVE

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? Yes [ ] No [X]

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

- County
- Town
- Economic Impact
- Village
- School District
- Other (Specify):
- Library District
- Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact

N/A

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding

N/A

9. Timing of Impact

Upon adoption. Funding authorized under previously appropriated resolution 950-2014 and bond resolution 951-2015.

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer

| Nicholas E. Paglia Jr. |
| Executive Analyst |

11. Signature of Preparer

[Signature]

12. Date

October 28, 2015

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
## FINANCIAL IMPACT
### 2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
#### COST TO THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:
3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.

Page 2 of 2

To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
# Statement of Financial Impact

OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

**AUTHORIZING FUNDING OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND OVERSIGHT OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE SUFFOLK COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM (COPIAGUE COMMONS)**

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

**AUTHORIZES FUNDING OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND OVERSIGHT OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE SUFFOLK COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM (COPIAGUE COMMONS)**

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  **Yes**  **No**

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (Specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

8. Proposed Source of Funding

9. Timing of Impact

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Amy Keyes  
    Deputy Commissioner

11. Signature of Preparer
    Amy Keyes

12. Date
    10/26/15

SCIN FORM 175b (10/95)
2015 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

TITLE OF BILL: RESOLUTION NO. ____-2015, AUTHORIZING FUNDING OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND OVERSIGHT OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE SUFFOLK COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM (COPIAQUE COMMONS)

PURPOSE OR GENERAL IDEA OF BILL: To authorize the funding of infrastructure improvements and oversight of real property under the Suffolk County Affordable Housing Opportunities Program for the Copiague Commons project.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: Sufficient funds are available through Resolution No. 950-2014 which appropriated the proceeds of $2,500,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds.

JUSTIFICATION: Suffolk County wishes to provide assistance in creating affordable housing as evidenced in the establishment and ongoing support for the Suffolk County Affordable Housing Opportunities Program.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS: Serial bonds will be issued to finance this capital project.
October 26, 2015

Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 12a Floor
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

Dear Mr. Schneider:

Attached is the following Resolution, together with the back-up documentation, requested to be Laid on the Table at the November 4, 2015 meeting of the Legislature:

1) RESOLUTION NO. _____-2015, AUTHORIZING FUNDING OF INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND OVERSIGHT OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE SUFFOLK COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM (COPLAQUE COMMONS)

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Amy Keyes
Deputy Commissioner
Economic Development and Planning
RESOLUTION NO. 690 SEPTEMBER 29, 2015
TOWN BOARD ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION DETERMINING THAT THE
DISTRICT INCENTIVES FOR COPIAQUE COMMONS, LLC. IN CONNECTION WITH
PLANNING BOARD JOB NO. 15-21A IS CONSISTENT WITH THE RELEVANT
GENERIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT REVIEW FOR THE
DOWNTOWN COPIAQUE ZONING DISTRICT

The following resolution was offered by Councilman Henry

and seconded by Councilwoman Gordon

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Babylon has received an application for
certain zoning incentives from Copiague Commons, LLC (the “Applicant”) in connection with the
Planning Board Job No. 15-21A; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board of the Town of Babylon is concurrently reviewing the
application of the Applicant to demolish an existing industrial building and construct two (2)
four-story multiple residence buildings with 90 rental units (56 one-bedroom and 34 two-
bedroom units), located on the south east corner of Railroad Avenue and Pine Street, in the hamlet
of Copiague, identified by Suffolk County Tax Map No. 0100-178.00-01.00-030.000, 042.001,
042.002 & 029.001 in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and
the Town of Babylon Environmental Quality Review Act (TOBEQRA); and

WHEREAS, that the Incentive Application is classified as an Unlisted Action pursuant to
TOBEQRA and the Planning Board of the Town of Babylon conducted a coordinated review for
the concurrent Planning Board application for the Applicant with all identified involved and
interested agencies in accordance with TOBEQRA; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Environmental Control in assisting the Town Board of the
Town of Babylon in fulfilling its responsibilities under SEQRA and TOBEQRA has provided
recommendations to the Town Board of the Town of Babylon for this Incentive Application; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Copiague Zoning District was the subject of a Generic
Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) review by the Town Board of the Town of
Babylon; and
WHEREAS, Environmental Findings were adopted on April 7, 2015 by the Town Board of the Town of Babylon in accordance with SEQRA; and

WHEREAS, the Incentive Plan by the Applicant has been reviewed in connection with the conditions and thresholds identified in the relevant GEIS and the following has been determined:

1. Traffic mitigation measures were identified in connection with the relevant GEIS Review. It has been determined, however; that at this time, traffic mitigation measures are not needed for this particular project. This is based on the July 24, 2015 Memorandum by Donald J. Epp, Traffic Engineer, Town of Babylon Traffic Safety Division.

2. Based on the proximity of the development to the Long Island Railroad elevated track system, noise mitigation is required. The applicant shall construct the development in accordance with the Housing Urban Development (HUD) standards to reduce noise levels by 30db (attached).

3. The Phase I and Phase II Investigations for the subject site were reviewed. The wastewater system and 1,000 gallon fuel oil tank shall be remediated and removed in accordance with Suffolk County Department of Health Services (SCDHS) requirements. Any other relevant SCDHS requirements shall be addressed.

4. Covenants and restrictions for post construction storm water management as identified by the relevant Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Review shall be filed and implemented by the applicant.

5. A suitable solid waste management plan has been identified for the project by the Department of Environmental Control and the project sponsor.

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements of Section 114-10 D of TOBEQRA, Generic Environmental Impact Statements; no further TOBEQRA compliance is required if a subsequent proposed action will be carried out in conformance and with the conditions and
thresholds established for such actions in the GEIS or its findings statement; Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Town Board of the Town of Babylon as lead agency hereby determines that the incentive application of Copiague Commons, LLC is consistent with the relevant Generic Environmental Impact Statement Review and Environmental Findings for the Downtown Copiague Zoning District and based on this analysis, no further action is required pursuant to SEQRA.

AND FURTHER BE IT,

RESOLVED, that based upon the foregoing determination, the Town Board of the Town of Babylon hereby adopts this resolution declaring the requirements of the SEQRA AND TOBEQRA have been fully addressed.

VOTES: 5  YEAS: 5  NAYS: 0
The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.
RESOLUTION NO. 2015-101
DETERMINING THAT PLANNING BOARD JOB NO. 15-21A
COPIAGUE COMMONS, LLC.
IS CONSISTENT WITH THE RELEVANT GENERIC ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STATEMENT REVIEW FOR THE DOWNTOWN COPIAGUE
ZONING DISTRICT
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2015

WHEREAS, the Planning Board of the Town of Babylon hereby declares
its intent to be the lead agency for the application of Copiague Commons, LLC., to
demolish an existing industrial building to construct two (2) four-story multiple
residence buildings with 90 rental units (56 one-bedroom and 34 two-bedroom
units), located on the south east corner of Railroad Avenue and Pine Street, in the
hamlet of Copiague, identified by Suffolk County Tax Map No. 0100-178-01-030,
042.001, 042.002 & 029.001 in accordance with the State Environmental Quality
Review Act (SEQRA) and the Town of Babylon Environmental Quality Review
Act (TOBEQRA); and

WHEREAS, that the Planning Board classified the Action as an Unlisted Action
and conducted a coordinated review with all identified Involved and Interested
Agencies in accordance with TOBEQRA; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Environmental Control in assisting the
Planning Board of the Town of Babylon in fulfilling its responsibilities under
SEQRA and TOBEQRA has provided recommendations to the Lead Agency, the
Planning Board of the Town of Babylon on this project; and

WHEREAS,
The Downtown Copiague (DC) Zoning District was the subject of a Generic
Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) Review. Findings were adopted on
April 7, 2015 in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review
Act (SEQRA) and the proposed project by Copiague Commons LLC has
been reviewed in connection with the conditions and thresholds identified in
the relevant GEIS and the following has been determined:

1. Traffic mitigation measures were identified in connection with the
relevant GEIS Review. It has been determined, however; that at this
time, traffic mitigation measures are not needed for this particular
project. This is based on the July 24, 2015 Memorandum by Donald
J. Epp, Traffic Engineer, Town of Babylon Traffic Safety Division.

2. Based on the proximity of the development to the Long Island
Railroad elevated track system, noise mitigation is required. The
applicant shall construct the development in accordance with the
Housing Urban Development (HUD) standards to reduce noise levels
by 30db (attached).
3. The Phase I and Phase II Investigations for the subject site were reviewed. The wastewater system and 1,000 gallon fuel oil tank shall be remediated and removed in accordance with Suffolk County Department of Health Services (SCDHS) requirements. Any other relevant SCDHS requirements shall be addressed.

4. Covenants and restrictions for post construction stormwater management as identified by the relevant Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Review shall be filed implemented by the applicant.

5. A suitable solid waste management plan has been identified for the project by the Department of Environmental Control and the project sponsor.

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements of Section 114-10 D. TOBEQRA, Generic Environmental Impact Statements; No further TOBEQRA compliance is required if a subsequent proposed action will be carried out in conformance and with the conditions and thresholds established for such actions in the generic EIS or its findings statement;

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Planning Board as lead agency hereby determines that the proposal by Copiague Commons, LLC is consistent with the relevant Generic Environmental Impact Statement Review and Environmental Findings for the Downtown Copiague (DC) Zoning District and based on this analysis, no further action is required pursuant to SEQRA.

AND FURTHER BE IT,

RESOLVED, that based upon the foregoing determination, the Planning Board of the Town of Babylon hereby adopts this resolution declaring the requirements of the SEQRA AND TOBEQRA have been fully addressed.

VOTES: (7) AYES: (7) NAYS: (0) ABSTAINED (0)

Lev Brickman X
Edward Wynn X
Tracey Grooms X
Michael Cafaro X
Nathan King X
Gerald O’Neill X
Julianne Nolan X

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.
Dated September 21, 2015 Town of Babylon New York.
Date: April 7, 2015

Pursuant to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act – “SEQRA”) of the Environmental Conservation Law and 6 NYCRR Part 617, the Town of Babylon Town Board as the Lead Agency makes the following findings.

Name of Action: Downtown Copiague (DC) Zoning District

Description of Action:

In 2009, the Town of Babylon and Copiague community completed the Copiague Vision Plan, a comprehensive vision for the downtown Copiague. From this process, the community formed a vision of downtown Copiague:

"To transform downtown Copiague into a vibrant, people-friendly place that feels safe and secure, where people can walk, shop, entertain, relax, play, interact and spend time, and where the sense of community can be strengthened."

The Vision Plan identifies various existing conditions that stand in the way of that vision and suggests the implementation of various actions to encourage and facilitate the transformation of downtown Copiague. The Vision Plan observes that the existing zoning in downtown Copiague represents a limitation towards revitalization of the downtown core. Among the Vision Plan’s recommendations is the development of contemporary zoning regulations for downtown Copiague, including updated lot and bulk controls that would coordinate and guide future development.

The Proposed Action includes:

- Amending the Code of the Town of Babylon to include a Downtown Copiague (DC) Zoning District and other associated changes; and
- Revising the Building Zone Map of the Town of Babylon, Suffolk County, New York to map the DC Zoning District for particular properties within downtown Copiague.

The DC Zoning District is designed to build upon the strengths of Copiague and especially the downtown area, including the presence of the Copiague LIRR station, Veterans’ Memorial and Copiague Parks, and a diverse residential community that is within walking distance of many of the downtown’s commercial establishments. It would permit for a mix of uses, with residential apartments and offices above commercial uses—a land use pattern typical of historic downtown areas. Beyond a base set of lot and bulk controls, the DC Zoning District contains incentive provisions, which would allow for greater development and design flexibility in return for community amenities and benefits. The DC Zoning District also contains a number of design considerations in order to improve the existing aesthetic appearance of downtown Copiague and to promote a high quality streetscape and pedestrian.
environment. In addition, specific regulations related to signage, lighting, buffering, outdoor storage, and green building and site planning techniques are included.

Location:

The area subject to the proposed DC Zoning District would encompass the area within walking distance of the Copiague Long Island Rail Road ("LIRR") station and is approximately 31 acres in size. The Study Area is located in the north-central portion of Copiague and generally is comprised of those properties fronting along Great Neck Road from Campagnoli Avenue to Hollywood Avenue/East Gate, along the south side of Marconi Boulevard from Molloy Street to Verrazano Avenue, and along Railroad Avenue from Pine Street to approximately adjacent to Verrazano Avenue.

Lead Agency: The Town of Babylon Town Board is the Lead Agency.

SEQRA Classification: Type I

SEQRA History:

In accordance with SEQRA, the following elements of the SEQRA process have been undertaken:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEQRA Element</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Visioning</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration of Lead Agency</td>
<td>January 27, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Positive Declaration</td>
<td>January 27, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearing on DGEIS</td>
<td>February 11, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of DGEIS Comment Period</td>
<td>February 27, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of Final GEIS (&quot;FGEIS&quot;)</td>
<td>March 11, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of FGEIS Comment Period</td>
<td>March 21, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement Filed:

On March 11, 2015, the Town of Babylon Town Board, the Lead Agency for the SEQRA review of this action, accepted the FGEIS for the action.
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Facts and Conclusions Relied on to Support the Decision:

As Lead Agency, the Town of Babylon Town Board must consider the relevant environmental impacts, facts, and conclusions disclosed in the environmental process, and then certify that consistent with social, economic, and other essential considerations from among the reasonable alternatives available, the Proposed Action is one that avoids or minimizes adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable, and that adverse environmental impacts will be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable by incorporating as conditions to the decision those mitigative measures that were identified as practicable.

In developing this SEQRA Findings Statement, the Town of Babylon Town Board has reviewed the following sources of information:
- DGEIS, including all information and documentation included in the DGEIS appendices, accepted on January 27, 2015 by the Town of Babylon Town Board as SEQRA Lead Agency.
- FGEIS, including all comments received from the public and interested and involved agencies, accepted on March 11, 2015 by the Town of Babylon Town Board as SEQRA Lead Agency.

Thus, the Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the adoption of the proposed DC Zoning District conforms to the certifications required by 6 NYCCR Part 617.11(d)(5). In addition, the Town of Babylon Town Board finds, upon due consideration of the proposed DC Zoning District, the DGEIS and FGEIS, as well as information derived from the public review process, that the proposed adoption of the DC Zoning District will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment.

The following facts and conclusions are provided in support of the Town of Babylon Town Board’s decision.

1. Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy

Potential Impacts and Mitigation

Land Use
Since Copiague is an already built-up community, typical of a small downtown, the DC Zoning District has been designed to encourage transit-oriented development, appropriate mixed-use, and a well-designed growth pattern that is consistent with the vision of the community, as envisioned in the Vision Plan. Therefore, although implementation of the Proposed Action would be anticipated to change the amount, mix, and density of uses within downtown Copiague, it is not anticipated to significantly alter the already existing small downtown land use pattern of the Study Area. As a result, overall, the Proposed Action and its implementation would not adversely impact land use and land use patterns within the Study Area. Rather, it would reinforce the downtown area’s role as a mixed-use, transit-oriented center.

Zoning
The DC Zoning District has been designed to provide a number of zoning tools that could help to revitalize downtown Copiague. Its implementation is intended to aid downtown Copiague in becoming an economically vibrant mixed-use center that capitalizes on the existing assets, including availability of public transportation. Therefore, the Proposed Action and its implementation would not adversely impact zoning within the Study Area. Rather, it would reinforce the downtown area’s
role as a mixed-use, transit-oriented center and fulfill many of the recommendations of the Vision Plan.

Public Policy
The DC Zoning District been designed to comply with the recommendations identified in the Vision Plan, specifically the recommendation to develop a new zoning code for the downtown area that promotes a mix of uses and transit-oriented development. Through the implementation of the Proposed Action, many of the goals and objectives of the Vision Plan would be realized. In addition, the Proposed Action would be consistent with other public policy efforts in surrounding areas, including the Suffolk County Comprehensive Master Plan 2035, Long Island 2035 Regional Comprehensive Sustainability Plan, New York Rising Community Reconstruction Program, and other Suffolk County and regional planning efforts. Therefore, it would result in beneficial impacts on public policy.

Summary of Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy Impacts and Mitigation
No potential significant adverse environmental impacts have been identified with regards to land use, zoning, and public policy. Therefore, no mitigation is proposed.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to land use, zoning, and public policy.

2. Community Character and Visual Resources

Potential Impacts and Mitigation
The DC Zoning District is designed to encourage and continue to improve the existing aesthetic appearance of downtown Copiague, in order to enhance its community identity. The Proposed Action would provide some of the tools necessary to implement the redevelopment sought in the Vision Plan. The implementation of the Proposed Action would be a step toward improving the visual character of downtown Copiague. The zoning itself will provide opportunities for new land use types, specifically including mixed-use that includes the potential for new residential development, retail, office, and other uses that could add architectural character and vibrancy to the downtown. The proposed zoning regulations and design standards are intended to improve the form of development. Such "form-based" standards include many elements that are intended to improve visual character such as building form standards, public space standards, architectural standards, and landscaping standards. This is contrasted with existing conditions and existing zoning, which has resulted in the current built conditions and does not facilitate an identifiable community character. Therefore, the Proposed Action and its implementation would not adversely impact community character within the Study Area. Rather, the greatest potential impact of the implementation of the Proposed Action is one that is considered positive—the potential to provide for improved aesthetics in the Study Area through a mix of uses. The Proposed Action and its implementation would consistent with the Vision Plan in fostering beneficial and aesthetically-pleasing redevelopment of downtown Copiague.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to community character and visual resources.
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3. Natural Resources

Potential Impacts and Mitigation

Geology, Soils, Topography
No significant adverse impacts to geology, soils, or topography in the Study Area, which is already developed and almost entirely impervious, would occur as a result of the implementation of the Proposed Action.

Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology
No significant adverse impacts to terrestrial or aquatic wildlife are expected to result from the implementation of the Proposed Action, as these habitat types do not exist in the Study Area.

Water Resources (Surface and Groundwater)
Due to the already built-up nature of the downtown area, no impacts to surface waters, mapped wetlands, or floodplains are expected as a result of the implementation of the Proposed Action. The adoption of the proposed zoning amendments would be a regulatory action and would not result in any physical changes to the Study Area. Therefore, implementation of the Proposed Action is not anticipated to have a significant adverse impact on the quantity or quality of groundwater underlying the Study Area.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impacts to natural resources.

4. Infrastructure and Utilities

Potential Impacts and Mitigation

Stormwater
The adoption of the proposed zoning amendments would be a regulatory action and would not result in any physical changes to the Study Area. Therefore, no impact to stormwater would occur. Further, since downtown Copiague is an already built-up downtown area, any new development would be required to have drainage systems designed to accommodate any and all runoff volume on-site, to the extent possible. In addition, conformance to the requirements of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYSDEC") Phase II Stormwater Regulations, as well as prevailing Town and County regulations would be required. These system designs would be subject to the review and approval of appropriate Town and/or County engineering staff, ensuring that significant adverse impacts from stormwater runoff would not occur.

Water
Although implementation of the Proposed Action would be anticipated to result in an increase in water demand and usage, no impact to the water supply system is expected from the implementation of the Proposed Action since the downtown is an already built-up area. Development within the Study Area would utilize public water, to be supplied by the SCWA via connections to the existing water mains. As a result, ultimately no significant adverse impacts to the water supply system would be expected to result from the implementation of the Proposed Action.
Specific infrastructure improvements would need to be based upon a specific development proposal, with engineering layout and design.

**Sanitary Sewer**
Although implementation of the Proposed Action would result in an increase in sewage generated, no impact to the sanitary sewer system is expected from the implementation of the Proposed Action since the downtown is an already built-up area and it is anticipated that the Suffolk County Department of Public Works ("SCDPW") has adequate capacity at the Bergen Point Wastewater Treatment Plant ("WWTP") to be able to serve a build out of downtown Copiague. Specific infrastructure improvements would need to be based upon a specific development proposal, with engineering layout and design.

**Energy**
There will be an increase in energy use during the construction phase of the Proposed Action. Although implementation of the Proposed Action would require new electric and gas service to sites that are currently vacant and perhaps upgraded service to currently underutilized sites, it is anticipated that both PSEG-LI and National Grid maintain adequate resources to supply the build out of downtown Copiague. Therefore, it is not anticipated that the project will result in significant adverse impacts on the availability of energy resources in the Study Area.

**Solid Waste**
Although there will be an increase in solid waste and recyclables, no impact is expected from the implementation of the Proposed Action since the proposed development will utilize both the Town Commercial Waste and Residential Waste Specific improvements would need to be based upon a specific development proposal, with engineering layout and design.

**Findings**
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to infrastructure and utilities.

5. **Community Facilities and Resources**

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation**
Revitalization of the Study Area would generate additional demand for community facilities and services, including police and fire protection and emergency medical services, as well as schools.

**Schools**
It is estimated that implementation of the Proposed Action could result in the generation of approximately 69 new school-age children for the School District, representing an approximate 1.4-percent increase to the overall enrollment in the School District. However, the implementation of the Proposed Action could be expected to generate significant tax revenues to the School District, among others, which could cover the costs associated with a 1.4-percent increase.

**Libraries**
As a result of the implementation of the Proposed Action, it is anticipated that redevelopment would be spurred and there would be a resultant increase in residential population. This increased population would increase the demand for library services, potentially requiring additional
personnel or equipment. However, such increased costs are anticipated to be offset by the additional tax revenue to be generated to the library.

Parks, Recreation, and Open Space
One of the goals/objectives of the DC Zoning District is to provide a mechanism by which to increase open space in the downtown area. Not only are there specific design requirements that would provide green spaces, but the incentive component that the proposed zoning amendments contains is tied, in part, to the provision of additional open space. Therefore, the Proposed Action would have a beneficial impact on parks, recreation, and open space in the Study Area.

Police, Fire, and Emergency Services
The projected increase in development and residential population envisioned for the Study Area as a result of the implementation of the Proposed Action could be anticipated to require commensurate increases in demand for police, fire, and emergency services (resulting in the need for additional personnel and equipment and increased costs). However, it is expected that increased costs associated with additional personnel and/or equipment would be offset by additional tax revenue generated to all taxing jurisdictions, including special districts that incorporate the Police and Fire Departments, by the implementation of the Proposed Action.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to community facilities and resources.

6. Transportation

Potential Impacts and Mitigation
Given the traffic concerns that currently exist within the Study Area and the expected additional traffic that could occur in the future, especially with the implementation of the Proposed Action, traffic is and will remain a concern in the downtown area. In order to allay that concern, two mitigation strategies were evaluated.

- **Mitigation 1** consists of the following changes to study intersections:
  1. Restripe the southbound Great Neck Road approach to accommodate the addition of an exclusive southbound right-turn lane on Great Neck Road at Dixon Avenue. Traffic signal timing adjustments were also performed.
  2. Restripe the westbound approach of Marconi Boulevard to accommodate the addition of an exclusive westbound left-turn lane on Marconi Boulevard at Great Neck Road.
  3. Restripe the eastbound approach of Railroad Avenue to accommodate an exclusive left-turn lane on Railroad Avenue at Great Neck Road.
  4. Perform timing adjustments to the traffic signal timings at the intersection of Great Neck Road and Oak Street.
  5. Perform timing adjustments to the traffic signal timings at the intersection of Great Neck Road and Montauk Highway.
  6. Perform timing adjustments to the traffic signal timings at the intersection of South Strong Avenue and West Hoffman Avenue.
  7. Perform timing adjustments to the traffic signal timings at the intersection of North Strong Avenue and Marconi Boulevard.
- Mitigation 2 consists of the following changes to study intersections:
  1. The addition of a second northbound and southbound through lane on Great Neck Road from just north of Marconi Boulevard extending south to Hollywood Avenue.
  2. Timing adjustments were performed at the following study locations to optimize the operation of the intersections:
     a. Great Neck Road at Marconi Boulevard
     b. Great Neck Road at Oak Street

Great Neck Road (CR 47) and Dixon Avenue—During the No Build Condition the signalized intersection of Great Neck Road and Dixon Avenue operates at overall level of service ("LOS") C, C, and D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. Individual movements experience LOS ranging from A to F. During the Build Condition, the intersection will continue to operate at No Build LOS during the AM and PM peak periods. During the Saturday midday peak hour the overall LOS will change from D to E. By restriping the southbound approach of Great Neck Road to accommodate an exclusive right-turn lane and implementing timing adjustments the LOS for all movements the operation of this intersection will improve for all time periods studied.

Great Neck Road (CR 47) and Marconi Boulevard—During the No Build Condition the signalized intersection of Great Neck Road and Marconi Boulevard operates at overall LOS B, C, and C during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. Individual movements experience LOS ranging from A to F. During the Build Condition, the intersection will continue to operate at No Build LOS during the PM peak period. During the AM peak hour the LOS will change from B to C and during the Saturday midday peak hours the overall LOS will change from C to D. By restriping the westbound approach of Marconi Boulevard to accommodate an exclusive left-turn lane, the operation of this intersection will improve for all time periods studied. Under Mitigation 2, timing adjustments are performed in order to optimize the operation of the signal with respect to the additional through lanes on Great Neck Road.

Great Neck Road (CR 47) and Access Road—During the No Build Condition the unsignalized intersection of Great Neck Road and Access Road has a LOS for individual movements ranging from A to C. During the Build Condition all approaches except for westbound will continue to operate at No Build LOS during all peak hours. The westbound approach will change from LOS C to D during the AM and PM peak periods and from LOS C to F during the Saturday peak period. This location lacks adequate right-of-way width to accommodate an additional westbound lane, therefore mitigation is not possible. However, under Mitigation 2, the overall operation of this intersection will improve due to the additional lanes on Great Neck Road.

Great Neck Road (CR 47) and Railroad Avenue—During the No Build Condition the unsignalized intersection of Great Neck Road and Railroad Avenue has LOS for individual movements ranging from A to F. During the Build Condition all approaches except for eastbound will continue to operate at No Build LOS during all peak hours. The eastbound approach will change from LOS E to F during the PM peak. During this AM and Saturday peak this approach continues to operate at LOS F with increased delay. In order to mitigate these impacts, the eastbound approach of Railroad Avenue should be restriped to accommodate an exclusive left-turn lane. This improvement will improve the overall operation of the intersection during all time periods studied. The overall operation of this intersection will improve further under Mitigation 2.
Great Neck Road (CR 47) and Oak Street (CR 12)—During the No Build Condition the signalized intersection of Great Neck Road and Oak Street operates at overall LOS C, C, and D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. Individual movements experience LOS ranging from A to F. During the Build Condition, the intersection will continue to operate at No Build LOS during all peak periods. However, there will be changes to the LOS of certain individual movements during the PM and Saturday peak hours. By implementing timing adjustments at this location, the overall operation of the intersection will improve during all time periods studied. Under Mitigation 2, timing adjustments are performed in order to optimize the operation of the signal with respect to the additional through lanes on Great Neck Road.

Great Neck Road (CR 47) and Montauk Highway (NYS Route 27A)—During the No Build Condition the signalized intersection of Great Neck Road and Montauk Highway operates at overall LOS B, C, and C during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. Individual movements experience LOS ranging from A to E. During the Build Condition, the intersection will continue to operate at No Build LOS during all peak periods. During the PM and Saturday peak hours the eastbound left-turn will experience a change in LOS. Implementing timing adjustments will improve the operation of this intersection during the PM and Saturday peak hours.

South Strong Avenue and West Hoffman Avenue—During the No Build Condition the signalized intersection of South Strong Avenue and West Hoffman Avenue operates at overall LOS C, D, and D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. Individual movements experience LOS ranging from A to F. During the Build Condition, the overall intersection will continue to operate at No Build LOS during the PM and Saturday peak hours. During the PM peak hour the LOS will change from D to E. During the PM and Saturday peak hours the northbound approach (which operates at LOS F) will experience an increase in delay. Implementing timing adjustments will improve the operation of this intersection during all time periods studied.

North Strong Avenue and Marconi Boulevard—During the No Build Condition the signalized intersection of South Strong Avenue and West Hoffman Avenue operates at overall LOS C, C, and D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. Individual movements experience LOS ranging from A to F. During the Build Condition, the intersection will continue to operate at No Build LOS during the AM and Saturday peak hours. During the PM peak hour the LOS will change from C to D. Implementing timing adjustments will improve the operation of this intersection during all time periods studied.

Other Study Area Intersections – The other intersections that were studied and analyzed are not expected to experience significant delay as a result of the implementation of the Proposed Action. Therefore, no mitigation is proposed or required for those intersections.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that based upon the traffic analysis, it is expected that as a result of the implementation of the mitigation strategies to improve traffic flow, the Proposed Action would minimize the potential significant adverse impacts on transportation to the maximum extent practicable.
7. Socioeconomics

Potential Impacts and Mitigation
Implementation of the Proposed Action would result in a number of potential socioeconomic impacts. These impacts are primarily associated with newly created jobs, increased tax revenues, and other resulting expenditures, which are considered beneficial.

Demographics
Implementation of the Proposed Action is anticipated to result in a population increase to Copiague's population. The addition of population to the Study Area is one of the project objectives and is considered a beneficial impact of the implementation of the Proposed Action.

Employment and other Economic Impacts
Implementation of the Proposed Action will generate, both short-term and long-term additional employment opportunities. Short-term, construction-related jobs are viewed as a beneficial impact to the construction industry, as well as the overall area economy. With regards to long-term, full-time employees, it is not expected that there will be any significant adverse impact on the local and regional housing market.

Housing
Implementation of the Proposed Action is expected to have beneficial impacts on the local housing market by providing a larger range of housing opportunities, including affordable housing choices.

Tax Revenues
it is anticipated that implementation of the Proposed Action would result in positive property tax benefits for the State, County, Town, School District, and other taxing jurisdictions. Future property tax revenues generated by specific site-specific projects in the Study Area would be determined through the comprehensive assessment approach that the Town and State take when determining assessed values and tax bills for specific properties. In addition, implementation of the Proposed Action would also create tax revenues for Suffolk County, the MTA, and New York State. These taxes include sales tax, personal income tax, corporate and business taxes, and numerous miscellaneous taxes. Therefore, overall, it could be anticipated that implementation of the Proposed Action would result in a beneficial tax revenue impact, locally and beyond.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to socioeconomics.

8. Hazardous Materials

Potential Impacts and Mitigation
Implementation of the Proposed Action may include demolition and reconstruction on sites with existing structures—some of which may contain components with hazardous materials. Construction on any site within the downtown could also involve a variety of earthmoving/excavating activities that may encounter subsurface contamination in soil and/or groundwater. Therefore, in order to minimize hazardous material impacts to the greatest extent possible, a number of activities are recommended prior to demolition and/or construction for any specific project. Despite the potential for hazardous materials to be encountered in any
redevelopment of downtown Copiague, the proposed DC Zoning District would help facilitate the transition the industrial uses that currently existing within much of the Study Area to other uses that have less of a concern for future hazardous materials. As a result, implementation of the Proposed Action would have a beneficial impact on hazardous materials.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to hazardous materials.

9. Cultural Resources

Potential Impacts and Mitigation
Although the Proposed Action in of itself does not include any demolition or construction and the cultural resources within downtown Copiague would not be directly impacted by the Proposed Action, implementation of the Proposed Action and possible redevelopment has the possibility to effect such resources. That being said, overall implementation of the Proposed Action will provide a positive impact on cultural resources, since it will create a revitalized and more vibrant downtown Copiague.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to community facilities and resources.

10. Air and Noise

Potential Impacts and Mitigation

Air Quality
While increased traffic that could result from the implementation of the Proposed Action might result in microscale impacts at certain localized intersections and/or construction impacts associated with future site-specific development of the Study Area, implementation of the Proposed Action as a whole is not anticipated to result in a significant adverse impact on air quality. In addition, one of the aims of the Proposed Action is to enhance downtown Copiague with a mix of transit-oriented land uses, all of which would create a pedestrian-friendly environment and encourage walking, while discouraging non-essential automobile use. The short-term use of heavy equipment operations in the Study Area resulting from implementation of the Proposed Action could result in temporary, minor increases in pollutant emissions from equipment used in the construction process for a likely several year, phased duration. Since any redevelopment of downtown Copiague would likely occur in many phases, the intensity of any impacts would, therefore, likely be reduced.

Noise
The impacts with respect to noise related to the implementation of the Proposed Action would be limited to increases in vehicular traffic and its impact on the noise environment, especially residential components. The types of uses and density of development that can occur under the proposed zoning amendments would not, in and of themselves, generate significant levels of noise. As the downtown is redeveloped, the land use pattern is not expected to change such that noise-generating uses would be prevalent and, therefore, the character of the noise environment would...
not be expected to worsen. Any new development would continue to be required to conform to Chapter 156 of the Town Code, which is evaluated during site plan review.

Findings
The Town of Babylon Town Board finds that the Proposed Action, as mitigated, will not result in any significant adverse impacts to air or noise resources.

Alternatives:

Description of Alternatives: Potential Impacts and Mitigation
Given that the Proposed Action is a zoning proposal, the only alternative that is reasonable to evaluate is the No Action Alternative.

No Action Alternative
The No Action Alternative assumes that the Proposed Action is not undertaken, that the proposed zoning amendments are not adopted, and that the zoning of the Study Area remains unchanged. There would be no overarching plan that controls aesthetics, develops a cohesive land use pattern, permits a mix of residential and commercial uses, or provides incentives for quality growth. With this alternative, there would be no physical changes in the Study Area: no impacts to natural resources; and no construction activities. There would be no additional generation of traffic or additional population; there would be no visual impact; there would be no effects on community facilities or services; etc. However, while this alternative would eliminate any potential adverse impacts of the Proposed Action, it would not yield any beneficial effects expected to result from the construction of the development, such as increased tax ratables for the Town and School District; increased residential, retail, and commercial opportunities for the Town; and increased employment opportunities in the Town, both short- and long-term. To that end, the Town’s and community’s goals and objectives, as envisioned in the Vision Plan, would not be achieved.

Findings
• The only alternative to the Proposed Action is the No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative would not meet the Town’s and community’s goals and objectives for downtown Copiague.
• The Proposed Action best meets the Town’s and community’s goals and objectives for downtown Copiague.

Conditions and Criteria Under Which Future Actions Will be Undertaken or Approved
Pursuant to SEQRA, Part 617 (10)(c), a generic environmental impact statement should set forth specific conditions and criteria under which future action will be undertaken or approved, including requirements for any subsequent SEQRA compliance. Based upon the analyses in the DGEIS and FGEIS, the following represents those conditions and criteria.

SEQRA Compliance
All applications for site-specific new development projects in the Study Area would continue to be required to adhere to SEQRA procedures and requirements. This means that all such future development projects would be subject to individual approval processes, including site plan review and site-specific impact review or consistency review with the Findings Statement, under SEQRA. In order to
best evaluate site-specific impacts, a Full Environmental Assessment Form ("FEAF") should be prepared for each development proposal, supplemented with the technical studies noted above. Adherence to this procedure would ensure that all future development in the Study Area complies with SEQRA, and conforms to established land use controls, minimizes potential adverse environmental impacts, and provides consistency with established Town policy and community goals as outlined in the Vision Plan.

Approval Conditions and Criteria
In addition, based on the results of the generic impact analyses prepared in the GEIS, the following actions may be required prior to any approval for any future site-specific development project in the Study Area:

Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy

- **Zoning Incentives**: Any application seeking zoning incentives in return for the provision of additional community benefits would have to follow the zoning incentive provisions provided in § 213-549 of the DC Zoning District and would be required to follow the criteria and procedure for approval, including the preparation of FEAF, Part 1.

Community Character and Visual Resources

- **Design Review**: Any site-specific application would involve design review, as provided in § 213-540 of the DC Zoning District and would be required to follow the criteria and procedure for approval.
- **Lighting**: Any site-specific proposal would have to demonstrate conformance with the Town’s and DC Zoning District’s regulations regarding exterior lighting.
- **Buffering/Screening**: Any site-specific proposal would have to demonstrate that low-maintenance vegetation is being incorporated into landscape design.

Natural Resources

- **Water Resources**: Any site-specific projects would be required to comply with the regulations and restrictions outlined in the Suffolk County Sanitary Code.

Infrastructure and Utilities

- **Stormwater Management**: New development projects would be required to prepare drainage plans in accordance with Town and, if applicable, County requirements. A stormwater pollution prevention plan ("SWPPP") would have to be prepared pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 189 of the Code of the Town of Babylon and drainage systems would need to be designed to provide water quality and quantity requirements pursuant to the 2010 New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual. Such SWPPP would include specific details regarding erosion and sedimentation control.
- **Water Supply**: Any site-specific proposal would have to demonstrate that water conservation measures, which could include low-flow fixtures, low-flow toilets, and/or drip irrigation, would be implemented.
- **Solid Waste Management**: Any site-specific proposal would need to ensure that solid waste management is incorporated into the plan and program, given the limited space within the downtown area.
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Community Facilities and Services

- **Public Service Facilities Assessment:** The Police Department would have the opportunity to provide input on site-specific plans, thereby requiring any site-specific mitigation measures necessary. Similarly, the Fire Department would have the opportunity to review future proposed site plans to ensure that their needs, including provisions for emergency access, hydrant locations, sprinkler systems, fire alarms, and smoke and carbon monoxide detection, are properly addressed.

Transportation and Parking

- **Traffic Mitigation:** A number of intersections required some form of mitigation, as identified in the TIS. Mitigation should be implemented in conformance with these recommendations.
- **Supplemental Traffic and Parking Analyses:** At the time of site plan application, trip generation and trip distribution assumptions should be submitted for review and comparison against the assumptions in the Traffic Impact Study ("TIS"). This information would serve as a basis to determine if additional traffic analysis is warranted for individual development projects. Adequacy of site access, parking, and pedestrian safety would also be reviewed as a part of the individual site plan review process.
- **Construction Traffic Management and Logistics Plan:** Any site-specific proposal would have to indicate how traffic will be managed and the logistics of construction vehicles in the Study Area.

Socioeconomics

- **Tax Relief Documentation:** For any site-specific proposal that seeks property or other tax relief, sufficient documentation should be provided to the Town in its evaluation of the site-specific proposal under site plan review.

Hazardous Materials

- **Hazardous Materials Management:** Phase I Environmental Site Assessments ("ESAs") are typical for any pre-purchase or bank lending situation. An ESA would identify the need for testing to determine if Recognized Environmental Conditions ("RECs") are present, which would require further testing, remediation, abatement, regulatory oversight, or other appropriate action. Any redevelopment or property transfer would be subject to the necessary regulatory steps and agency oversight to properly investigate, and remediate if necessary, RECs warranting such action.
- **Proposed Hazardous Materials:** If any petroleum products, chemicals, hazardous materials, or the like are proposed to be handled or stored, approval would have to be submitted from the appropriate regulatory agency (e.g., Suffolk County Department of Health Services, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation).

Cultural Resources

- **Cultural Resource Assessment:** The Town Office of Historic Services should be contacted to determine if any cultural resources would be impacted by a site-specific plan. If any cultural resources are identified, additional analysis could be required or revisions to the application could be deemed necessary to mitigate such impacts.
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Air Quality and Noise

- **Supplemental Air Quality Impact Analysis:** If an action within the Study Area is proposed that may have a greater potential impact on air resources than identified in this DGEIS, a supplemental air impact evaluation could be appropriate.
- **Construction Air Quality:** Any site-specific proposal would have to submit confirmation that dust would be controlled during construction, that there would be emission controls for construction vehicles, and that construction vehicles and equipment would be properly maintained to minimize air emissions during construction.
- **Site-Specific Noise Assessments:** As development is proposed, it is recommended that projects provide a noise assessment to determine potential impact with respect to a site/use specific project and an appropriate level of attenuation. Projects that incorporate residential uses should provide a “Sound Level Report”, per § 156-13 of the Town Code.
- **Rooftop Equipment:** If rooftop (or outdoor) mechanical equipment is proposed, in order to mitigate potential noise impacts, appropriate mitigation measures should be provided (e.g., screening, setbacks) to ensure that the sound levels from such equipment would not exceed the Town’s noise impact criteria.

Findings and Conclusions:

With regard to social, economic, and other significant considerations, the Town of Babylon Town Board believes that the Proposed Action, as discussed and examined in the DGEIS and the FGEIS, minimizes or avoids adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable when compared to other alternatives considered. Having considered the DGEIS, the FGEIS and the preceding text, this Finding Statement certifies that:

The DGEIS and FGEIS identify and discuss the following where applicable and significant:
- Reasonably related short-term and long-term impacts, cumulative impacts, and other associated environmental impacts;
- Those adverse environmental impacts that cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated if the Proposed Action is implemented;
- Any irreversible and irreplaceable commitments of environmental resources that would be associated with the proposed action should it be implemented;
- Any growth-inducing aspects of the proposed action; and,
- Impacts of the Proposed Action for the use and conservation of energy.

The Town of Babylon Town Board, as the Lead Agency, has considered reasonably related long-term, short-term, direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts, including other simultaneous or subsequent actions, which are:
- Included in any long-range plan of which the action under consideration is a part;
- Likely to be undertaken as a result thereof; or,
- Dependent thereon.

And the Lead Agency has determined that there are no significant long-term cumulative impacts.
- The requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 617 have been met;
- From among the reasonable alternatives, when the mitigation methods discussed in the DGEIS and FGEIS are implemented, the Proposed Action minimizes or avoids adverse environmental impacts to
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the maximum extent practicable, including the effects discussed in the environmental impact statement;

- All mitigation methods and project modifications discussed in the DGEIS, FGEIS, and these Findings shall be incorporated by the Town of Babylon Town Board into the conditions of approval for any site-specific proposals, as approved by the Town of Babylon Town Board.

Furthermore, the Town of Babylon Town Board, as Lead Agency, has considered that this Findings Statement has been prepared in accordance with SEQRA, 6 NYCRR Part 617.11 (d), and the Town of Babylon Town Board shall file it as specified in 6 NYCRR Part 617.12 (b).
Certification To Approve/Fund/Undertake:

Having considered the DGEIS and FGEIS and having considered the preceding written facts and conclusions relied on to meet the requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 617.11, this Statement of Findings certifies that:

1. The requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 617 have been met; and

2. Consistent with social, economic and other essential considerations from among the reasonable alternatives available, the action is the one that avoids or minimizes adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable, and that adverse impacts will be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable by incorporating as conditions to the decision those mitigative measures that were identified as practicable.

3. (And if applicable) Consistent with the applicable policies of Article 42 of the Executive Law, as implemented by 19 NYCRR Part 600.5, this action will achieve a balance between the protection of the environment and the need to accommodate social and economic considerations.

Town of Babylon Town Board

Signature of Responsible Official

Richard Schaffer
Name of Responsible Official

Supervisor
Title of Responsible Official

Date: April 7, 2015

Address of Agency:
Town of Babylon Town Board
Town of Babylon Town Hall
200 East Sunrise Highway
Lindenhurst, NY 11757

cc: Other Involved Agencies
Other Interested Agencies
Introduced by Presiding Officer, on request of the County Executive

RESOLUTION NO. -2015, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 427-2013, INFRASTRUCTURE – COLLEGE WIDE (CP 2149)

WHEREAS, Appropriating Resolution No. 427-2013 and Bond Authorizing Resolution No. 428-2013 approved funding in connection with Infrastructure – College Wide; and

WHEREAS, a redistribution of funds is needed to enable further construction activities associated with Infrastructure – College Wide; and

WHEREAS, there is no net effect on the total cost of the project; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to amend Resolution No. 427-2013 by deleting $700,000 ($350,000 serial bonds and $350,000 state aid) from the design budget and by adding $700,000 ($350,000 serial bonds and $350,000 state aid) to the construction budget; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the 2nd Resolved clause of Resolution No. 473-2013 is hereby amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>JC</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-2149.110</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Infrastructure – College Wide (Design)</td>
<td>[$350,000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fund 818-Debt Service)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-2149.310</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Infrastructure – College Wide (Construction)</td>
<td>[$4,800,000]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that the 3rd Resolved clause of Resolution No. 473-2013 is hereby amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>JC</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-2149.110</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Infrastructure – College Wide (Design)</td>
<td>[$350,000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fund 818-Debt Service)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-2149.310</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Infrastructure – College Wide (Construction)</td>
<td>[$4,800,000]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that this Legislature, being the lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), Environmental Conservation Law Article 8, hereby finds and determines that this action constitutes a Type II action, pursuant to Volume 6 of New York Code of Rules and Regulations ("NYCRR"), the Legislature has no further responsibilities under SEQRA.
Brackets denote deletion of existing language
— Underlining denotes addition of new language

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

**RESOLUTION NO. 2015, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 427-2013, INFRASTRUCTURE – COLLEGE WIDE (CP 2149)**

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation

SEE NO. 2 ABOVE

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact?  **Yes __  No X**

5. If the answer to item 4 is "yes", on what will it impact? (circle appropriate category)

- County
- Town
- Village
- School District
- Library District
- Fire District

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes", Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact

N/A

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other Subdivision.

N/A

8. Proposed Source of Funding

N/A

9. Timing of Impact


10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer

Nicholas E. Paglia Jr.
Executive Analyst

11. Signature of Preparer

[Signature]

12. Date

October 28, 2015
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### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLICE DISTRICT AND DISTRICT COURT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 PROPERTY TAX LEVY</th>
<th>2015 COST TO AVG TAXPAYER</th>
<th>2015 AV TAX RATE PER $100</th>
<th>2015 FEV TAX RATE PER $1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**


3) SOURCE FOR EQUALIZATION RATES: 2014 COUNTY EQUALIZATION RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENTS.
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To be completed by the Executive Budget Office
October 26, 2015

Jon Schnieder
Deputy County Executive
H. L. Dennison Building
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

Re: Proposed Capital Budget Resolution

Dear Mr. Schnieder:

The College respectfully requests that the proposed resolution enclosed herein be introduced at the next meeting of the Legislature:

- Amending Resolution No. 427-2013, Appropriating Funds in Connection with Infrastructure – College Wide (CP2149)

This draft resolution was e-mailed to the distribution list CE Reso Review saved under the title “Reso-SCCC-Infra Amend.docx” on October 26, 2015.

Please feel free to call me at (451-4233) if any additional information is required.

Sincerely,

Jon DeMaio, P.E.
Administrative Director of Educational Facilities

Enclosure

Cc: Louis J. Petrizzo – College General Counsel, SCCC
    Ben Zwirn – Intergovernmental Relations Coordinator, SCCC
    Paul Cooper, P.E. – Executive Director of Facilities/Technical Support, SCCC
    Sara Gorton – Principal Auditor, SCCC
    Dennis M. Cohen – Chief Deputy County Executive, Suffolk County
    Lisa Santeramo – Assistant Deputy County Executive, Suffolk County
    Tom Vaughn – Director of Intergovernmental Relations, Suffolk County
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, MAKING A SEQRA DETERMINATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS TO COUNTY ROAD 94 ROUNDABOUT, CAPITAL PROJECT 5557.110 AND 301.124/127, TOWN OF SOUTHAMPTON AND TOWN OF RIVERHEAD

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed a project designated as the "Proposed Improvements to County Road 94 Roundabout, Capital Project 5557.110 and 301.124/127, Town of Southampton and Town of Riverhead", pursuant to Local Law No. 22-1985, the proposed action will reconstruct the existing single-lane traffic circle where Nugent Drive (CR 94), Lake Avenue (CR 63), Riverleigh Avenue (CR 104), Flanders Road (NYS 24) and Peconic Avenue converge into a two lane modern roundabout; and

WHEREAS, the proposed action also includes pedestrian safety improvements and water quality improvements provided via a new stormwater system comprised of raingardens, underground retention structures, catch basins and a stormwater treatment unit; and

WHEREAS, an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) was prepared and submitted to the CEQ office by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and a presentation was made by a representative from Nelson, Pope & Voorhis, LLC, and subsequently sent out to all concerned parties subsequently sent out to all concerned parties; and

WHEREAS, at its October 21, 2015 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the EAF and information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ recommended that the above activity be considered an Unlisted Action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ has advised the County Legislature and the County Executive by memo dated October 26, 2015 of said recommendations; and

WHEREAS, Section 450-5(H) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE requires the Presiding Officer to introduce legislation for an appropriate SEQRA determination; and

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Legislature has reviewed the EAF and the CEQ recommendations; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby determines that the Proposed Improvements to County Road 94 Roundabout, Capital Project 5557.110 and 301.124/127, Town of Southampton and Town of Riverhead constitutes an Unlisted Action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and the proposed project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:
1) the proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria set forth in Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617.7, which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;

2) the proposal does not appear to significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter or the Suffolk County Code;

3) the proposed action will include pedestrian crosswalk signage and appropriate, dark skies compliant, crosswalk lighting;

4) the Suffolk County Department of Public Works will insure that the project's stormwater infrastructure receives routine maintenance;

5) the Suffolk County Department of Public Works will continue to coordinate with the Town of Riverhead on stormwater and traffic issues north of the proposed roundabout on Peconic Avenue and in connecting downtown Riverhead; and

6) the proposed improvements to the County Road 94 roundabout will reduce existing traffic congestion during the morning and evening rush hours and improve vehicular and pedestrian safety;

and be it further

**2nd RESOLVED**, that a copy of this Resolution shall be filed with the Suffolk County Clerk, the initiating unit of said project, and with the CEQ; and be it further

**3rd RESOLVED**, that in accordance with Section C1-4(1)(d) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER and Section 450-5(C)(4) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE, the CEQ is hereby directed to prepare and circulate a SEQRA notice of determination of non-significance in accordance with this Resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:

s:\res\s-county-road-94-roundabout
RESOLUTION NO. -2015, MAKING A SEQRA DETERMINATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT #11, CORAM PUMP STATION #6, LAND ACQUISITION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A REPLACEMENT PUMP STATION, TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed a project designated as the "Proposed Suffolk County Sewer District #11, Coram Pump Station #6, Land Acquisition for the Construction of a Replacement Pump Station, Town of Brookhaven", pursuant to Local Law No. 22-1985, the proposed action is to acquire a 4,612 square foot parcel from the Town of Brookhaven for the construction of a new pump station to replace the aging existing Pump Station #6 in Coram; and

WHEREAS, an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) was prepared and submitted to the CEQ office by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works and subsequently sent out to all concerned parties; and

WHEREAS, at its October 21, 2015 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the EAF and information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ recommended that the above activity be considered an Unlisted Action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and

WHEREAS, the CEQ has advised the County Legislature and the County Executive by memo dated October 26, 2015 of said recommendations; and

WHEREAS, Section 450-5(H) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE requires the Presiding Officer to introduce legislation for an appropriate SEQRA determination; and

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Legislature has reviewed the EAF and the CEQ recommendations; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby determines that the Proposed Suffolk County Sewer District #11, Coram Pump Station #6, Land Acquisition for the Construction of a Replacement Pump Station, Town of Brookhaven constitutes an Unlisted Action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and the proposed project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

1) the proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria set forth in Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617.7, which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;

2) the proposal does not appear to significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or
regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter or the Suffolk County Code; and

3) the proposed action will not result in a loss of drainage capacity for the adjacent recharge basin;

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution shall be filed with the Suffolk County Clerk, the initiating unit of said project, and with the CEQ; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section C1-4(1)(d) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CHARTER and Section 450-5(C)(4) of the SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE, the CEQ is hereby directed to prepare and circulate a SEQRA notice of determination of non-significance in accordance with this Resolution.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:

s:\res\s-coram-pump-station-6
RESOLUTION NO. TO READJUST, COMPROMISE, AND GRANT REFUNDS AND CHARGEBACKS ON CORRECTION OR ERRORS/COUNTY TREASURER BY: COUNTY LEGISLATURE (CONTROL #442) AMENDED for Res. #672-2015

WHEREAS, the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk may cancel assessments and grant refunds of taxes, in the case of erroneous or improper assessments, pursuant to the provisions of the Real Property Tax Law and the Suffolk County Tax Act, and

WHEREAS, the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers indicated below have been erroneously or improperly assessed as appears from the certificates of Assessors of the respective towns in which said properties are situated as described below and the procedures as provided in the Real Property Tax Law have been fully complied with, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the taxes for the properties represented by the item numbers or tax map numbers as shown for the year or years specified be readjusted or refunded in full or in part in the amount set opposite each such parcel as hereinafter indicated, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the amount of such adjustment or refund be charged back to the respective town as provided by law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Original Tax</th>
<th>Corrected Tax</th>
<th>Chargeback or Refund, if paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOUTHAMPTON</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>$5,378.30</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,378.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated:                        Approved By:__________________________

Suffolk County Executive

Date of Approval:
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation
   Resolution XXX Local Law Charter Law

2. Title of Proposed Legislation
   To readjust, compromise and grant refunds and charge backs on Correction of
   Errors/County Treasurer By: County Treasurer

3. Purpose of Proposed Legislation
   To cancel or adjust taxes and make refunds and charge backs due to erroneous
   or improper assessments.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation Have a Fiscal Impact? YES XXX NO

5. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate
category)
   County Village Town School District Economic Impact
   Library District Fire District Other (Specify):

6. If the answer to item 5 is "yes," Provide Detailed Explanation of Impact
   In the case of refunds, the County will initially refund the amount of the incorrect
tax. Approximately 81% of the refunded amount will be charged back to the
Town to be added to the subsequent year's tax warrant. The remainder will be
a County charge. If the original tax is unpaid, the same procedure would apply,
however, no County monies would be refunded and it will be charged back to the
Town within twelve to eighteen months.

7. Total Financial Cost of Funding over 5 Years on Each Affected Political or Other
   Subdivision. Unknown

8. Proposed Source of Funding
   To be refunded from the County General Fund

9. Timing of Impact
   Variable

10. Typed Name & Title of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul
    County Treasurer

11. Signature of Preparer
    Barry S. Paul

12. Date
    10/23/15
MEMORANDUM

TO: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive

FROM: Suffolk County Treasurer

DATE: October 27, 2015

RE: RESOLUTION FOR CANCELLATION OF TAXES, CONTROL # 442 (Amended for 
Res. #672-2015 due to the fact that Town sent over incorrect amount and it was not picked up 
by us until after it was originally submitted. Original incorrect amount $7,210.78, 
Correct amount on County records $5,378.30, Discrepancy of $1,832.48.)

Enclosed please find a proposed resolution, which this office requests be submitted to the Suffolk 
County Legislature for approval.

Also enclosed is any back-up material pertaining to this request.

Should you need anything further, please contact me.

SCT:ll
Enc.
Cc: Dennis M. Cohen, Chief Deputy County Executive
    Lisa Santeramo, Assistant Deputy County Executive
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
RESOLUTION NO. 1876-15

AUTHORIZING SUFFOLK COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE TO REQUEST STATE APPROPRIATION AND MATCHING STATE REIMBURSEMENT FOR COLLEGE STORMWATER INITIATIVES CAPITAL PROJECT

WHEREAS, Suffolk County Community College received a grant award in the amount of $393,043, from the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation for a Green Innovation Grant Program (GIGP) entitled "Campus Storm Water Initiative"; and

WHEREAS, this GIGP Campus Storm Water Initiative Grant was accepted and appropriated by Resolution No. 581-2014; and

WHEREAS, this project significantly reduces storm water impacts at the College by utilizing green infrastructure technologies; and

WHEREAS, GIGP is funded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), a federal agency; and

WHEREAS, GIGP funding can therefore be matched using State dollars if a single capital project is developed with additional components not being covered by the grant funds; and

WHEREAS, additional components not being covered by the grant funds include expanding site improvements at various College parking areas to repair erosion damage, implement erosion control, and further mitigate and treat storm water; and

WHEREAS, New York State requires a resolution to request State appropriation for community college capital projects; and

WHEREAS, Suffolk County as local sponsor of Suffolk County Community College may accept funds other than county funds as its match for state capital funds; and

WHEREAS, Suffolk County will use the GIGP Campus Storm Water Initiative federal grant in the amount of $393,043 for the local share of capital project costs totaling $786,086 for College Storm Water Initiatives; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees finds and determines that the College Storm Water Initiatives constitutes a Type II Action, pursuant to a 6 NYCRR §617.5(c)(2), because it involves the replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site; and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that Suffolk County authorizes Suffolk County Community College to request State appropriation and initiate the College Storm Water Initiatives capital project in order to request reimbursement of 50% of eligible project costs totaling $393,043 from the State of New York through the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York or directly from the State of New York depending on the State appropriation backing the project; and be it further
3rd RESOLVED, that no funds from Suffolk County will be committed for this purpose; and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that funding sources for the College Storm Water Initiatives capital project will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCES:</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grant-GiGP: Campus Storm Water GC79-GC7914-543318-G000</td>
<td>$ 393,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York State</td>
<td>$ 393,043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

_____________________________
County Executive of Suffolk County
Date of Approval:
# STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL IMPACT
OF PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATION

1. Type of Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Local Law</th>
<th>Charter Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2. Title of Proposed Legislation

Authorizing Suffolk County Community College to request State Appropriation and Matching State Reimbursement for College Storm Water Initiatives Capital Project

3. Purpose of the Proposed Legislation

To authorize matching State funds for a Federal grant.

4. Will the Proposed Legislation have a fiscal impact? Yes _X_ No

5. If the answer to item 4 is “yes”, on what will it impact? (Circle appropriate Category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Economic Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>School District</td>
<td>Other (specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library District</td>
<td>Fire District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. If the answer to item 4 is “yes”, provide detailed explanation of impact.

7. Total Financial cost of funding over 5 years on each affected political or other subdivision.

8. Proposed source of funding

State Aid

9. Timing of impact

10. Typed Name and Title of Preparer

Jon DeMaio

11. Signature of Preparer

12. Date

October 26, 2015
October 26, 2015

Jon Schnieder
Deputy County Executive
H. L. Dennison Building
100 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

Re: Proposed Capital Budget Resolution

Dear Mr. Schnieder:

The College respectfully requests that the proposed resolution enclosed herein be introduced at the next meeting of the Legislature:

- Authorizing Suffolk County Community College to request State Appropriation and Matching State Reimbursement for College Storm Water Initiatives Capital Project

This draft resolution was e-mailed to the distribution list CE Reso Review saved under the title “Reso-SCCC-GIGP Stormwater State Match.docx” on October 26, 2015.

Please feel free to call me at (451-4233) if any additional information is required.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jon DeMaio, P.E.
Administrative Director of Educational Facilities

Enclosure

Cc: Louis J. Petrizzo – College General Counsel, SCCC
    Ben Zwirn – Intergovernmental Relations Coordinator, SCCC
    Paul Cooper, P.E. – Executive Director of Facilities/Technical Support, SCCC
    Sara Gorton – Principal Auditor, SCCC
    Dennis M. Cohen – Chief Deputy County Executive, Suffolk County
    Lisa Santeramo – Assistant Deputy County Executive, Suffolk County
    Tom Vaughn – Director of Intergovernmental Relations, Suffolk County

Central Administration
533 College Road
Selden, NY 11784-2899
(631) 451-4112

Ammerman Campus
533 College Road
Selden, NY 11784-2899
(631) 451-4110

Grant Campus
Crooked Hill Road
Brentwood, NY 11717-1092
(631) 851-6700

Eastern Campus
121 Speonk-Riverhead Road
Riverhead, NY 11901-3499
(631) 548-2500
RESOLUTION NO. 739-2015, AUTHORIZING A CERTAIN TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO ADOPTED RESOLUTION NO. 739-2015

WHEREAS, the County Legislature has adopted and the County Executive has signed Resolution No. 739-2015; and

WHEREAS, this resolution when adopted contained a technical error; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive desires a technical correction to this resolution; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Legislature shall make the following technical correction:

Resolution No. 739-2015

Under the 4th RESOLVED clause of Adopted Resolution No. 739-2015, under Project No. change the Project Number:

FROM:

[525-CAP-8241.310]

TO:

525-CAP-8241.312

[ ] Brackets denote deletion of language.
[ ] Underlining denotes addition of new language.

DATED:

APPROVED BY:

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date:
TO: Jason Richberg
   Chief Deputy Clerk

FROM: Connie R. Corso
      Budget Director

DATE: October 14, 2015

SUBJECT: Technical Correction for Resolution No. 739-2015

Would you please have Resolution No. 739-2015 corrected as follows:

Under the 4th RESOLVED clause of Adopted Resolution No. 739-2015 under Project No. is hereby amended to read as follows:

Project No. 525-CAP-8241.312 [525-CAP-8241.310]

[ ] Brackets denote deletion of existing language
    __ Underlining denotes addition of new language

I have attached a marked copy of this resolution for your use.

CRC:lp
enc.

cc: Jon Schneider, Deputy County Executive for Intergovernmental Affairs
    Katie Horst, Director of Intergovernmental Relations
    Nick Paglia Budget Office
RESOLUTION NO. 739 -2015, APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH STORMWATER REMEDIATION TO THE YAPHANK LAKES AND CARMEN'S RIVER AT CR 21, MAIN STREET/YAPHANK-MIDDLE ISLAND ROAD (CP 8241)

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Public Works has requested funds for construction in connection with Stormwater Remediation to Yaphank Lakes and Carmen's River at CR 21, Main Street/Yaphank-Middle Island Road; and

WHEREAS, there are sufficient funds within the 2015 Capital Budget and Program to cover the cost of said request; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 471-1994 and as amended by Resolution No. 461-2006 has established a priority ranking system as the basis for funding Capital Projects such as this project; and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature, by resolution of even date herewith, has authorized the issuance of $750,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds; now, therefore be it

1st RESOLVED, that pursuant to Resolution No. 11-2011 approved by the County Legislature, this project constitutes an unlisted action, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617 and Chapter 279 of the Suffolk County Code, which project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

1. The proposed action, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form, will not exceed any of the criteria in Title 6 NYCRR, Part 617.7, which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment;

2. The proposed action does not appear to significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Code and the Suffolk County Charter;

3. The proposed action will ultimately improve stormwater quality discharge into the Yaphank Lakes and Carmen's River; and

4. The proposed project will not adversely impact the integrity of the Yaphank Historic District.

and be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined that this project, with a priority ranking of thirty-seven (37) is eligible for approval in accordance with the provisions of Resolution No. 471-1994 as revised by Resolution No. 461-2006; and be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that the County Department of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to take such action as may be necessary to complete Stormwater
Remediation to Yaphank Lakes and Carmen's River at CR 21, Main Street/Yaphank-Middle Island Road, pursuant to Section C8-2 (A) of the Suffolk County Charter; and be it further

4th RESOLVED, that the proceeds of $750,000 in Suffolk County Serial Bonds be and they are hereby appropriated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>J.C.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525-CAP-8241:940 (Fund 001-Debt Service)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Stormwater Remediation to Yaphank Lakes and Carmen's River at CR 21, Main Street/Yaphank-Middle Island Road</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED: September 9, 2015

APPROVED BY: [Signature]

County Executive of Suffolk County

Date: 9/25/15
This is to Certify That I, TIM LAUBE, Clerk of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk, have compared the foregoing copy of resolution with the original resolution now on file in this office, and which was duly adopted by the County Legislature of said County on September 9, 2015 and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution and of the whole thereof.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the County Legislature of the County of Suffolk.

Tim Laube
Clerk of the Legislature
### Motion:
Krupski, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Hahn
Anker, Calarco, Lindsay, Martinez, Cilmi, Barraga, Kennedy
Trotta, McCaffrey, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

### Co-Sponsors:
Krupski, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Hahn
Anker, Calarco, Lindsay, Martinez, Cilmi, Barraga, Kennedy
Trotta, McCaffrey, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

### Second:
Krupski, Schneiderman, Browning, Muratore, Hahn
Anker, Calarco, Lindsay, Martinez, Cilmi, Barraga, Kennedy
Trotta, McCaffrey, Gregory, Stern, D'Amaro, Spencer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LD</th>
<th>Legislator</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Abs</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Albert J. KRUPSKI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kate M. BROWNING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Thomas MURATORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kara HAHN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sarah S. ANKER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rob CALARCO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>William J. LINDSAY, III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Monica R. MARTINEZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Thomas CILMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Thomas F. BARRAGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Leslie KENNEDY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rob TROTTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Kevin J. MCCAFFREY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Steven H. STERN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Lou D'AMARO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>William SPENCER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jay SCHNEIDERMAN, D.P.O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DuWayne GREGORY, P.O.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Totals:** 17 0 0 6

---

Tim Laube, Clerk of the Legislature